MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CABINET
HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 16 DECEMBER 2015

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT
Councillor Doug Taylor (Leader of the Council), Daniel Anderson (Cabinet Member for Environment), Yasemin Brett (Cabinet Member for Community Organisations and Culture), Councillor Alev Cazimoglu (Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care), Councillor Nneka Keazor (Cabinet Member for Public Health and Sport), Councillor Ayfer Orhan (Cabinet Member for Education, Children’s Services and Protection), Ahmet Oykener (Cabinet Member for Housing and Housing Regeneration), Alan Sitkin (Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration and Business Development) and Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance and Efficiency)

Associate Cabinet Members (Non-Executive and Non-Voting): Vicki Pite (Enfield North) and George Savva MBE (Enfield South East)

ABSENT
Achilleas Georgiou (Deputy Leader) and Bambos Charalambous (Associate Cabinet Member – Enfield West)

OFFICERS:
Rob Leak (Chief Executive), Ian Davis (Director of Regeneration & Environment), James Rolfe (Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services), Ray James (Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care), Asmat Hussain (Assistant Director Legal & Governance Services), Jayne Middleton-Albooye (Head of Legal Services), Jenny Tosh (Interim Chief Education Officer), Nick Fletcher (Housing Development and Renewal - Project Manager), Claire Johnson (Corporate Scrutiny Services), Detlev Munster (Head of Property Programmes), Karen Maguire (Strategic Property Services), Marc Gadsby (Head of Independence and Well-Being Services) and David Greely (Corporate Communications Manager) Jacqui Hurst (Secretary)

Also Attending: Councillor Derek Levy (Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee)

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Achilleas Georgiou (Deputy Leader), Councillor Bambos Charalambous (Associate Cabinet Member – Enfield West) and Tony Theodoulou (Interim Director of Children’s Services).
2 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Councillor Ahmet Oykener (Cabinet Member for Housing and Housing Regeneration) declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in Report Nos. 136 and 142 – Setting Up the Council’s Trading Company due to a possible future impact on his area of personal employment. Councillor Oykener left the meeting for the discussion of both reports, Minute Nos. 10 and 21 below refer.

3 URGENT ITEMS

NOTED, that the reports listed on the agenda had been circulated in accordance with the requirements of the Council’s Constitution and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information and Meetings) (England) Regulations 2012. These requirements state that agendas and reports should be circulated at least 5 clear days in advance of meetings.

4 DEPUTATIONS

Councillor Doug Taylor (Leader of the Council) welcomed Councillor Erin Celebi (Bush Hill Park Ward Councillor) to the meeting and invited her to present her deputation to the Cabinet.

Councillor Erin Celebi presented a deputation and submitted a paper petition signed by parents, grandparents and local residents who were deeply concerned about the dangerous crossing problems following the retirement of the School Crossing Patrol person serving Raglan Schools. The petition had collected 401 signatures.

Councillor Celebi outlined to the Cabinet the concerns which had been expressed regarding the traffic and pedestrian crossing issues experienced in Wellington Road. A number of specific examples were highlighted and a request made for the expressed concerns to be seriously considered and urgent measures taken such as a replacement school crossing patrol person, a pelican crossing and or parking/road management. A number of photographs were submitted with the petition. Councillor Celebi emphasised the need to take appropriate measures in order to avoid fatalities and injuries to pedestrians. It was noted that there was also a current on-line petition on the same issue. The significant number of pupils attending Raglan Schools was noted.

In response, Councillor Anderson (Cabinet Member for Environment) noted the petition and concerns raised in the deputation. Members were advised that the Council had been successful in reducing the number of injuries and fatalities on the Borough’s roads through a range of measures. Efforts were made to use the Council’s resources as effectively as possible at a time of financial constraint. It was noted that Schools and parents also had a role to play in ensuring the safety of pedestrians close to schools through the
observance of parking and traffic restrictions in place. Councillor Anderson would ask officers to investigate the situation in the vicinity of Raglan Schools and the safety measures that could be implemented to mitigate the concerns which had been expressed.

Councillor Taylor thanked Councillor Celebi for her deputation and noted the submission of the petition to the Council. As detailed by Councillor Anderson, officers would be asked to investigate the concerns which had been raised.

5
ITEMS TO BE REFERRED TO THE COUNCIL

NOTED, that there were no reports to be referred to full Council.

6
ISSUES ARISING FROM THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Scrutiny Workstreams Final Reports and Recommendations

Councillor Derek Levy (Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee) introduced the report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee (No.132) providing a summary of the final scrutiny workstream reports from 2014/15, and the comments of the respective Cabinet Members.

NOTED

1. The Scrutiny workstream reports and the Cabinet Members’ and Directors’ responses to the recommendations.

2. Councillor Levy explained the new processes which had been followed for the end of the first year of the new scrutiny system. In future years it was anticipated that the process would be condensed and a report would be presented to the Cabinet at an earlier stage at the conclusion of the 2015/16 scrutiny process. Councillor Levy expressed his thanks to the Cabinet Members and Directors for their involvement and feedback.

3. The changes in the scrutiny process were highlighted with greater involvement of the Cabinet Members in reviews taking place. It was noted that a meeting was scheduled in March 2016 on Enfield 2017 to which Councillors Georgiou and Stafford had been invited. The invitation was extended to all Cabinet Members who wished to attend. The scrutiny process would be more probing and intense and focus on task and finish groups.

4. Councillor Levy expressed his appreciation to the Scrutiny Officers supporting Members in the reviews being undertaken.
5. In conclusion Cabinet Members made a number of observations including the following:

- Councillor Orhan (Cabinet Member for Education, Children’s Services and Protection) expressed her thanks to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee for its work with regard to childhood obesity, as set out in the report. Councillor Orhan highlighted a number of issues in moving forward. A comprehensive report had been produced to inform future policy development.
- Councillor Oykener (Cabinet Member for Housing and Housing Regeneration) outlined his views with regard to the review on Temporary Accommodation and the concerns which he had expressed to the Chair of the Working Group for politicising the issues highlighted which he felt had been counter-productive.
- Councillor Sitkin (Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration and Business Development) highlighted the review on High Streets and Town Centres as set out in the report. He expressed the need for relevant officers and Cabinet Members to be consulted through the review processes. He noted that 6 out of the 7 recommendations had already been implemented. It was important to ensure that scrutiny did not undertake information research in isolation.

6. In response Councillor Levy outlined the intended involvement of appropriate officers and Cabinet Members in the scrutiny process. It was also the intention of scrutiny to be non-political as far as possible and to take a collaborative approach to all scrutiny reviews undertaken.

Alternative Options Considered: No other options had been considered as the Overview and Scrutiny Committee was required, under the Council’s Constitution, to submit the scrutiny reports to Cabinet.

Reason: To comply with the requirements of the Council’s Constitution. (Non key)

7

REVENUE MONITORING REPORT 2015/16: OCTOBER 2015 AND 2016/17 BUDGET UPDATE

Councillor Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance and Efficiency) introduced the report of the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services (No.133) setting out the Council’s revenue budget monitoring position based on information to the end of October 2015.

NOTED

1. An amendment was sought to the report with regard to the value of the working capital loan for Enfield Innovations Ltd. following a sensitivity analysis of potential variations to the financial model.
Recommendations 2.3 and 2.4 to be amended to read as follows (decisions 3 and 4 below reflect the amendments):

“2.3 Authorise a working capital loan to the value of £3m to Enfield Innovations Ltd. to be accessed over the life of the company to be funded either from reserves or by external borrowing depending on the financial instrument used as detailed in section 7.3 of the report.”

“2.4 Authorise the ability for the Council to pay the £3m loan in instalments as required by the company and delegate authority to the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services to formalise this via a second Facility Letter as per the terms agreed via the Portfolio non-key decision in July 2015 (section 7.3 of the report referred).”

In addition an amendment was required to section 7.3 of the report, paragraphs 2 and 4. The text to be amended to:

“…Due to the phasing of the loan repayments, a requirement for initial working capital to the value of £3m has been identified, to ensure that company can make the outstanding payments to the developer without resulting in a cash deficit in the early years.”

“…Cabinet is asked to authorise a working capital loan to the value of £3m to Enfield Innovations Ltd. to be accessed over the life of the company to be funded either from revenue reserves or by external borrowing depending on the financial instrument used.”

2. That, the report forecast an outturn position of £1.6m overspend for 2015/16. Work was continuing to identify in-year savings to manage this departmental overspend.

3. That there were no “red” risk ratings remaining, as detailed in table 1 of the report.

4. The continued pressures on demand led services as set out in the report.

5. The key risks as identified in section 13 of the report.

6. The Treasury Management position as set out in Appendix B of the report.

7. That the Council continued to maintain a sound financial position within the financial pressures being experienced.

Alternative Options Considered: Not applicable to this report.

DECISION: The Cabinet agreed
1. To note the £1.6m departmental overspend revenue outturn projection.

2. That departments reporting pressures should formulate and implement action plans to ensure that all possible actions were undertaken to remain within budget in 2015/16.

3. To authorise a loan to the value of £3m to Enfield Innovations Ltd. to be accessed over the life of the company to be funded either from reserves or by external borrowing depending on the financial instrument used (as detailed in section 7.3 of the report).

4. To authorise the ability for the Council to pay the £3m loan in instalments as required by the company and delegate authority to the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services to formalise this via a second Facility Letter, as per the terms agreed via the portfolio non-key decision in July 2015 (section 7.3 of the report referred).

5. To note the 2016/17 budget update as detailed in section 5 of the report.

Reason: To ensure that Members were aware of the projected budgetary position for the Authority, including all major budget pressures and underspends which had contributed to the present monthly position and that were likely to affect the final outturn. To update Members on the latest position in relation to the 2016/17 Financial Settlement and Spending Review information as it affects the London Borough of Enfield.

(Key decision – reference number 4151)

8 CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITOR SECOND QUARTER SEPTEMBER 2015 BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

Councillor Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance and Efficiency) introduced the report of the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services (No.134) informing Members of the current position up to the end of September 2015 regarding the Council’s Capital Programme (2015-19).

NOTED

1. That the overall approved expenditure was projected to be £193.6m for the General Fund and £55.8m for the HRA for 2015/16.

2. The updates to the proposed 2015/16 capital budget, as set out in section 4 of the report, covering additions to the programme, updated project expenditure profiles and scheme reductions.

3. Councillor Stafford highlighted the key projects programmed for 2015/16 as set out in the report and the work which had been completed to date. The funding elements of the capital programme
including through disposals and borrowing was outlined. The Capital programme was under continuous review and would deliver on major projects in the Borough to the benefit of local residents and the local economy.

**Alternative Options Considered:** Not applicable to this report.

**DECISION:** The Cabinet agreed

1. The additions to the General Fund Capital Programme totalling £0.5m in 2015/16 (table 1 of the report referred) to be primarily funded from grants, contributions and general resources and noted that this would not materially increase capital financing costs.

2. The updated four year programme including proposed reductions subject to indicative estimates included in later years (section 5 of the report referred).

**Reason:** To inform Members of the current position regarding the Council’s Capital Programme.

*(Key decision – reference number 4154)*

**9 QUARTERLY CORPORATE PERFORMANCE REPORT**

Councillor Doug Taylor (Leader of the Council) introduced the report of the Chief Executive (No.135) providing the latest available performance data at the end of quarter two 2015/16.

NOTED the progress made towards delivering the identified key priority indicators for Enfield as detailed in the report.

**Alternative Options Considered:** Not to report regularly on the Council’s performance. This would make it difficult to assess progress made on achieving the Council’s main priorities and to demonstrate the value for money being provided by Council services.

**Reason:** To update Cabinet on the progress made against all key priority performance indicators for the Council.

*(Key decision – reference number 4144)*

**10 SETTING UP THE COUNCIL’S TRADING COMPANY**

Councillor Ahmet Oykener (Cabinet Member for Housing and Housing Regeneration) left the meeting for the discussion of this item (Minute No.2 above refers).

Councillor Alev Cazimoglu (Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care) introduced the report of the Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care
(No.136) proposing the establishment of a Local Authority Trading company, wholly owned by the London Borough of Enfield, to commence trading in April 2016.

NOTED

1. That Report No.142 also referred as detailed in Minute No.21 below.

2. That a tabled note was provided to Members at the meeting setting out the Local Authority Trading Company Pension implications (containing exempt information to be considered as part of Report No.142, Minute No.21 below refers), which would be subject to recommendations 2.3 and 2.5 of the report and ensuring that the pension information did not have any impact on the business case.

3. That the proposals set out in the report would provide greater choice and control whilst maximising the commercial options available. A working group had been established to consider all of the proposed options. Thanks were expressed to the members of the working group for their input in the process.

4. The recommended way forward was felt to be the best opportunity available to the Council at this time. Members supported the recommendations detailed in the decisions below together with the tabled information.

Alternative Options Considered: NOTED that there were many options open to Councils to sell their services, develop staff and raise income. Thus, the trading company was one of a number of options explored as part of the Council’s changing needs and priorities. Other considerations had included:

- shared service opportunities with other Councils and wider organisations
- development of staff owned mutual
- establishing a charity from in house provision
- trading via social enterprise
- external tender – contracting out council provision
- retaining in house provision

(Section 4 of the report referred)

DECISION: The Cabinet agreed

1. Subject to consultation, to establish a Local Authority Trading Company formed from Independence and Well Being Services.

2. That the Trading Company be registered under the name Independence and Well Being Services Ltd.

3. That the transfer of services to the Trading Company be agreed by the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care and other involved Members, in consultation with the Trading Company’s Board of
Directors, in accordance with the Council’s Scheme of Delegation, with a report coming back to Cabinet after six months.

4. That the governance and legal structures of the Trading Company – including the day to day management of performance and budgets and the structure and membership of the Board of Directors – be established as outlined in the body of the report with a further progress report in six months’ time.

5. That the Trading Company undergoes a comprehensive review of performance following three years in operation.

**Reason:** That the trading company be established under the name Independence and Well Being Services Ltd. and, that the transfer of services to the Trading Company be agreed by the Trading Company’s board of directors and the relevant Cabinet Members in accordance with the Council’s Scheme of Delegation.

*(Key decision – reference number 4194)*

**11 UPTON ROAD AND RAYNHAM ROAD REDEVELOPMENT SCHEME**

Councillor Ahmet Oykener (Cabinet Member for Housing and Housing Regeneration) introduced the report of the Director of Regeneration and Environment (No.138) setting out an indicative scheme proposal and preferred option.

**NOTED**

1. That Report No.140 also referred as detailed in Minute No.19 below.

2. A tabled amendment to recommendation 2.1 of the report with the addition of: “The final details of the scheme including the investment decision, will be brought back to Cabinet for a decision at a later date.” Decision 1 below reflects this amendment.

3. That the Council was committed to the provision of new homes; the proposed redevelopment scheme could deliver over 100 new homes, community space, replacement retail space and public realm improvements.

4. The proposed approach to the engagement of local stakeholders as set out in the report.

5. The traffic and parking constraints as detailed in the report which were being considered as part of the scheme development. Members and Officers were mindful of the issues and concerns in this regard.
6. That compulsory purchase powers would only be used as a last resort should the Council fail to acquire property interests by negotiation, as detailed in the report.

7. The authorisation sought for serving initial Demolition Notices, as set out in decision 3 below, and the implications of this. It was anticipated that a planning application would be made in the summer of 2016.

8. In response to concerns raised regarding traffic flows in the area, Ian Davis (Director of Regeneration and Environment) confirmed that studies were already being undertaken on traffic issues in the area.

9. Councillor Orhan (Cabinet Member for Education, Children’s Services and Protection) highlighted the need for Children’s Services to be fully consulted on the educational aspects of the development prior to any decisions being made. It was important for the Departments to work together in moving the proposals forward.

**Alternative Options Considered:** NOTED the alternative options which had been considered as set out in full in section 4 of the report, including: Do Nothing; Redevelopment of 1-12a Beck House in isolation; and, scheme without Raynham Road Car Park.

**DECISION:** The Cabinet agreed to

1. Authorise the initiation of a comprehensive housing led redevelopment scheme within the plan set out in appendix 1 of the report. The final details of the scheme including the investment decision, would be brought back to Cabinet for a decision at a later date.

2. Note the approach to engage stakeholders, including affected residents, set out in paragraph 3.33 of the report.

3. Authorise the serving of initial Demolition Notices in accordance with Schedule 5A of the Housing Act 1985 with immediate effect (subject to call-in).

4. Resolve to use Compulsory Purchase powers under section 226 1 (a) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) should the Council fail to acquire property interests by negotiation within the boundary of the proposed development land, on the assumption that a Compulsory Purchase Order be confirmed.

5. Delegate authority to the Director – Regeneration and Environment, acting in consultation with the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services, to make a Compulsory Purchase Order if required in respect of the land shown on the plan set out in Appendix 2 of the report, to effect acquisition of property interests within the areas on each site described in the report and shown on the Plans “The Order Land”.

- 10 -
6. Delegate authority to the Director – Regeneration and Environment, acting in consultation with the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services, to finalise the Statement of Reasons, and take all the necessary steps consequent to the making of any Compulsory Purchase Order:

- To publish any Compulsory Purchase Order, referred to within this report, to seek confirmation of the Secretary of State and if confirmed, implement the Order.
- To continue negotiations with owners of property interests within the Order Land and acquire such property interests by agreement.

**Reason:** There was a strong case for progressing with a comprehensive regeneration scheme for the land on Upton Road and Raynham Road, based on a number of economic, social and environmental factors which were contained within the report.

**(Key decision – reference number 4119)**

12 RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES IN PARKS PORTFOLIO

Councillor Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance and Efficiency) introduced the report of the Director – Regeneration and Environment and Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services (No.139) setting out the current position in respect of 39 residential properties within parks and street properties owned by Enfield Council.

**NOTED**

1. That Report No.141 also referred as detailed in Minute No.20 below.

2. The proposals for the future management of the properties as set out in the report, and the strategy in moving forward. It was noted that the potential of disposal for particular individual properties was a possible future option.

3. Councillor Cazimoglu (Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care) highlighted the need for full consideration of the potential future use of individual properties prior to any decision being made to dispose of a property. All options should be considered to ensure that maximum benefit was provided to the Council and local communities.

4. It was confirmed that a range of future options would be considered.

**Alternative Options Considered:** NOTED the alternative options which had been considered for the future strategic management of the Parks Residential Property Portfolio as set out in section 4 of the report.

**DECISION:** The Cabinet agreed to
Portfolio Management and Ownership

1. Authorise the budget (as set out in the part two report) for the ongoing management, repair and maintenance (including comprehensive surveys) of the properties identified in Appendix 1 (part two report) – Schedule for Parks Properties (Minute No.20 below refers).

2. Authorise Strategic Property Services to manage the properties until the refurbishment programme had been completed.

3. Continue to consult and negotiate with the tenants, where appropriate, new rents and tenancies.

4. Delegate authority to the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services, to prepare a comprehensive strategy for the future management and holding of the “park” properties in an appropriate fund.

Retention of Properties

5. Authorise the retention of the vacant parks properties listed in Appendix 1 (part two report) – Schedule for Parks Properties, to use as decant properties where appropriate.

Disposals

6. Authorise Strategic Property Services to investigate and undertake the disposal and/or redevelopment of vacant parks dwellings listed in Appendix 2 – Schedule for Parks Properties (attached to the part two report) (Minute No.20 below refers).

7. Authorise that the capital receipts from the disposal of the properties be utilised to reimburse the repairs and maintenance expenditure incurred to date for urgent repairs and to fund future necessary repairs to the remaining properties.

Reason: To agree a clear programme for immediate maintenance of the residential properties; including the regularisation of the basis of occupation, and rents. To provide funds for the required works. To consider the long term management options for these properties and prepare a comprehensive long term strategy.

(Key decision – reference number 4084)

13
CABINET AGENDA PLANNING - FUTURE ITEMS

NOTED the provisional list of items scheduled for future Cabinet meetings.

14
MINUTES

AGREED, that the minutes of the previous meeting of the Cabinet held on 18 November 2015 be confirmed and signed by the Chair as a correct record.

Councillor Oykener reminded Members that he had asked officers to commission a report into the risk surrounding the unique nature of the HRA rental income (Minute No.9 – Enfield 2017 Transformation Programme – a Year On). The review was ongoing and once concluded a report would be made to the Cabinet with further proposals if appropriate.

15 MINUTES OF LOCAL PLAN CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE

NOTED, for information, the minutes of meetings of the Local Plan Cabinet Sub-Committee held on 22 October and 5 November 2015.

16 ENFIELD STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP UPDATE

NOTED, that there were no written updates to be received at this meeting.

17 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

NOTED, that the next meeting of the Cabinet was scheduled to take place on Wednesday 20 January 2016 at 8.15pm.

18 EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

RESOLVED in accordance with Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972 to exclude the press and public from the meeting for the items listed on part 2 of the agenda on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 (information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information) of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Act (as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006).

19 UPTON ROAD AND RAYNHAM ROAD REDEVELOPMENT SCHEME

Councillor Ahmet Oykener (Cabinet Member for Housing and Housing Regeneration) introduced the report of the Director – Regeneration and Environment (No.140).

NOTED

1. That Report No.138 also referred as detailed in Minute No.11 above.
2. That a number of amendments were tabled to the report, as reflected in the decisions below. The amendments were as follows:

- Paragraph 2.1 - After "planning costs" add "of £870,000", and after "vacant possession costs" add "of £703,000"
- Paragraph 2.2 - Delete “appraisal summary” and add “information from paragraphs 3.22 to 3.28”, and delete “set out in Table 2”. After “Cabinet in November”, add a new sentence; “Further financial modelling work will be undertaken and presented to Cabinet at a later date”.
- Delete Paragraph 2.5 which starts with “Delegate” (Note this was the first of a duplicated paragraph 2.5)
- Paragraph 2.5 – Delete “the above recommendation” and add “paragraph 2.1 of the Part 1 report”.
- Paragraph 2.6 – after “Appendix 2 and” add “delegate authority to the Director – Regeneration & Environment and the Director of Finance, Resources & Customer Services” and delete “authorise the Council”
- Delete Paragraph 2.7 which starts with “Authorise the Council”.

3. As detailed in the discussion of the part one report, to ensure that Children’s Services were included in the decision making processes on the educational aspects of the scheme proposals. Councillor Orhan emphasised the importance of this consultation and, the need to consider the demand for school places in the area as part of the scheme development.

4. The financial viability of the proposals as outlined in the report. The detailed proposals and options were discussed and noted.

**Alternative Options Considered:** NOTED the alternative options that had been considered as set out in section 4 of the report.

**DECISION:** The Cabinet agreed to

1. Note the outline development budget in table 1 of the report, and authorise expenditure of “professional fees, technical surveys and planning costs of £870,000” to achieve planning consent, and “vacant possession costs of £703,000” to enable the development of the scheme.

2. Note the financial information from paragraphs 3.22 to 3.28 of the report, and the preferred financial model for this scheme proposal with reference to the Cabinet report: “Setting up a Registered Provider and procuring an investment partner for the registered provider (Key decision 4205)” which had been approved by Cabinet in November. Further financial modelling work would be undertaken and presented to Cabinet at a later date.
Delegate authority to the Cabinet Member for Finance and Efficiency and the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Education, Children’s Services and Protection and the Interim Director of Children’s Services, to consider an options paper for the Angel Community Centre and authorise a decision on whether full redevelopment and re-provision, or comprehensive refurbishment be undertaken.

Authorise the commencement of a procurement process to appoint a building contractor through an OJEU compliant process or framework, on confirmation that the final scheme budget had been approved as per paragraph 2.1 of the part one report.

Note the draft Heads of Terms detailed in appendix 2 of the report and delegate authority to the Director – Regeneration and Environment and the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services to enter into a commercial agreement on this basis with Riverside ECHG, to ensure that comprehensive redevelopment of the site could be delivered.

Reason: NOTED the reason for the recommendations as set out in full in section 5 of the report.

(Per decision – reference number 4119)

20
RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES IN PARKS PORTFOLIO

Councillor Andrew Stafford (Cabinet Member for Finance and Efficiency) introduced the report of the Director – Regeneration and Environment and Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services (No.141).

NOTED

1. That Report No.139 also referred as detailed in Minute No.12 above.

2. The commercially sensitive information in respect of the 39 properties as set out in the report. A range of options would be considered in moving forward, as discussed in Minute No.12 above. The need for the properties to be well-maintained and of benefit to the local communities was highlighted.

Alternative Options Considered: As detailed in Report No.139, Minute No.12 above refers.

DECISION: The Cabinet agreed

1. To authorise the budget as set out in the report for the ongoing management, repair and maintenance (including comprehensive surveys) of the properties identified in appendix 1 of the report – Schedule for Parks Properties.
2. To authorise Strategic Property Services to investigate and undertake the disposal and/or redevelopment of vacant parks dwellings listed in appendix 2 of the report – Schedule for Parks Properties.

**Reason:** As detailed in Report No.139, Minute No.12 above refers.

*(Key decision – reference number 4084)*

### 21 SETTING UP THE COUNCIL’S TRADING COMPANY

Councillor Ahmet Oykener (Cabinet Member for Housing and Housing Regeneration) left the meeting for the discussion of this item (Minute No.2 above refers).

Councillor Alev Cazimoglu (Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care) introduced the report of the Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care (No.142).

**NOTED**

1. That Report No.136 also referred as detailed in Minute No.10 above.

2. The information contained within the report including a business options summary; resource and capability audit; analysis of risk; and, 5 year income and expenditure statement.

3. The tabled information in respect of the Local Authority Trading Company Pension implications, which would be subject to recommendations 2.3 and 2.5 of Report No.136, Minute No. 10 above referred, and ensuring that the pension information did not have any impact on the business case. Further advice would be sought. If the information received had a material adverse impact on the business case then a further report would be brought before Cabinet for consideration.

**Alternative Options Considered:** As detailed in Report No.136, Minute No.10 above referred.

**DECISION:** The Cabinet agreed that the data contained within the report be used in support of the decision regarding the setting up of a Local Authority Trading company.

**Reason:** As detailed in Report No.136, Minute No.10 above referred.

*(Key decision – reference number 4194)*