MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE ENFIELD RESIDENTS' PRIORITY FUND CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY, 9 AUGUST 2011

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT Christine Hamilton (Cabinet Member for Community Wellbeing

and Public Health), Chris Bond (Cabinet Member for

Environment) and Achilleas Georgiou (Deputy Leader).

OFFICERS: Alison Trew (Head of Corporate Policy and Performance),

Joanne Stacey (Performance and Information Manager), Jayne Middleton-Albooye (Principal Lawyer) and James

Kinsella (Democratic Services - Governance).

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

There were no apologies for absence.

2 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There were no declarations of interest.

NOTED

- 1. As an outcome of the call-in of the Sub Committee's decision from the 7 July 2011 the Priority Fund application forms now included a section asking all ward members to declare any personal or prejudicial interests relevant to the applicant or the project being recommended for approval.
- 2. All members had been advised of the need to ensure this section was completed when submitting any future applications, which the Sub Committee felt was now a clear process.

3 URGENT ITEMS

NOTED the reports listed on the agenda had been circulated in accordance with the requirements of the Council's Constitution and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Amendment Regulations 2002. These arrangements state that agendas and reports should be circulated at least 5 clear days in advance of meetings.

4 ENFIELD RESIDENTS' PRIORITY FUND - APPLICATIONS RECEIVED TO 20 JULY 2011

Councillor Christine Hamilton (Cabinet Member for Community Wellbeing and Public Health) introduced the report of the Chief Executive (No.60) presenting the applications that had been formally submitted up to 20 July 2011 for the Enfield Residents' Priority Fund.

NOTED

- 1. The following issues raised for consideration in relation to future operation of the application process:
- a. The suggested increase of membership of the Sub Committee to provide greater flexibility in case of members being unable to attend future meetings. James Kinsella (Democratic Services) advised that any increase in membership would need to be recommended to, and agreed by Cabinet.
- b. As a further outcome of the call-in of the Sub Committee's decision on 7 July 2011, consideration had been given to the way in which copies of the detailed application forms to be considered at each Sub Committee meeting could best be made available to the Opposition Group and other members. As a result it had been recommended that:
 - copies of the application forms (with any personal details removed) should be provided for the Opposition lead member on the Priority Fund (Councillor Headley) for reference purposes;
 - The forms would also be made available to any other interested members of the council, on request.

The Sub Committee felt this would address the concerns raised under the call-in.

- c. The process for dealing with multiple applications covering more than one ward. In these instances it was proposed that the applications would need to be signed off by all the wards involved before being submitted to the Cabinet Sub-Committee. Officers would need to ensure, for example, that management costs relating to the application were split evenly across the participating wards, but it would be up to the applicant to ensure the appropriate sign off across each ward.
- 2. There were a total of 14 applications to consider from 6 wards: Upper Edmonton (1 application); Bowes (4 applications); Southbury (5 applications); Grange (1 application); Bush Hill Park (1 application); and, Lower Edmonton (2 applications). All of the applications had been approved by the relevant ward Councillors and had been quality checked by the Performance and Information Team and, Legal Services to ensure that they met the criteria approved by Council and came within the remit of the wellbeing power as set out in section 2 of the Local Government Act 2000:
- 3. The summary of the applications received as detailed in Appendix A to the report;
- 4. Members' detailed consideration of each of the applications as follows:
 - a. Children's Security Improvement Oakthorpe Primary School (Upper Edmonton Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Environment); Fairness for All; Strong Communities; Education, Skills and Training; Crime and Environment. The application would be subject to match funding from Schools & Children's Services and approval of the appropriate planning permission.

b. Tile Kiln Lane Community Green Space (Bowes Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Environment); Strong Communities; Education, Skills and Training; Crime and Environment.

c. 2 x Perimeter Mountain Bikes for Bowes Safer Neighbourhood Patrol Team (Bowes Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Environment); Strong Communities; Crime.

d. Two Environment Days (Bowes Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Environment); Growth; Strong Communities; Health and Disability; Environment.

e. Alley Gating Mitchell Road N13 (Bowes Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Environment); Fairness for All; Strong Communities; Crime; Environment. The application would be subject to confirmation being received that no funding was available within the Environment Alley Gate budget for the project.

f. Basket Ball Sessions at Southbury Leisure Centre (Southbury Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social); Fairness for All; Health and Disability; Education, Skills and Training; Crime.

g. Public Benches (Southbury Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social); Fairness for All; Health and Disability. Following additional comments received from the ward councillor the Sub Committee felt that at this stage the provision of 6 benches would be sufficient resulting in a reduction of the project cost from £12,000 to £6,000. The project would also be subject to Environment identifying suitable locations for the benches and the outcome of consultation with relevant parties.

h. Han Bro Map MUGA (Southbury Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Environment); Fairness for All; Strong Communities;

Health and Disability; Crime; Environment. The cost of the project had increased from £65,000 to £74,000. The project would also be subject to agreement by Environment as to the suitability of the proposed site and any planning permission required.

i. Allotments for All (Southbury Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Economic, Environment); Fairness for All; Growth; Strong Communities; Health and Disability; Education, Skills and Training; Environment.

j. Environment Construction Skills (Southbury Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Economic); Fairness for All; Growth; Strong Communities; Education, Skills and Training. The application had been designed to supplement an existing project already being run through the school.

k. Under 3 years Play Area Enfield Town Park (Grange Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social); Fairness for All; Strong Communities; Health and Disability; Education, Skills and Training. The scheme would be subject to consultation with Environment on the level of play equipment that could be provided within the funding available. If additional funding was required, it would be possible for a further application to be submitted.

I. Toddler Group Purchase of Toys and Educational Equipment (Bush Hill Park)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Economic); Fairness for All; Growth; Strong Communities; Employment; Health and Disability; Education, Skills and Training.

m. DJ and MC Academy (Lower Edmonton Ward

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Economic); Fairness for All; Growth; Strong Communities; Employment; Health and Disability; Education, Skills and Training; Crime. The project also included potential scope to work across other wards.

n. Enfield Children and Young People's Services – Soft Play Area (Lower Edmonton Ward)

Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Well Being (Social, Economic); Fairness for All; Growth; Strong Communities; Employment; Health and Disability; Education, Skills and Training; Crime. The application had also received a high level of support from local residents.

Alternative Options Considered: That the projects were not considered and the funding was not allocated, this would not be recommended as this would not support community engagement and would not allow residents the opportunity to further improve the local area in which they live or work.

DECISION:

(1) The Cabinet Sub-Committee, following detailed consideration of the applications and the criteria met, agreed the following applications as suitable for funding from the Enfield Residents' Priority Fund:

Ward	Project Title	Amount Requested
Upper Edmonton	Children's Security Improvement Oakthorpe Primary School	£10,395
Bowes	Tile Kiln Lane Community Green Space	£1,956
Bowes	2 x Perimeter Mountain Bikes for Bowes Safer Neighbourhood Patrol Team	£790
Bowes	Two Environment Days	£500
Bowes	*Alley Gating Mitchell Road N13	£6,000
Southbury	Basket Ball Sessions at Southbury Leisure Centre	£3,330
Southbury	Public Benches	£6,000
Southbury	Han Bro Map MUGA	£74,000
Southbury	Allotments for All	£5,000
Southbury	Bishop Stopford School/ Enfield Education Business Partnership	£10,000
Grange	Under 3 years play area Enfield Town Park	£20,000
Bush Hill Park	Toddler Group Purchase of Toys and Educational Equipment	£2,000
Lower Edmonton	DJ and MC Academy	£9,079
Lower Edmonton	Enfield Children and Young People's Services – Soft Play	£7,500

- * Alley gates will only be funded from the Enfield Residents Priority Fund if resources are not available in the Environment Department's alley gate budget.
- (2) RECOMMENDED TO CABINET that membership of the Enfield Residents Priority Fund Sub Committee be increased by one in order to provide greater flexibility in case of members being unable to attend future meetings.
- (3) In terms of the future provision of detailed information for members relating to the applications due to be considered at each Sub Committee meeting:
- (a) A copy of the application forms (with any personal details removed) be provided for the Opposition lead member on the Enfield Residents Priority Fund (Councillor Headley) for reference purposes; and
- **(b)** The forms in **(a)** above would also be made available to any other interested members of the council on request, with all members advised of this process.
- (4) In terms of multiple applications these would need to be signed off by all the wards involved before being submitted to the Cabinet Sub-Committee. Officers would need to ensure that any associated management or project costs relating to the application were split evenly across the participating wards, but it would be up to the applicant to ensure the appropriate sign off across each ward.
- (5) Details of the projects agreed to date under the Fund be circulated to all members of the Council, in order to highlight the type of applications being made. In addition:
- (a) the Communications Team be requested to prepare a press release and update for the Council's website highlighting the positive activity and outcomes being achieved through the Fund; and
- (b) an update be prepared for the next round of Area Forums on the type of projects approved to date under the Fund accompanied by a detailed breakdown of projects approved within each of their wards and level of funding still available to be applied for.

Reason: The projects submitted had been proposed and developed by the local people of Enfield, to help improve the social, economic or environmental wellbeing by tackling local need and deprivation. The projects all support the Council's vision of making Enfield a better place to live and work, delivering fairness for all, growth and sustainability and strong communities.

5 MINUTES

AGREED that the minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet Sub-Committee held on 7 July 2011 be confirmed and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

6 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

AGREED that in order to avoid a clash of timings with future meetings of the Strategic Leadership Forum:

- (1) the date for the next meeting of the Enfield Residents' Priority Fund Cabinet Sub-Committee be rescheduled for 6:30pm on Monday 19 September 2011 at the Civic Centre, with members advised of any change to the deadline for submission of applications as a result.
- (2) Democratic Services Governance Team be requested to seek alternative dates for the programme of all future meetings of the Sub Committee, after September 2011.