

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK
CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE
HELD ON MONDAY, 5 JULY 2010**

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT Del Goddard ((Chairman) Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Improving Localities), Achilleas Georgiou (Deputy Leader, Public and Service Delivery) and Ahmet Oykenner (Cabinet Member for Housing and Area Improvements)

ABSENT Chris Bond (Cabinet Member for Environment, Street Scene and Parks)

CO-OPTED Councillor Michael Lavender

OFFICERS: Joanne Woodward (Planning Policy Team Leader), Sue Foster (Director of Place Shaping and Enterprise), Daisy Johnson (Planning and Regeneration Officer) and David B Taylor (Traffic and Transportation), Jacqui Hurst (Secretary)

Also Attending: Two members of the public

**1
APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Chris Bond (Cabinet Member for Environment, Street Scene and Parks).

**2
DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

There were no declarations of interest.

**3
URGENT ITEMS**

NOTED that the reports listed on the agenda had been circulated in accordance with the requirements of the Council's Constitution and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Amendment Regulations 2002. These requirements state that agendas and reports should be circulated at least 5 clear days in advance of meetings.

**4
REVIEW OF THE TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE LDF CABINET SUB
COMMITTEE**

Councillor Del Goddard introduced the report of the Director of Place Shaping and Enterprise (No.22) setting out the existing terms of reference for the Local

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE - 5.7.2010

Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee and seeking Members' views on any revisions required.

NOTED

1. the existing terms of reference as set out in the report;
2. Members' view that the terms of reference should be generic to encompass any documentation that required the consideration of the Sub-Committee;
3. Officers outlined the areas to be covered including Place Shaping Strategies, the Local Development Scheme and the Strategic Planning Role of the Sub-Committee. Officers would amend the existing terms of reference to incorporate current requirements and would present a report to a future Cabinet meeting for consideration.

Alternative Options Considered: None considered.

DECISION: The Local Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee agreed that Officers amend the existing terms of reference as appropriate, for consideration at a future meeting of the Cabinet.

Reason: The terms of reference seek to secure the optimum balance between accountability, efficiency and speed in preparing Enfield's Local Development Framework.

5

ENFIELD FOOD STRATEGY

Councillor Del Goddard introduced the report of the Director of Place Shaping and Enterprise (No.23) seeking approval of the draft Enfield Food Strategy, for engagement and consultation.

NOTED

1. the text within the Strategy relating to take-aways near schools and a potential free school meals pilot (section 7.7 of the strategy referred);
2. that Members welcomed the Strategy and discussed the proposed consultation process to be followed. The consultation would be proactive and effective discussion encouraged. Various forums would be consulted and a variety of events would be attended. Where possible existing forums would be used but new focus groups would also be formed if necessary. Groups to be consulted would include EBRA, the North London Chamber of Commerce and Thematic Action Groups (TAGs). The consultation period would run from July to October 2010;

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE - 5.7.2010

3. that the final version of the Food Strategy was due to be presented to the Sub-Committee in December for approval.

Alternative Options Considered: A wide-ranging Food Strategy was considered to be the most effective approach to addressing the issues identified.

DECISION: The Local Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee

1. agreed to approve the publication of the draft Enfield Food Strategy;
2. endorsed the Council's participation in the Mayor of London's Capital Growth Scheme to help identify and support new food growing spaces in the Borough as set out in paragraph 4.5 of the report.

Reason: A strategic approach would help Enfield to address some of the Borough's key challenges while maximising the benefits of strategic opportunities such as place shaping, as detailed in section 7 of the report.

6

PONDERS END CENTRAL - DRAFT PLANNING BRIEF

Councillor Del Goddard introduced the report of the Director of Place Shaping and Enterprise (No.24) seeking approval for publication for consultation of the Ponders End Central Planning Brief.

NOTED

1. Members discussed the context of the planning brief, the development pressures on the Middlesex University Site in Ponders End, and the Council's views and place shaping aspirations for the area. The process which had been followed so far was outlined;
2. the proposed consultation period from 20 July 2010 for 6 weeks and 5 days and the reasons for the condensed consultation period. Members recognised the need for the Ponders End Central Planning Brief to be consulted on and formalised as soon as possible;
3. that the consultation undertaken would be proactive and every opportunity would be taken to attend all key events over the consultation period. Officers would consider ways in which effective consultation could be facilitated including support for hard to reach community groups. A shared workshop would be arranged with Council Officers and representatives of the Ponders End Development Community Trust. Members emphasised that whilst the consultation period had been condensed, the Council would continue to listen to comments and views expressed;
4. Members discussed the content of the Ponders End consultation document and agreed that any minor changes be delegated and

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE - 5.7.2010

agreed by Councillor Goddard, as Chairman of the Sub-Committee. A number of issues were highlighted with regard to the transport considerations and the awaited outcome of the A1010 Corridor Study. The text of the consultation document would be amended to make clear that the results of the Study were awaited and to ensure that expectations were not raised inappropriately through the consultation document. The text under Issue number 10 would be amended together with cross-references later in the consultation document. It may be possible to incorporate a specific workshop on transport issues later in the consultation period should the results of the A1010 become available within the specified timescales.

Alternative Options Considered: None considered.

DECISION: The Local Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee

1. agreed the Ponders End Central Planning Brief for consultation;
2. agreed that any further minor changes to the Ponders End Central Planning Brief be delegated and agreed with the Chairman of the Local Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee.

Reason: Ongoing consultation on Local Development Documents was a statutory requirement.

7

LBE RESPONSE TO HARINGEY COUNCIL'S PROPOSED SUBMISSION CORE STRATEGY

Councillor Del Goddard introduced the report of the Director of Place Shaping and Enterprise (No.25) seeking endorsement of the Council's response to London Borough of Haringey's Proposed Submission Core Strategy (May 2010).

NOTED

1. the response which had been made as set out in Appendix 1 to the report. Comments had been made regarding inconsistencies and points of clarification raised;
2. the detrimental effects on residential areas located close to areas of strategic industrial land;
3. that Members would welcome the opportunity to work closely with Haringey Council on the issues which had been highlighted including the industrial challenges. The relationship between residential and industrial uses included within the Waste Plan would be considered;

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE - 5.7.2010

4. Members asked that the response to Haringey Council emphasised that Enfield Council would welcome the opportunity to hold joint discussions with Haringey.

Alternative Options Considered: None considered.

DECISION: The Local Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee agreed to endorse the Council's response to the London Borough of Haringey's Proposed Submission Core Strategy (as detailed in Appendix 1 to the report).

Reason: To ensure that planning growth and housing delivery were not compromised by core policies and infrastructure planning for the delivery of Haringey's Core Strategy.

8

PROGRESS OF THE LDF CORE STRATEGY EXAMINATION

Joanne Woodward updated Members on the progress of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Examination which had commenced on 29 June 2010.

NOTED

1. that the examination process was progressing well. A Corporate approach was being taken. Members were advised of the timescales for the examination period and the particular issues which were being discussed. Officers from the GLA were attending to support the process;
2. that a report would be issued following the completion of the examination process which was expected to be presented to full Council in November, following consideration by the Local Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee and the Cabinet.

9

MINUTES

NOTED, for information, the minutes of the previous meeting of the Local Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee held on 21 April 2010. The minutes had been agreed as a correct record by the previous members of the Sub-Committee.

10

DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

NOTED that the following dates had been scheduled for future meetings of the Local Development Framework Cabinet Sub-Committee during the municipal year 2010/2011:

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE - 5.7.2010

Tuesday 21 September 2010
Thursday 21 October 2010
Tuesday 9 November 2010
Wednesday 8 December 2010
Tuesday 8 February 2011
Tuesday 22 March 2011

A suggested earlier start time for future meetings of the Sub-Committee would be confirmed with Members.