

CONSERVATION ADVISORY GROUP 01 MARCH 2011

MEMBERS: Councillors: Christopher Cole, Ingrid Cranfield, *Christiana During, Marcus East, Daniel Pearce*

Co-opted: *Mr. D. Stacey* (Chairman) (Bush Hill Park Conservation Area Study Group), **Mr. T Dey** (Vice Chairman) (Federation of Enfield Residents and Allied Associations) **Mr. P. Fisk** (Forty Hill and Bulls Cross Study Group), **Mr. A. Newman** (Clay Hill Study Group), **Ms H Walton** (Edmonton Study Group), **Dr. C. Jephcott** (Enfield Preservation Society), **Mr. B. Macdonald** (Enfield Town Conservation Area Study Group), **Mrs. A. Bishop-Laggett** (Federation of Enfield Residents and Allied Associations), **Mrs. I. Stone** (Southgate District Civic Trust), *Dr. D. Gandhi* (Southgate Green Study Group), **Mr. P Hutchinson** (Grange Park Conservation Area Study Group), *Mr T Hanrahan* (Meadway Conservation Area Study Group), *Mr. G. Dalling* (Edmonton Hundred Historical Society) and **Mr. D. Alexander** (Trent Park Conservation Committee) **Mr C Younger** (Lakes Estate Conservation Area Study Group).

Officers: Christine White (Conservation Planning), Dave Marshall (Senior Street Lighting Officer, Lorraine Cox, Cultural Services Manager & Jacqui Glover (Clerk).

Also present: Mr Chris Horner (Southgate Green Study Group) and 2 members of D W Webster.

Italics denote absence.

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies of absence were received from: Councillors; During, East and D. Pearce ,

2. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

NONE

3. MINUTES OF 1 FEBRUARY 2011

RESOLVED Item 7, **RESOLVED:** would *affect* access to the car park, Point 7.2: *The Enfield Society* (TES), Point 9.3: *This was the view of the Forty Hill and Bulls Cross Study Group*, 9.5: This was a comment of the *current* building rather than the proposed, Point 9.20 SDCT support the *revised* scheme Other than these minor amendments it was agreed that the Minutes of the meeting held on 01 February 2011 were duly accepted as a true record of the meeting.

4. REPLACEMENT STREET LIGHTING

Dave Marshall and two representatives from D W Webster attended the meeting

4.1 The Clay Hill Study Group queried the height of columns already installed in Bulls Cross and signage on old columns which could be better addressed to fix signs to new lamp columns rather than install sign additional column

4.2 The CHSG: commented on substantial urban design columns in a rural part of the borough; creating a negative effect on the conservation area. The siting of the columns should respect Listed Buildings.

- 4.3 DM: We will try to re-use columns and transfer signage across to the new unit, and will address where possible.
- 4.4 DM: The Council will endeavour to address too many columns located outside Charity Hall N9.
- 4.5. DM: The Council will address all instances of trees blocking the lighting. Request individuals to inform him of such occurrences.
- 4.6 FHBCSG asked if the tree surgeon should be contacted in these instances>
- 4.7 DM: Tree Officer to monitor
- 4.8 The CHSG informed that placing the 8m unit will not illuminate from within a tree canopy and is therefore too urban for the Bulls Cross Area especially as 6m unit is installed in Maidens Bridge,
- 4.9 Action: Websters to look at 6m scheme, to see possible impact**
- 4.10 Replacement road light scheme for Southgate Green programmed for March/April. Revised layout will be available before install.
- 4.11 Winchmore Hill Green - there is an issue with supply of Heritage Lamps at present which should be resolved within 4 weeks.

The agenda was changed

5. 2012; HOW IT WILL AFFECT HERITAGE IN ENFIELD

Lorraine Cox from the Cultural Services Team invited the conservation area study groups to contact her with their ideas on how their organisations might connect to Enfield's part in London's preparation to celebrate the 2012 Olympic Games and The Queen's Diamond Jubilee. She advised that 7 out of 10 visitors come to London because of Heritage.

- 5.1 The key period for events will be May – September 2012
- 5.2 There will be special Olympic, priority, press & emergency routes, which may impact locally on the North Circular Road and the A10.
- 5.3 White water canoeing in Lea Valley is Enfield's nearest site, training will be taking place at the high performance centre there.
- 5.4 The 57th Enfield Town Show will be an integral part of Enfield's celebration.
- 5.5 Advice will be available on the Council's website on how to organise street parties for the forthcoming Jubilee celebrations. (The Grange Park Study Group advised how they had had an annual Boxing Day Tug of War since the Silver Jubilee of 1977
- 5.6 Councillor Cranfield suggested a treasure trail/organised walk based on Enfield's literary legacies: Lamb, Keats and James Leigh Hunt.
- RESOLVED:** Responses received by 31st March will be uploaded in London's Cultural Diary and locally with all events that are happening in Enfield. The Council may be able to offer some support, coordination and free publicity.

6. PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND LISTED BUILDINGS FOR DISCUSSION

NOTED:

TP/10/1722 1A LICHFIELD ROAD

- 6.1 NOTED: The Edmonton Study Group would not support any plan with access across the open space.
- 6.2 **RESOLVED:** Whilst the Group appreciated that this was a more sympathetic approach, they objected on the grounds of inappropriate access / appropriation of public park land, exacerbated by the proposed overlooking windows, to the detriment of this valued part of the conservation area. Access to the site should remain from

Lichfield Road only. Setting aside the objection in principle to access and openings on the Church St elevation, further and better details of the openings would be required.

TP/11/0031 50 & 52 RALEIGH ROAD EN2 6UB

6.3 NOTED: The Enfield Town Study Group has concerns about the frontage and proposed side entrance which they felt would unbalance the symmetry of the building.

6.4 **RESOLVED:** The Group objected to the side extension, which would have a detrimental impact on the balanced symmetrical appearance of the villa. The proposed side extension is also out of keeping in terms of roof form, proportions and detailing of openings.

TP/11/0038 66 ULLESWATER ROAD N14 7BT

6.5 NOTED: This application had been refused prior to the meeting. The Lakes Conservation area Study Group had submitted their objections to the Case Officer

TP/10/1800 PUMPING STATION, HADLEY ROAD EN2 8LA

6.6 NOTED: The Group also requested a condition requiring EH standard recording (measured drawings and photographs) of the interior. Roof lights should be kept to a minimum and be of least obtrusive form. Is non reflective glass available?

6.7 **RESOLVED:** No objection subject to the following. The Group request a robust look at the replacement window proposals, including details in the form of full, measured drawings to be submitted before works commence. The window transom should not be a full floor slab depth.

7. CHAIRMAN'S FEEDBACK FROM PLANNING COMMITTEE

NOTED:

7.1 HIGHMEAD: Planning committee approved the application, which the Group had given basic support.

7.2 Garage at the Water Tower: The Group had recommended that the garage be set back from the building. However, the committee did not agree and approved the application, by a majority vote.

8. APPEAL DECISION: 80A ALDERMANS HILL, LONDON N13 4PP

The appeal does not appear on the agenda but was tabled for the Group's comments.

NOTED:

8.1 The Inspector had decided to dismiss the appeal; The Enfield Society said that whilst this decision was very welcome it did highlight the inconsistency of inspectors' reports and that various inspectors took different views.

8.2 The Group were pleased that the inspector had referred to the Council's Character Appraisal for the Lakes Conservation Area.

9. CONSERVATION AREAS, LISTED BUILDING APPLICATIONS AND APPEALS – DETERMINED

NOTED: No comments were taken

10. OPEN SESSION

NOTED:

10.1 CHARITY HALL/SCHOOL HOUSE: The Council has requested that the building be included in the Buildings at Risk Review, due in the next few weeks. The decision will be made by English Heritage.

10.1.2 The proposal to relocate the bus stop has been referred to the Highways Team and Transport for London.

10.1.3 The Edmonton Study Group reported that they had not received a reply from The Highways Team but had spoken to Edmund Bird of TFL. They had 3 key points regarding the building: 1) Urgent repair to the fabric of the building, 2) Long term future of the building, a business plan would be required and 3) Moving of the bus stop to stop more damage.

10.1.4 The ESG had sought information regarding the required distance between bus stops, although a reply has not been received.

10.1.5 The ESG commented on the balancing of costs: English Heritage quote £30,000 for repairs and the Highways Team quote £30,000 for removing the island.

10.1.6 Councillor Cranfield has asked that the building be put on a Scoping Programme for capital works and can enquire through a members enquiry

10.2 BUSH HILL PARK GOLF COURSE: Concerns were raised over the use of landfill soil at the possible effect on trees in the area which are mentioned in the areas Character Appraisal, and also the environmental impact on Salmon's Brook.

10.3 CECIL ROAD/SARNESFIELD ROAD: repeated concerns were raised regarding the blocked paved area at the junction of the two roads, 2 vehicles were reportedly parked there day and night. **Action: CW to refer to Enforcement Team**

10.4 11 CECIL ROAD: The Enfield Society expressed disappointment that the scheme had been approved despite the Group's objection

10.5 LAKES ESTATE CONSERVATION AREA. Lack of street photographic evidence. The Lakes Estate CA study group are considering undertaking photographic benchmarking.

10.6 18 THE GREEN N21. An inappropriate shopfront has been installed. **Action: CW to refer to Enforcement Team**

10.7 WHITE LODGE, SILVER STREET. Early replacement of wall and gate requested. **Action: CW to refer to Enforcement Team**

11. CALENDAR OF MEETINGS

NOTED: that the next meeting of the Group would be on **07 APRIL 2011**