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Co-opted: Mr D. Stacey - Bush Hill Park Conservation Area Study Group
Mr T Dey - Federation of Enfield Residents and Allied Associations
Mr P. Fisk - Forty Hill and Bulls Cross Study Group
Ms H Walton - Edmonton Study Group
Dr C. Jephcott – The Enfield Society
Mrs C. Carter - Enfield Town Conservation Area Study Group
Mrs A Bishop-Laggatt - Federation of Enfield Residents and Allied Associations
Mrs I Stone - Southgate District Civic Trust
Mr D Alexander - Trent Park Conservation Committee
Mr A Newman – Clay Hill Study Group
Dr D Gandhi – Southgate Green Study Group
Mr P Hutchinson – Grange Park Conservation Area Study Group
Mr T Hanrahan – Meadway Conservation Area Study Group
Mr G Dalling – Edmonton Hundred Historical Society
Mr C Younger – Lakes Estate Conservation Study Group

Director of Environment
AGENDA – PART 1

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (if any)

2. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS
   Members to identify any personal or prejudicial interests relevant to any items on the agenda. Please see attached guidance.

   ATTACHMENT A

3. MINUTES
   To confirm the Minutes of the meeting that took place on 7th August 2012.

   ATTACHMENT B

4. ENFIELD COLLEGE SITE OFF THE RIDE EN3 7DY
   Councillor Cole received the attached letter regarding the Enfield College site which was the subject of the applications reference P12-01762PLA. Due to the size of the documents the complete set will be sent by email.

   ATTACHMENT C

5. CONSERVATION AREA APPRAISALS (CAA) REVIEW
   Eight of the groups stated there had been no change to their conservation areas since the appraisals, they have been asked to reassess this. This item is to allow a brief update and reminder on these.

6. PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND LISTED BUILDING APPLICATIONS FOR DISCUSSION

   ATTACHMENT D

7. CHAIRMAN’S FEEDBACK FROM PLANNING COMMITTEE
   To receive for information feedback from the CAG Chairman from Planning Committee of 14th August 2012.

8. CONSERVATION OFFICER’S FEEDBACK ABOUT LAST MONTH’S CASES

9. CONSERVATION AREAS, LISTED BUILDING APPLICATIONS AND APPEALS–DETERMINED

   ATTACHMENT E

10. OPEN SESSION
    To enable members of the Conservation Advisory Group to bring up urgent matters not covered elsewhere on the agenda

11. CALENDAR OF MEETINGS
    To receive for information, the calendar of meetings for the Municipal Year 2012

   ATTACHMENT F

12. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS

   TO CONSIDER PASSING A RESOLUTION UNDER SECTION 100A(4) OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 EXCLUDING THE PRESS AND PUBLIC
FROM THE MEETING FOR THE ITEMS OF BUSINESS MOVED TO PART 2 OF THE AGENDA ON THE GROUNDS THAT THEY INVOLVE THE LIKELY DISCLOSURE OF EXEMPT INFORMATION AS WILL BE DEFINED IN THOSE PARAGRAPHS OF PART 1 OF THE SCHEDULE 12A TO THE ACT, AT THE MEETING.

(There are currently no items in Part 2 of the Agenda unless any items are transferred from Part 1).
What matters are being discussed at the meeting?

Do any relate to my interests whether already registered or not?

YES → Is a particular matter close to me?

Does it affect:
- me or my partner;
- my relatives or their partners;
- my friends or close associates;
- either me, my family or close associates:
  - job and business;
  - employers, firms you or they are a partner of and companies you or they are a Director of
  - or them to any position;
- corporate bodies in which you or they have a shareholding of more than £25,000 (nominal value);
- my entries in the register of interests

more than it would affect the majority of people in the ward affected by the decision, or in the authority’s area or constituency?

YES → Personal interest

You may have a personal interest

Declare your personal interest in the matter. You can remain in meeting, speak and vote unless the interest is also prejudicial; or
If your interest arises solely from your membership of, or position of control or management on any other public body or body to which you were nominated by the authority e.g. Governing Body, ALMO, you only need declare your personal interest if and when you speak on the matter, again providing it is not prejudicial.

NO → Prejudicial interest

You may have a prejudicial interest

Does the matter affect your financial interests or relate to a licensing, planning or other regulatory matter; and
Would a member of the public (knowing the relevant facts) reasonably think that your personal interest was so significant that it would prejudice your judgement of public interest?

YES → Prejudicial interest

Do the public have speaking rights at the meeting?

YES → You should declare the interest but can remain in the meeting to speak. Once you have finished speaking (or the meeting decides you have finished - if earlier) you must withdraw from the meeting by leaving the room.

NO → Prejudicial interest

You should declare the interest and withdraw from the meeting by leaving the room. You cannot speak or vote on the matter and must not seek to improperly influence the decision.

Note: If in any doubt about a potential interest, members are asked to seek advice from Democratic Services in advance of the meeting.
MINUTES OF THE CONSERVATION ADVISORY GROUP MEETING
7 August 2012

MEMBERS
Councillors: Jayne Buckland, Christopher Cole, Geoffrey Robinson, Daniel Pearce and Michael Lavender

Co-opted: Mr D. Stacey (Chairman) - Bush Hill Park Conservation Area Study Group
Mr T. Dey (Vice Chairman) - Federation of Enfield Residents and Allied Associations
Mr P. Fisk - Forty Hill and Bulls Cross Study Group
Dr C. Jephcott - The Enfield Society
Mrs C. Carter - Enfield Town Conservation Area Study Group
Mrs A. Bishop-Laggatt - Federation of Enfield Residents and Allied Associations
Mr A. Newman - Clay Hill Study Group
Mr C. Johnson - Grange Park Conservation Area Study Group
Mr T. Hanrahan - Meadway Conservation Area Study Group
Mr C. Younger - Lakes Estate Conservation Study Group
Mr C. Horner - Southgate Green Study Group
Mrs D. Gandhi - Southgate Green Study Group
Mr D. Alexander - Trent Park Conservation Committee
Ms H. Walton - Edmonton Study Group (part time)
Mrs I. Stone – Southgate District Civic Trust
Mr G. Dalling - Edmonton Hundred Historical Society

Officers: Aled Richards - Head of Development Management, Heloise Brown - Conservation Officer, Paul Walker - Assistant Director, Policy and Regeneration, Joanne Woodward - Head of Strategic Planning and Design & Christine White - Senior Heritage Officer

Italics denotes absence
AGENDA – PART 1

1. **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**
   Colin Younger, Paul Hutchinson, Irene Stone, Tony Dey, Andrew Newman and Cllr Buckland

2. **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**
   None

3. **MINUTES**
   Under Open Session (bullet point 1) it was confirmed the note referred to Trent Park. The minutes were agreed as a true record.

4. **DMD – CAG’s RESPONSE**
   Noted

5. **HERITAGE AND DESIGN TEAM PROJECTS**
   Christine White presented the Heritage and Design Team’s Project Programme (dated 7/8/12). Each item was discussed together with the anticipated programme. It was noted that some items were particularly demanding on resources; in particular the Local Heritage Review. CAG raised their concerns regarding solar panels. Each group was urged to support the Article 4 Review evidence-based submission being prepared by officers.

   **Action by each group** - Submit, to the Heritage and Design Team, borough wide evidence (photo’s etc) of solar panel installations where they can be seen from the public space.

6. **CONSERVATION AREA MANAGEMENT PROPOSALS (CAMP) AND CONSERVATION AREA APPRAISALS (CAA) REVIEW**
   Christine White presented the paper regarding CAMP and CAA review.
   - Regarding CAMP Christine White stated that CAG’s full input has been received and is now being considered by the Heritage and Design Team. A presentation of the draft CAMP will be made to CAG at their September meeting.
   - Concerning CAA the original 16 conservation area appraisals now require examination to ensure they are current. They are a key reference in the planning process and it is important that they are up to date. Physical changes in the last five years may require alterations to the text (new builds/ and significant changes to existing properties etc). Where changes have occurred to properties amendments may be required to the maps relating to Historical Development, Townscape Analysis & Building Survival.
   Further, the section relating to Problems and Pressures will also need re-visiting to address recent issues such as solar panels, BT cabinets and the like.

   Chairman’s post meeting note;
   **Heritage and Design Team has provided Dennis Stacey with a summary of the response received from each group. Many groups have said no change (see below). Each group is urged to re-visit their original comments in the light of the parameters set out above.**

   **Trent Park - Detailed comments received (but see parameters above)**
   **Forty Hill & Bulls Cross - ditto**
   **Clay Hill - ditto**

   **Bush Hill Park - No change**
Southgate Green - ditto
Winchmore Hill - ditto
Vicars Moor Lane - ditto
Enfield Town - ditto
Hadley Wood - ditto
Highlands - ditto
Church Street - ditto
Turkey Street - No group; Chris Jephcott requested to advise
Ponders End Flour Mills - No group; Christine White/Dennis Stacey to make a site visit
Montague Cemeteries - No group
Fore Street - ditto
Enfield lock – ditto

Action by each group - Re-examine original comments and submit amended advice, to the Heritage and Design Team, by CAG’s October meeting.

7. PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND LISTED BUILDING APPLICATIONS FOR DISCUSSION

It was noted that the proposed design follows the schematic proposals, prepared by Enfield, when marketing the site.

- CAG continue object to the scheme as overdeveloped.
- The site is too narrow to support three properties.
- The second floor (dormers and building height) appear incongruous and out of keeping with the area.
- The amenity space provision is substantially deficient.

Summary: Objection

P12-01835PRE Commercial Premises, Wilson Street, London, N21 1BP
Only
The principle of developing the commercial premises has been considered by CAG in, circa, 2005. The proposals were granted permission but this expired in 2010. This current pre-application shows only a ground floor block plan indicating the number of proposed properties. It appears to show bay windows. It is not possible to determine amenity space nor the efficacy of the maisonette and traffic arrangement.
Irene Stone’s views were conveyed to the Group. No objection to the principle of the development subject to the design and form being close to those of the terrace opposite.

- The principle of development is welcomed.
- The street elevation, in terms of height, massing and detailing must match the properties on the opposite side of Wilson Street.
- More detailed plans are needed to ensure the site can take seven properties.
- The turning head and traffic arrangements will be an issue.
- The maisonette appears minute when compared to the other (bijou) properties.
- Amenity space is not deemed critical in what is a tightly built area.

Summary: Approval

P12-01780PRE The White Lodge, 68 Silver Street, Enfield, EN1
CAG questioned the ability of a modern surgery to be accommodated in an historic building. All the proposed changes, save for the railings, will impair the historic architecture. Whilst some of the proposals can be amended to lessen their impact, none the less, the house will be impaired.

- The disabled ramp must be formed in flagstones.
- The internal screen must be moved back to the structural wall to avoid impairing the internal architecture of the entrance hall.
- Bringing the pharmacy forward will impair the historic setting and line of the existing frontage. The mature tree, currently fronting the pharmacy, will be lost.
- The principle of new railings and wall are acceptable.

Summary: Objection

8. CHAIRMAN’S FEEDBACK FROM PLANNING COMMITTEE
   16 The Grangeway N21 2HA (external flue to a proposed take away) was rejected by the committee. The officer’s report, supported by CAG’s advice, was commensurate with that decision.

9. CONSERVATION OFFICER’S FEEDBACK ABOUT LAST MONTH’S CASES
   Heloise Brown gave the following update;

- Barclays Bank (20 The Town) Enfield - Ramp must be installed but window works substantially improved. The main doors will be kept in situ but will become sliding doors that will be closed at night.
- Middlesex University, Ponders End - The existing fenestration must be retained. 20C Society also requires retention of the windows, the caretaker’s cottage and the removal of the additional storey over the auditorium. The applicants have been asked to provide technical justification for the removal of the windows and more detail on the work to the interiors.
- Enfield Grammar School – The applicants have been advised to withdraw the applications for further consideration by the applicant’s architect.
- Gates, Enfield Town Centre - Photo montage shown to CAG. Their previous advice still stands.

10. CONSERVATION AREAS, LISTED BUILDING APPLICATIONS AND APPEALS – DETERMINED
    No issues raised

11. ENFORCEMENT CASEWORK UPDATE REPORT
    Robert Oles reviewed, and answered queries, on the current enforcement cases

12. OPEN SESSION
    - Anne Bishop-Laggatt queried whether any regeneration projects are scheduled in London Road (immediately opposite the old Woolworth’s store) the area is looking run down. Aled Richards stated that nothing was in the offing.
    - Caroline Carter raised the CLG proposals on changes on listed building legislation. Heloise Brown confirmed a briefing paper would be prepared. Councillors also to be advised.
    - In response to a question raised by Tony Dey;
    - Truro House - No change, property watertight. The property is inspected annually by English Heritage/Enfield. No further progress from the developer (legal agreement)
      Water Tower, The Ridgeway – Works continue, pre application to
extend new basement received in January but no follow up.
Conservation Advisory Group
Councillors
Jayne Buckland, Christopher Cole, Michael Lavender, Daniel Pearce and Geoffrey Robinson
Civic Centre
Silver Street
Enfield
EN1 3XA

Dear Councillors,

Re: Enfield Council Planning Application P12-01762PLA made by Cuckoo Hall Academies Trust.

As Councillors of Wards closest to the above site and members of Conservation Advisory Group, I write to seek your advice with regard to the above Application.

Firstly, I recognise the undeniable need for additional School Places in this and many areas of the country. I would simply question the suitability of the proposed site.

The planning application proposes to develop a section of Enfield College Playing Fields which has Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) protection and the entire adjacent section of Open Green Space where smaller scale sports activities are occasionally held. Figure 1 shows the area in question.
Local History

As a resident of Collinwood Avenue for over 20 years I am particularly proud of the rich history of the area. You will of course know much more about the history than myself, but I understand the name of John Ponder whose family may have held land in the area dates back to 1373.

The whole area surrounding Durants Park was rich with Market Gardens and Orchards said to be the main parish for market gardening in northern Middlesex by 1900. Ponders End Junior Technical which eventually became Ambrose Fleming School and now Enfield College has been on the Ponders End site since 1911. Collinwood Avenue was developed in 1937 and the rear aspect to the East Side has always been an open view of Playing Fields and extensive Parkland.

Open Green Space

Prior to construction of The Ride Building and the Access Road, the Open Green Space formed part of the Playing Field. Figure 2 shows The Ride Building within the site boundary and figure 3 shows the proposed building footprint.

The Open Green Space is not currently designated MOL however it forms a Green Chain with the Playing Field and is contiguous, albeit separated by an access road.

You will already be aware that the current round of MOL boundary reviews proposes to include this and other areas into MOL designation. The review will undergo further rounds of consultation before submission to the Secretary of State towards the end of 2013.

The Open Green Space meets multiple MOL conditions laid out in the London Plan as referred to in the Metropolitan Open Land & Green Chain Associated Open Space Review April 2012.
The London Plan POLICY 7.17 section D

To designate land as MOL boroughs need to establish that the land meets at least one of the following criteria:

a) it contributes to the physical structure of London by being clearly distinguishable from the built up area
b) it includes open air facilities, especially for leisure, recreation, sport, the arts and cultural activities, which serve either the whole or significant parts of London
c) it contains features or landscapes (historic, recreational, biodiversity) of either national or metropolitan value
d) it forms part of a Green Chain or a link in the network of green infrastructure and meets one of the above criteria.

Policy Contraventions

The Playing Field is designated MOL and the proposed development encroaches upon this MOL as shown in figure 4.
The MOL encroachment contravenes the following policies:

The London Plan POLICY 7.17 sections A and B

• Section A The Mayor strongly supports the current extent of Metropolitan Open Land (MOL), its extension in appropriate circumstances and its protection from development having an adverse impact on the openness of MOL.

• Section B The strongest protection should be given to London’s Metropolitan Open Land and inappropriate development refused, except in very special circumstances, giving the same level of protection as in the Green Belt. Essential ancillary facilities for appropriate uses will only be acceptable where they maintain the openness of MOL.

The Enfield Plan Core Strategy 2010-2025

• CORE POLICIES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE
  Section 8.91 – The quantity of open space by type will be monitored to achieve a target of no net loss of protected open space designated as Metropolitan Open Land due to new development.

• CORE POLICY 34 – PARKS, PLAYINGFIELDS AND OTHER OPEN SPACES.
  The Council will protect and enhance existing open space and seek opportunities to improve the provision of good quality and accessible open space in the Borough by protecting Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) and extending its designation to include green chains that meet MOL designation criteria.

The proposed development is not a small scale structure and it has an adverse impact on the openness of the Playing Field. This development will result in the irretrievable loss of an Open Green Space.

The proposed site and the surrounding gardens, hedges, trees and scrub are a haven for all manner of bird and animal life (I am able to supply a list of the identified species if required). The proposed development will push many of these species away to quieter locations and deprive the immediate area of much of its biodiversity.

• CORE POLICY 36 – BIODIVERSITY
  The London Borough of Enfield will seek to protect, enhance, restore or add to biodiversity interests within the Borough, including parks, playing fields and other sports spaces, green corridors, waterways, sites, habitats and species identified at a European, national, London or local level as being of importance for nature conservation.

• CORE POLICIES FOR PLACES
  Section 9.37 – Ponders End contains significant and viable sites for change and redevelopment, many of which have already attracted significant developer interest for mixed use redevelopment.

Is it appropriate to develop and spoil this wonderful and natural Green Space? The area has never been developed and has historical significance by way of the Market Gardens and Orchards that once stood here.
Description of Characteristics

1. The applicant describes the development as follows, “The proposal seeks the redevelopment of an urban site that is currently located within College grounds”.

   As part of their wider expansion program Enfield College submitted a Planning Application in 2011 ref. TP/11/1285 which included the removal of The Ride Building to be replaced by a 33 space Car Park. The vast majority of area covered by the proposed site is Open Green Space which has historically never been developed, therefore it would be incorrect to classify this proposal as ‘Redevelopment’.

2. The applicant describes the proposed site as follows, “The site does not lie within any defined area of environmental sensitivity as defined by the Regulations”.

   “Given the nature of the development and lack of sensitivity of the site, it is concluded that any effects of the development will be local to the site and its immediate surroundings and no ‘significant environmental effects’ are likely to arise”.

   I would appreciate your comments on the status of the proposed site, environmental or conservational.

Development on areas protected as MOL is a serious matter and Local Development Framework (LDF) Policies are designed to ensure it does not take place. I would kindly request your consideration of the above points when reviewing this Planning Application.

Yours sincerely,

Karim Jetha
Description: Construction of high wire adventure course within Church Wood to the south and south east of Trent Park cafe and car park with associated equipment, reception cabin and shelter (RETROSPECTIVE).

Site and Surroundings: The site is within Trent Park, south of the café, car park and start of the Lime Avenue. The Duke’s obelisk marks the start of the Avenue and is a grade II-listed monument. The Go Ape area is set within a small area of woodland. The park is also a designated Conservation Area, registered Park and Garden and forms the curtilage of the Grade II-listed mansion.

Impact on the Heritage Assets: Much of the course will be concealed by foliage but the shelters will be visible from the listed monument. The café and car parks are noted as having a detrimental impact on the character of the conservation area in the Appraisal. The Lime Avenue and setting of the monument will also be affected to an extent.

To consider: CAG have previously considered this application before. The permission that was granted is subject to judicial review and the applicants have made another application for the same proposals.
Proposed plan of site
Proposed forest shelter

Example of proposed cabin
Description: Change of use of farm shop to tea room to serve as an extension to the existing tea room, rebuilding of an agricultural lean-to building to accommodate the relocated farm shop, new entrance porch to serve the new farm shop and enclosing of the existing agricultural lean-to building to form a kitchen and storage areas for the farm shop and tea room (RETROSPECTIVE).

Site and Surroundings: The site is a farm on the south side of the Hadley Road. The farmhouse and the adjoining barn to the east are grade-II listed. The house is early C19th and the attached barn is C17th or early C18th and appears to have been moved to the site when the house was built. The buildings to the east which now form the tea rooms (housed in another barn), kitchen and shop are curtilage-listed.

Impact on the Heritage Assets: The farm shop (formerly a lean-to) and kitchens and store to the rear of the shop have been simply constructed from breeze blocks with weatherboarding with corrugated iron roofs. One of the barns has been adapted to accommodate the existing tea room. Although the development does not directly affect the specifically listed structures they all form part of a historic group.

To Consider: To assess the impact of the design of the kitchen/store and shop and the conversion of the barn to a tea room on the listed buildings.
Proposed site plan
Farm shop side elevation

P12-01982ADV, 8, CHURCH STREET, ENFIELD, MIDDLESEX, EN2 6BE
Case Officer: Robert Singleton

Description: Installation of 1 x externally illuminated fascia sign, 1 x projecting sign and 2 x window display signs to front.
Site and Surroundings: The site is on the south side of Church Street within Enfield Town Conservation Area. The building is one of a parade, next door to Pearson’s Department store. The parade’s shop fronts have been replaced though the pilasters and many of the upper floor windows survive.

Impact on Heritage Assets: The signage and replacement shop front will affect the character of the conservation area. Originally the shop fronts on the parade would have been identical.

To Consider: Is the material proposed, colour scheme and an improvement on the current shopfront?
List of Decisions in respect of Conservation Areas
FROM: 02/08/2012 TO: 29/08/2012

**Conservation Area:** Enfield Town Conservation Area

**Application No.:** P12-01733PLA

**Decision:** GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS  
**Decision date:** 28-Aug-2012

**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant’s Name:** Denise Everitt-Story  
**Location:** 83, HOLLY WALK, ENFIELD, MIDDLESEX, EN2 6QB  
**Proposal:** Single storey rear extension.

**Case Officer:** Mr R. Singleton  0208 8379 3837  
**Ward:** Town

---

**Conservation Area:** Bush Hill Park Conservation Area.

**Application No.:** P12-01268PLA

**Decision:** GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS  
**Decision date:** 07-Aug-2012

**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant’s Name:** Mark & Toni Cushieri  
**Location:** 37, WELLINGTON ROAD, ENFIELD, EN1 2PF  
**Proposal:** First floor rear extension, installation of bi-folding doors at ground floor rear, relocation of side door and front porch canopy.

**Case Officer:** Ms E. Kiernan  0208 8379 3830  
**Ward:** Bush Hill Park

---

**Conservation Area:** Trent Park Conservation Area.

**Application No.:** P12-01397HER

**Decision:** GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS  
**Decision date:** 24-Aug-2012

**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant’s Name:** Neil Cottrell, Banner Homes Ltd  
**Location:** THE COTTAGE, 17, GAMES ROAD, BARNET, EN4 9HN  
**Proposal:** Demolition of existing boundary wall to part front and side boundary and erection of a replacement.

**Case Officer:** Mrs S.L. Davidson  0208 8379 3841  
**Ward:** Cockfosters

---

**Conservation Area:** Enfield Town Conservation Area

**Application No.:** P12-01594PRI

**Decision:** Pre-application advice given  
**Decision date:** 08-Aug-2012

**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant’s Name:** J & L Build Ltd  
**Location:** Vacant Land, 9, GENOTIN ROAD, ENFIELD, EN1 2AA  
**Proposal:** Erection of 9 flats in two blocks

**Case Officer:** Mr R. Singleton  0208 8379 3837  
**Ward:** Grange

---

**Conservation Area:** Southgate Circus Conservation Area

**Application No.:** P12-01103PLA
**Decision:** REFUSED  
**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant's Name:** Zubair Shaikh  
**Location:** 11, TUDOR WAY, LONDON, N14 6PS  
**Case Officer:** Ms H. Brown 0208 8379 4019  
**Ward:** Southgate  

**Proposal:** Replacement windows to front and rear.

**Decision date:** 29-Aug-2012

---

**Decision:** WITHDRAWN  
**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant's Name:** Caner Sahin  
**Location:** 183, FORE STREET, LONDON, N18 2UD  
**Case Officer:** Mr R. Reilly 0208 8379 3062  
**Ward:** Edmonton Green

**Proposal:** Change of use from professional services (B1) to an educational arts centre (D1).

**Decision date:** 22-Aug-2012

---

**Decision:** REFUSED  
**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant's Name:** Mr David Silver  
**Location:** 10B, FOX LANE, LONDON, N13 4AH  
**Case Officer:** Mr N. Catherall 0208 8379 3833  
**Ward:** Winchmore Hill

**Proposal:** Alterations to fenestration to Flat B involving removing side windows and fanlight and installation of new door and replacement window at rear.

**Decision date:** 24-Aug-2012

---

**Decision:** GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS  
**Level:** Planning Committee  
**Applicant's Name:** Mrs Kaye Wildman  
**Location:** FORESTERS HALL, 44, CHASE SIDE, ENFIELD, EN2 6NF  
**Case Officer:** Ms L. Dye 0208 8379 1203  
**Ward:** Town

**Proposal:** Variation of TP/11/1562 condition 09 to allow use of the rear outdoor play area for a max. of 9 children at any one given time.

**Decision date:** 28-Aug-2012

---

**Decision:** Pre-application advice given  
**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant's Name:** David G. Williamson & Co.  
**Location:** 6, DRYDEN ROAD, ENFIELD, EN1 2PP  
**Case Officer:** Ms E. Kiernan 0208 8379 3830  
**Ward:** Bush Hill Park

**Proposal:** Proposed change of use from care home for the elderly to 9 self-contained residential units.

**Decision date:** 24-Aug-2012

---

**Decision:** Pre-application advice given  
**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant's Name:** David G. Williamson & Co.  
**Location:** 6, DRYDEN ROAD, ENFIELD, EN1 2PP  
**Case Officer:** Ms E. Kiernan 0208 8379 3830  
**Ward:** Bush Hill Park

**Proposal:** Proposed change of use from care home for the elderly to 9 self-contained residential units.

**Decision date:** 24-Aug-2012

---

**Decision:** Pre-application advice given  
**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant's Name:** David G. Williamson & Co.  
**Location:** 6, DRYDEN ROAD, ENFIELD, EN1 2PP  
**Case Officer:** Ms E. Kiernan 0208 8379 3830  
**Ward:** Bush Hill Park

**Proposal:** Proposed change of use from care home for the elderly to 9 self-contained residential units.

**Decision date:** 24-Aug-2012

---

**Decision:** Pre-application advice given  
**Level:** Delegated  
**Applicant's Name:** David G. Williamson & Co.  
**Location:** 6, DRYDEN ROAD, ENFIELD, EN1 2PP  
**Case Officer:** Ms E. Kiernan 0208 8379 3830  
**Ward:** Bush Hill Park

**Proposal:** Proposed change of use from care home for the elderly to 9 self-contained residential units.

**Decision date:** 24-Aug-2012
Decision: REFUSED
Level: Delegated
Applicant’s Name: Paul Meek
Location: 15, CHASE SIDE, ENFIELD, EN2 6NB
Proposal: Loft conversion with skylights at front and juliet balcony at rear with flank windows.
Case Officer: Ms L. Dye 0208 8379 1203
Ward: Town
Conservation Area: The Lakes Conservation Area
Application No.: P12-01170PLA
Decision date: 14-Aug-2012

Decision: GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS
Level: Delegated
Applicant’s Name: Alexander Blair
Location: 9, GROVELANDS ROAD, LONDON, N13 4RJ
Proposal: Construction of chimney stack to north east of gable wall/roof.
Case Officer: Ms H. Brown 0208 8379 4019
Ward: Winchmore Hill
Conservation Area: The Lakes Conservation Area
Application No.: P12-00768PLA
Decision date: 06-Aug-2012

Decision: GRANTED
Level: Delegated
Applicant’s Name: P Morgan
Location: 72, LAKESIDE ROAD, LONDON, N13 4PR
Proposal: Installation of hardstanding to front and vehicular access.
Case Officer: Ms H. Brown 0208 8379 4019
Ward: Winchmore Hill
Conservation Area: The Lakes Conservation Area
Application No.: P12-01531PLA
Decision date: 02-Aug-2012

Decision: REFUSED
Level: Delegated
Applicant’s Name: Jeffrey Coleman
Location: LION ROAD GARAGE, LION ROAD, LONDON, N9 9DN
Proposal: Change of use from storage yard to a hand car wash (RETROSPECTIVE).
Case Officer: Ms E. Kiernan 0208 8379 3830
Ward: Haselbury
Conservation Area: Church Street Edmonton Conservation Area
Application No.: P12-01531PLA
Decision date: 17-Aug-2012

There were no appeal decisions in conservation areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Decision date</th>
<th>Applicant's Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P12-00731HER</td>
<td>WITHDRAWN</td>
<td>24-Aug-2012</td>
<td>Mr Ali Riza Aksu, Alko Group</td>
<td>510, HERTFORD ROAD, ENFIELD, EN3 5SS</td>
<td>works to listed building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO/11/0071</td>
<td>GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS</td>
<td>21-Aug-2012</td>
<td>Phillip Walton, OCA</td>
<td>8, EVERSLEY MOUNT, LONDON, N21 1JP</td>
<td>Works to tree covered by Tree Preservation Order no 2 1961 - 1 x English Oak - Fell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12-01126TRE</td>
<td>REFUSED</td>
<td>22-Aug-2012</td>
<td>Mr Terence, William</td>
<td>103, HIGH STREET, LONDON, N14 6DL</td>
<td>(A): Works a Yew Tree covered by TPO LBE order 1- crown reduce by 3m (B): Works to Yew Tree covered by TPO LBE order 1-All over crown reduction up to 1m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12-01442PLA</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>24-Aug-2012</td>
<td>Caner Sahin</td>
<td>183, FORE STREET, LONDON, N18 2UD</td>
<td>Change of use from professional services (B1) to an educational arts centre (D1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12-01452DEP</td>
<td>WITHDRAWN</td>
<td>22-Aug-2012</td>
<td>Knightspur Homes Ltd</td>
<td>PUMPING STATION, HADLEY ROAD, ENFIELD, EN2 8LA</td>
<td>Details to TP/10/1800 for the recording of the interior of the building, external finishing materials, sections and sample section of the windows, sections of the floor depths, locations of retained/relocated iron staircases, specification of the cleaning of the exterior of building, finish of workshop building roof, scaled drawings of car ports, access roads and junctions, gate and enclosure to site entrance, design and closure of refuse store, method statement for removal of roof tiles pursuant to condition 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17 &amp; 23 for the conversion of existing pump house to provide 8 residential units.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case Officer: Mrs S.L. Davidson 0208 8379 3841

Application No.: P12-01601DEP

Decision: APPROVED

Locally Listed Building

Decision date: 20-Aug-2012

Applicant's Name: Knightspur Homes Ltd

Level: Delegated

Location:

PUMPING STATION, HADLEY ROAD, ENFIELD, EN2 8LA

Proposal:

Details to TP/10/1800 for the relocation of existing internal cast iron stairs, new entrance gate, refuse store submitted pursuant to conditions 07, 10, 16 & 17 for the conversion of existing pump house to provide 8 residential units.

There were no appeal decisions relating to listed buildings.
CONSERVATION ADVISORY GROUP

MEETING DATES FOR THE 2011/2012 MUNICIPAL YEAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/6/12</td>
<td>Room 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/7/12</td>
<td>Room 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/8/12</td>
<td>Conf Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/9/12</td>
<td>Conf Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/10/12</td>
<td>Conf Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/11/12</td>
<td>Conf Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/11/12</td>
<td>Conf Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/13</td>
<td>Room 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/13</td>
<td>Conf Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3/13</td>
<td>Room 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/4/13</td>
<td>Conf Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/4/13</td>
<td>Room 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The start time of the meeting is 7.00pm although the room is booked from 5.30pm for setting up of the plans etc.

Everyone will be informed of any changes in the date or venue as soon as they occur.