

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL
HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 30 SEPTEMBER 2020**

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT Sabri Ozaydin (Mayor), Christine Hamilton (Deputy Mayor), Huseyin Akpınar, Mahmut Aksanoglu, Maria Alexandrou, Daniel Anderson, Kate Anolue, Tolga Aramaz, Guner Aydin, Dinah Barry, Ian Barnes, Mahym Bedekova, Sinan Boztas, Yasemin Brett, Alev Cazimoglu, Nesil Caliskan, Mustafa Cetinkaya, Birsen Demirel, Clare De Silva, Chris Dey, Guney Dogan, Elif Erbil, Ergin Erbil, Susan Erbil, Achilleas Georgiou, Alessandro Georgiou, Margaret Greer, Charith Gunawardena, Ahmet Hasan, Elaine Hayward, James Hockney, Stephanos Ioannou, Rick Jewell, Nneka Keazor, Joanne Laban, Dino Lemonides, Tim Leaver, Derek Levy, Mary Maguire, Andy Milne, Gina Needs, Terence Neville OBE JP, Ayfer Orhan, Vicki Pite, Lindsay Rawlings, Michael Rye OBE, George Savva MBE, Edward Smith, Jim Steven, Doug Taylor, Mahtab Uddin, Glynis Vince and Hass Yusuf

ABSENT Anne Brown, Katherine Chibah, Will Coleshill, Lee David-Sanders, Ergun Eren, Saray Karakus, Bernadette Lappage, Ahmet Oykenen and Claire Stewart

**1
THE MAYOR'S CHAPLAIN TO GIVE A BLESSING**

The Mayor's Chaplain was unable to attend the meeting.

**2
MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE ORDINARY
BUSINESS OF THE COUNCIL**

The Mayor began by wishing everyone good evening and welcoming them to Council meeting.

2. Mayor's Announcements

The Mayor said that he trusted that everyone had had a good summer, despite this strange time when many people were living with the fear and isolation of the pandemic, that has hit the world this year.

He thanked all the staff at the Council who had worked tirelessly throughout the pandemic to provide an excellent service to the public, both working alongside and supporting one another.

On 31 August 2020 he had been invited to Pymmes Park for the Enfield Stands Together - The Big Thank You – where certificates were given to

COUNCIL - 30.9.2020

many volunteers and helpers who had kindly given their time and support to help at the Enfield Food Bank. He said that he knew that there are many more people to thank and that this would be arranged. He was very grateful to everyone who had been involved and thought that the pandemic had shown that Enfield was a place of solidarity and respect. He was very proud to be the Mayor of such a vibrant and enthusiastic Borough.

My Mayoral charity was progressing well, and he had decided to dedicate his fund raising to the following well deserved causes:

1. The Felix Project (Food Bank)
2. NHS
3. Violence Against Women & Children
4. Under privileged, learning difficulty and special needs children.
5. Nightingale Cancer Support Centre
6. Eagles Boxing Club

The Mayor said that as the year progresses, he would be adding to these charities in the hope of helping as many residents of Enfield as possible. His plan was to launch a t-shirt campaign where he would personally fund the purchase and printing of t-shirts designed by companies and individuals who will hopefully go on to purchase these for friends and family members. All the money from the sale of the t-shirts would go directly to the Mayor's Charity.

The Mayor said that it was a particularly difficult time for fund raising generally and many charities were having difficulties gathering urgently needed funds. He hoped that his t-shirt idea would be a long running event which would be successful throughout the year.

The Mayor said that he knew that his friends and fellow members would support him and together they would look forward to better times when they could get together without isolation to enjoy their lives as before.

The Mayor concluded his speech by saying that he wished everyone health and happiness.

The Mayor then asked members to observe a minute's silence in memory of Councillor Chris Bond, a longstanding and dedicated councillor who had recently passed away.

Councillors Caliskan, Laban, Taylor, Levy, Needs, Neville, Aksanoglu, Keazor, Leaver, Barnes, Yusuf and the young mayor spoke in tribute to Chris Bond and his 34 years of service as a councillor in Enfield.

3

MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 1 JULY 2020

The minutes of the Annual Council meeting held on 1 July 2020 were received and agreed as a correct record.

4
APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Brown, Chibah, Eren, David-Sanders, Lappage and Stewart.

5
DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Councillor Rye declared a non-pecuniary interest in Motion 1 and Councillor Taylor declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in item 10 (Reardon Court Extra Care Housing Scheme – Adjustment to the Capital Programme) as he was a director of Energetik.

6
OPPOSITION PRIORITY BUSINESS - ENFIELD COUNCIL OPEN FOR BUSINESS

Councillor Laban introduced the issues paper, prepared by the Opposition Group.

1. Issues highlighted by Councillor Laban were as follows:
 - That Enfield had been slower to open up face to face services following the Coronavirus lockdown than other city centres and London boroughs.
 - The Civic Centre has been closed since March and since July only 4 of the main libraries have been open for face to face enquiries.
 - Works have been taking place in the reception area at the Civic Centre throughout and there is no clear signage to explain where to go to get help and support.
 - This has had a detrimental effect on the local economy and retail outlets.
 - If lockdown restrictions are to be re-imposed the Council needs to be more flexible and efficient at opening up.
 - At the libraries that are open, residents have to book appointments weeks in advance to be able to browse the books, unlike in other places.
 - The government lifted restrictions on opening of leisure centres on the 4 July 2020, but leisure centres were only opened during the week of the 17 August and some are still closed.
 - The household waste and recycling centre at Barrowell Green is still only open via appointment. This has led to an increase in flytipping.

COUNCIL - 30.9.2020

- The Council could and should do more to open up for business. The closure of the Civic Centre building had made the Council look as if it had been closed.
 - A review should be carried out to find out why the response has been so slow and a plan of action produced to deal with any future lock downs. The Council should be ready and agile to do more to open up services and support the local economy.
2. Councillor Caliskan, the Leader of the Council, responded on behalf of the Majority Group highlighting:
- She had been astonished at how disingenuous, ineffective and out of touch the Conservative group had shown themselves to be in bringing forward this topic for opposition priority business.
 - If the Civic Centre had been fully open during this time when Covid 19 infections were increasing it would have been unwise and been in contradiction to Central Government advice, that people should work from home wherever possible.
 - The administration had taken the decision to keep Council staff safe.
 - Despite this approximately 150 members of staff were attending the Civic Centre to provide vital services every day. During lockdown a supply centre had been set up to deliver food parcels where needed, the call centre has taken over 20,000 calls, £1,000m funds have been provided to support small businesses and local town centres.
 - The Council had introduced an appointment system for the recycling centre and this had also been done at the leisure centres to help manage visitor flow. There has now been a significant reduction in no shows.
 - Fusion is not run by the Council. It was outsourced by a previous administration. The Council had not been prepared to subsidise this private organisation. However, four leisure centres were now open. In many other places they are still not open. Fusion do not operate in Barnet.
 - The Council already has a Covid 19 Action Plan.
 - The reception area at the Civic Centre is currently being refurbished and will open again, dependent on Government advice and legislation.
 - The Conservative Group should be holding their own government to account for their failures and not be promoting their outdated, out of touch and dangerous policies.

3. Other issues highlighted during the debate were as follows:
 - a. The need highlighted by the members of the main Opposition Group:
 - To acknowledge that life was not returning to normal and that it was difficult to obtain information about Council services. Residents were advised to email, but it was often better to phone.
 - Booking at Barrowell Green was not straightforward and people could often wait more than 2 weeks for an appointment. This system had resulted in a lot of no-shows which was a waste of time and resources. The result had been an increase in flytipping.
 - To acknowledge that everyone needed to live with the virus and that the Council should have ensured that services continued as normally as possible, in a safe, covid secure way.
 - The view that problems were due to the incompetence of the administration, lack of advance preparation and that a lot of money had been wasted hiring premises for the supply centre when existing Council owned premises could have been used.
 - Private gyms had opened so why couldn't public ones.
 - The view that services were being poorly run. The Council should have done better. They had failed residents.
 - b. The need highlighted by members of the Majority Group:
 - To acknowledge that officers have been working extremely hard both at home and in the Civic Centre to provide services to residents in these difficult times.
 - During lockdown many of those working in the libraries had been redeployed to other areas, providing support where needed.
 - Firstly Enfield Town and Edmonton Green and later the other two main libraries have been open for bookable browsing, with socially distanced markings and in line with legislation. In some Conservative boroughs, libraries are still not open at all.
 - Enfield acted early and has taken a careful considered and precautionary approach in line with the Government guidance, despite multiple changes and confusing messages.
 - If there was another lock down, the administration would ensure that appropriate safety measures were in place.
 - There were currently 154 positive cases in Enfield. The Council has a duty to safeguard residents and staff. Services were open, but safely. The administration does not want residents to pay with their lives.
 - The Government had promised to provide extra funding which had not materialised. The majority group members called on the Conservative Group to work with the administration to lobby Government for the necessary funds.

COUNCIL - 30.9.2020

- Regret that the Conservative Group were willing to put at risk the lives of Enfield residents. Many people had already died including many from the Black and Ethnic Minority Communities.
- Commendation for the leader, other councillors and the many officers who had worked so hard to provide services, and to deliver food and medication to vulnerable people across the borough, during lock down.
- The service provided by Fusion Leisure Centres had not been good and the Council had been right not to provide them with extra support using Council tax payers money.
- The Council had kept all services going and the streets clean and safe throughout the lock down.
- In Finance, by the third week of the lock down 63% of the extra grant received had been passed on to local business. This was above the London average.
- The opposition should show more concern about the issues such as the need to keep people in care homes safe and the lack of an adequate test and trace system.

4. The need highlighted by the minority Opposition Group:

- To acknowledge that the Council had been quick to shut down but slow to open up.
- At the start of the pandemic, some community groups had set up a food delivery service before the Council had done so.
- Responses by phone had been excellent, but the waste collection services have been poor, resulting in lots of fly-tipping.
- To recognise the view that more should have been done to improve services and less effort spent on public relations and blaming others.
- To continue regular briefings to councillors during the Covid-19 period to ensure everyone was kept up to date.

During the debate Councillor Caliskan proposed and Councillor Anolue seconded a motion under 2.2 of the Constitution to extend the debate on Opposition Business for a further five minutes. This was agreed without a vote.

5. At the end of the debate Councillor Laban summed up on behalf of the Opposition Group as follows:

Enfield Council was in her view closed for business. She acknowledged the contributions of the staff putting the blame on the Labour administration. Residents were having to book in advance to browse books in the library. She expressed the view that too much money had been spent on hiring the building for the supply centre. Leisure centres should have been opened sooner, nearby places such as Essex and Hertfordshire had done better. The Opposition felt that

the Council should listen more and be more open for business. Enfield residents deserved better.

6. Councillor Caliskan (the Leader of the Council) then summed up on behalf of the majority group responding to the recommendations in the Opposition Priority Business Paper:

Councillor Caliskan was proud of the way the Council had responded to the epidemic. The achievements were recognised beyond Enfield. Food parcels and medical deliveries spoke for themselves. Enfield Council had set up a Resilience Board to manage the Council response. In response to comments on the leisure centres, she said that the Council could not justify handing over £1m of taxpayers money to subsidise Fusion to deliver something that they should have already been delivering as part of their contract. The residents of Enfield had been let down by the Conservative Government with their failures over testing, medical PPE and care homes. They had not managed to contain the disease.

After the debate, the recommendations were not agreed and there was no vote on the Leader's response.

7

HOMELESSNESS IN ENFIELD

Councillor Needs proposed and Councillor Yusuf seconded the report of the Executive Director Place on homelessness in Enfield.

NOTED

1. That this report had been considered and recommended on to Council for approval at the Cabinet meeting held on 15 July 2020.
2. Councillor Needs in proposing this report highlighted the following:
 - The country was in the middle of a national housing crisis, following a serious lack of investment in housing. Many people were moving out of Inner London into Outer London and many had been affected by the Government's housing benefit cap.
 - The Council planned to step in to prevent people becoming homeless at the earliest stage and to help equip people with the skills to manage their tenancies better to avoid becoming homeless.
 - The Council had developed a bold housing strategy to help reshape the housing market and improve the quality of rented housing. As part of this they would also be running a professional ethical lettings agency for private sector properties.

COUNCIL - 30.9.2020

- The final component of the Council's recent work in this area was this Housing Allocations Policy which will, for the first time, reward residents who make a success of living in the private rented sector.
 - The new scheme will increase transparency in the allocation of social rented homes, based on enduring housing needs: it increases priority for those living in overcrowded situations, increases access to a range of properties, introduces local lettings schemes for new build properties to enable local residents to directly benefit from regeneration activities, increases priority for homeless households who move into the private sector rather than remaining in temporary accommodation.
 - It is anticipated that the scheme will go live in December 2020.
3. In order to ensure that residents are treated fairly during the implementation of the policy the following paragraph (included in the council update sheet) has been added to the report:

"We recognise that the gap between the approval of the scheme and its implementation will potentially have an adverse impact on some households where we are discharging our statutory homeless duties into the private rented sector. For households in this position we will award points at the time of implementation of the scheme based on the points that they would have been entitled to, had the scheme been implemented immediately".

4. The comments of the Majority Opposition Group including:
- While welcoming the report and supporting the need for early intervention, there was regret that the majority opposition group could not support the new allocation scheme because of several issues which are set out below. They indicated that they would have to abstain on the report, if those concerns could not be resolved.
 - They felt that the scheme discriminated against those in employment both full and part time.
 - Concern about the change to the guidelines on local connection (the Government guidelines previously stated that local connections should be at least 5 years, the new policy was allowing 3 years). The three-year rule would make it easier for those with less connection to be housed before others.
 - Concern that those in the armed forces would only be allocated an extra 50 points, the same as allocated to someone who had made themselves intentionally homeless. This was felt to be disrespectful to those who had been in the armed services.
 - Concern about the abolition of the garden rule which was felt to be a retrograde step. Young families needed gardens.
 - Concern that it had taken too long to reach this stage.
5. The comments from the Majority Group:

- The report was about fairness, looking after the vulnerable. It was important that socially rented properties went to those who needed them most, those with enduring need in situations which were unlikely to improve.
- Extensive consultation has been carried out and the feedback received had helped to develop the policy.
- The policy had been put together as a result of collaborative engagement with the Adult Social Care. It was felt to be right that there should be a shift towards helping people to live in the most appropriate type of accommodation.
- At a time of a national housing shortage, no one should be left behind.

6. Comments of the Minority Opposition Group:

- Support for the scheme, which was commended and hope that it would work, but some concern that it did not address the major problem, a severe shortage of affordable housing.
- That this was an expensive scheme and the true value would only be revealed if it achieved its aims.
- Strong support for the consultation and engagement with tenants and those affected.
- Concern that the IT software may not be able to cope.
- Some concern about whether enough priority had been given to people with disabilities and mixed feelings about the new guidance on garden flats.
- Pleasure at the number of responses received from young people who had been very severely affected by the housing crisis.
- The need to recognise that there should be more attention given to the need for more sheltered housing.

7. The summing up by Councillor Needs expressing the view that some members had not read the paper properly, that the problem with gardens was that there were very few available, that she was confident the correct software was in place, that injured servicemen would be given extra points because of their disabilities and that every year people would be able to gain more points. The policy would also be subject to regular reviews. She was committed to review it in the next few months and confirmed that it was an item on the Housing Scrutiny Panel work programme for review later in the year.

Following the debate, the recommendations in the report were put to the vote and agreed with the following result:

For: 37
Against: 0
Abstentions:15

AGREED

1. To approve the Allocations Scheme, set out in Appendix 1 to the report.
2. To delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for Social Housing to approve minor amendments to the Allocations Scheme.

8

TREASURY MANAGEMENT OUTTURN REPORT 2019/20

Councillor Maguire moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded the report of the Executive Director Resources presenting the Council's Annual Treasury Management Outturn Report for 2019-20.

NOTED

1. The report had been considered by Cabinet on 15 July 2020 and recommended onto Council for approval.
2. The points raised by Councillor Maguire proposing the report:
 - The outstanding borrowing to 31 March 2020 was £968.9 including £240m long term borrowing from the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) which had replaced higher interest loans.
 - In March 2020 £80m PWLB borrowing was raised with an average rate of 1.45% - loans maturing in 50 years for HRA projects taking advantage of the low interest rate.
 - Capital financing was £48.9m less than forecast and there had been no debt rescheduling.
 - The table on the first page of the report summarises the Council position. Table 1 contains the balance sheet summary, table 2 the Treasury Management Summary, Table 3 the Treasury Management Borrowing Summary, Table 4 Capital Financing Requirements, Table 5 Cost of Borrowing.
 - Capital finance had increased and would increase further to pay for capital projects
 - The Council had 90 loans repayable over 50 years which helps to spread the risk.
 - During the year the Council's investment balance ranged between £5million and £147 due to timing differences between income and expenditure.
 - Enfield had not invested in out of town shopping centres, an issue that had been highlighted by the Public Works Loans Board, unlike some boroughs
 - Approving the removal of the 75% cap on total aggregate investments would give much needed flexibility and increasing money limits will enable the Council to earn more from cash deposits.
3. The following highlighted by the Majority Opposition Group:

COUNCIL - 30.9.2020

- Concern about the high levels of borrowing which currently stood at nearly £1billion.
 - Concern that the Council's money belonged to the people of Enfield and should be spent prudently. Current debt averaged £3,500 per resident.
 - The view that too much was being spent on projects such as Meridian Water and that the properties being built would be out of the reach of ordinary Enfield people.
 - Concern that £3.2m was being spent from the current revenue account to service the debt. The view that this should be being spent on day to day services instead.
 - Post Covid, there was a risk that interest rates would increase.
 - Current levels of borrowing were felt to be unsustainable and could lead to financial problems in the future. The administration was leaving a legacy of debt which would have to be paid back by future generations.
 - Concern that borrowing had increased by £147m in one year.
 - That the Council should be looking to the private sector as a source of investment, rather than doing things themselves.
 - The view that projects such as Meridian Water, Elizabeth House and Reardon Court were being poorly managed and would have succeeded better if the private sector had been involved.
 - Commendation for the clarity of the report.
 - Reprofiting and rescheduling debt was only putting things off into the future, at great cost.
 - Acknowledgement that investment was necessary but that there was currently too much waste and a lack of proper management.
4. The following highlighted by the Majority Group:
- The Council was borrowing to invest in the future. To argue against was to say that investment in education, housing and town centres for example was not necessary.
 - The Council was committed to sound long term financial management. Borrowings were being balanced by low interest rates. This would create certainty over time and would enable the Council to deliver on its long-term plans.
 - Local government was under severe financial challenge. The Opposition should be lobbying the Government to persuade them to cover the extra £63m costs, generated by the pandemic that they had promised but reneged on, and to properly fund local authorities
 - Making investments now was part of the strategy for the Council's capital programme and saved money in the future.
 - The report provided clear evidence that the Council's finances were sound and were being managed effectively and efficiently alongside measures for income generation.
 - The Government borrowing figures were enormous: £2trillion at the latest estimate. Borrowing had been increasing ever since

COUNCIL - 30.9.2020

2010 when the Conservatives had come to power. Billions had been wasted on failed procurements. The poorest were having to fund this through taxation.

- The first homes at Meridian Water would be ready in 2024. The Council would continue to invest for residents.
 - The level of interest that the Government is paying on its huge debts is shocking and there will be nothing to show for it.
5. The summing up from Councillor Maguire that she did not understand the opposition. She felt that they did not want change, they did not want investment, that they were living in the past. Since 2010 debt had increased but the Government had not invested in anything. If you want to build houses, then you will have to borrow. But this leaves a legacy of better homes and neighbourhoods. Debt spread over long periods enables investment.

Following the debate, the recommendations in the report were put to the vote and agreed with the following result:

For: 35

Against: 15

Abstentions: 2

AGREED

1. To note the contents of the report.
2. To approve the removal of the cap on total aggregate investments in money market funds (MMFs)
3. To approve a new money limit of £25m for each eligible counter party (Bank and MMF) meeting the Council's current criteria for a high-quality institution.

9

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME 2020/21

Councillor Susan Erbil proposed and Councillor Greer seconded the report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee setting out the scrutiny annual work programmes for 2020/21.

NOTED

1. The report was considered at Cabinet on 16 September 2019 and recommended to Council for approval.
2. The comments of Councillor Susan Erbil in proposing the report:
 - The work programme included programmes for the new scrutiny panels which had been set up following the structural changes agreed at Annual Council in July.

COUNCIL - 30.9.2020

- They would focus on those areas which were important to residents: four of the eight panels would be looking at the impact of Covid 19.
- They would enable members to make effective and constructive comment on Council policies and priorities, taking up concerns of the public in an independent and responsible way.

3. The comments from the Majority Opposition Group:

- Welcome and support for the programme and the new structure which was now more aligned with Council departments and services.
- It was the Council's duty to scrutinise and to ensure Council funds were wisely spent in the best interests of the residents.
- The scrutiny function was a good way to ensure that all councillors were participating in the running of the Council.
- Participation also enabled councillors to increase their knowledge on a wide range of issues.

Following the debate, the report was agreed unanimously.

AGREED to approve the scrutiny work programme and workstreams for 2020/21.

10

CHANGE IN ORDER OF BUSINESS

Councillor Glynis Vince moved and Councillor Laban seconded a proposal under paragraph 4.2 of the Council procedure rules to propose that the time allowed for reports be extended.

This was not agreed.

Councillor Nesil Caliskan moved and Councillor Greer seconded a proposal under paragraph 4.2 of the Council procedure rules to change the order of items on the agenda so that Motion 9 under Item 12 motions should be taken as the next item of business.

This was agreed after a vote with the following result:

For: 37

Against: 15

Abstentions: 0

The minutes reflect the order of the meeting.

11

MOTIONS

Councillor Caliskan proposed and Councillor Uddin seconded the following motion:

As of the 16th September 2020, according to the Office for National Statistics (ONS), the number of Covid-19 related deaths in Enfield was 392. According to NHS Digital, the number of Covid-19 cases in Enfield between 31st August and 13th September was 169. The real figures may well be a lot higher.

National and local data is indicating that the country may well be heading towards a second wave.

Enfield Council is concerned that the national testing system is not working fully and that Enfield residents have struggled to secure a test in recent weeks. The country desperately needs a functioning test, trace and isolate system if we are to prevent a devastating second wave.

Enfield Council calls on the Government to urgently fix the testing system and ensure that there is both testing and laboratory capacity to ensure everyone in our community, including those in care homes, are able to access a test and receive the results quickly.

The impact on black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) communities across the country has become increasingly clear with statistics from the Institute of Fiscal Studies and the Office for National Statistics (ONS) showing clear disproportionality. ONS figures show that black men and women are nearly twice as likely to die from COVID-19 than white men and women, after taking into account age and socio-demographic factors.

Enfield Council welcomes Public Health England's recently published seven-point plan on how to better protect black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) communities from COVID-19. The Council urges / calls on the government to urgently implement the recommendations, before any future waves.

During the debate Councillor Caliskan proposed and Councillor Anolue seconded a proposal to extend the time allowed for the discussion of motions for 5 minutes.

This was agreed without a vote.

At the end of the debate, the motion was unanimously agreed.

The following motions lapsed under the guillotine arrangements: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,10 ,11 ,12.

12

DURATION OF COUNCIL MEETING

The Mayor advised, at this stage of the meeting, that the time available to complete the agenda had now elapsed so Council Procedure Rule 9 would apply.

NOTED that in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 8 (page 4-8 – Part 4), the remaining items of business on the Council agenda were considered without debate.

13

REARDON COURT EXTRA CARE HOUSING SCHEME - ADJUSTMENT TO THE CAPITAL PROGRAMME

RECEIVED a report from the Executive Director People on an adjustment to the Capital Programme for the Reardon Court Extra Care Housing Scheme.

AGREED

1. To approve an increase of £2.611m to the approved allocation of capital funding in the Council's Capital Programme.
2. To note the additional borrowing requirement of £400k against the approved budget in KD 4898.
3. To note the GLA's Care and Support Specialist Housing capital grant of £9,443,161 is time limited to 12 March 2020 by which date contractually the main works must commence. The grant funding will be paid in full on entering into the build contract.
4. To note the use of funds from the Kingsdown Charitable Trust as previously referenced in the July 2019 Cabinet report (KD 4898), and as detailed at para 22.
5. To delegate all other necessary approvals and consents required to deliver the project to the Executive Director of Place in consultation with the Executive Director of People.

The Leader of the Opposition, Councillor Joanne Laban, advised that if there had been a vote on this decision, her group would have voted against.

14

COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME

1. Urgent Questions

There were no urgent questions.

2. Questions by Councillors

NOTED

1. The forty four questions on the Council agenda and the written responses provided by the relevant Cabinet Members.

**15
COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP**

AGREED to confirm the changes to the committee membership list, as sent out with the agenda, as well as the following additional amendment:

- Councillor Savva to fill the vacancy on the Licensing Committee.

**16
NOMINATIONS TO OUTSIDE BODIES**

AGREED to confirm the changes to the nominations to outside bodies put forward since the last meeting, as set out in the list circulated as “to follow”.

**17
DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

NOTED that the next ordinary Council meeting will take place on Wednesday 18 November 2020 at 7pm.