

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
10/09/10	<p>“Save our Shops” petition against increasing parking charges in Enfield</p> <p>We the petitioners note that high street businesses in Enfield have been hit by the recession and increases to business rates. We recognise the importance of a vibrant high street that has accessible parking for customers and therefore call upon Enfield customers and therefore call upon Enfield Council not to proceed with the proposals.</p>	No	<p>In addition to the petition, the Council has received over 100 individual responses to the recent consultation on the proposed new parking charges. All of the comments are currently being analysed and will be reported to the Cabinet Member for Environment, Street and Parks in early October before any final decisions are made.</p> <p>A copy of the final report on any revisions to parking charges will be sent to the petition organiser when this becomes available. (29.9.10)</p>
14/09/10	<p>Elsiedene Road Tree Pruning</p> <p>We, the undersigned, hereby request the reduction of the 4-year cyclical pruning of trees in Elsiedene Road, Winchmore Hill, London N21 3LE/2RP to 2-yearly, due to the various nuisances caused to us by their leaves and blossom, height etc.</p>	No	<p>Response (22/10/2011)</p>
14/10/10	<p>Anti -Social Behaviour Bridgeport House</p> <p>We, the undersigned, call on London Borough of Enfield to prevent prostitution, alcoholic and drug addicted people away from our neighbourhood and take radical steps to give our children and us fair chance to live in a safety environment</p>	No	<p>Response (21/10/10)</p>
24/11/10	<p>Refuse Containers Between 12&14 Queen Anne's Place</p> <p>Relocation of the bins or a compromise to camouflage the bins.</p>	No	<p>Response (14/12/10)</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
30/11/10	<p>Proposed Increase in On-Street Parking and Off-Street Parking Charges</p> <p>We the petitioners note that high street business have been hit hard by the recession and increases to business rates. We recognise the importance of a vibrant high street that has accessible parking for customers and therefore call upon Enfield Council not to proceed with the proposal to double the price of on street parking from 20p to 40p for 0-15 minutes.</p>	No	After carefully considering your petition and the many other points relating to the proposed parking charges advertised in November, the Council has decided to amend its proposals. Although the original charges will still apply in Enfield Town, where traffic congestion is most significant, it is now proposed to introduce a lower increase in the rest of the borough. The Council's proposals have been published today. (23/12/10)
13/12/10	<p>Wheelie Bins Batley Road</p> <p>We, the undersigned, completely oppose the introduction of wheelie bins or plastic recycling sacks to Batley Road EN2. We request that we be permitted to continue using the recycling bins we already possess.</p>	No	Response (18/1/11)
02/02/11	<p>Toby Carvery Flood Light</p> <p>We the petitioners request that the High powered stop light on the roof of the Toby Carvery be investigated. The light shines into properties and is a great hazard to drivers when they turn into the Toby Carvery Car Park from the A10</p>	No	<p>I have sought a response from officers within Environmental Services to your concerns and their response is set out below:</p> <p>Officers have contacted the owners of the premises regarding the intrusion of the light onto neighbouring properties. They have confirmed that light pollution is caused by artificial lighting illuminating areas beyond those intended. While light maybe a source of annoyance to many people, to be considered a statutory nuisance, artificial lighting must cause a significant interference with the use and enjoyment of one's property.</p> <p>On investigation the light at the premises was found to shine into neighbouring properties. The owners of the Toby Carvery were approached by an officer from Public Health and advised of the problem. They have now repositioned the light so that it does not shine outside the boundary of the property.(14/2/11)</p>
03/02/11	<p>St Joseph's Road Noise Nuisance</p> <p>We the petitioners request that Enfield Council take all available action to cease the parties and prevent further anti Social behaviour from occurring at a property in St Joseph's Road.</p>	No	Response (24/2/11)

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
08/02/11	<p>Parking - Hertford Road We the petitioners request that Enfield Council take all available action to improve the parking availability for residents. The lack of space is due to a local repair garage parking their cars on the street.</p>	No	<p>Officers have visited the site and found at least 7 units operating vehicle workshops. Our initial enquiries indicate that the residents are correct and it appears to be a practice the garages use as they have no space to store the vehicles on site.</p> <p>It will be our intention to communicate to those responsible and require them to remove their vehicles from the highway as it is inappropriate for a business to use the highway as an extension of their business premises. It will be our intention to remove vehicles that we consider abandoned or obstructing the highway if they do not comply with our request to remove them.</p> <p>I hope that this explains how the Council proposes to tackle this problem. (24/2/11)</p>
08/02/11	<p>Green Lanes N13 - Noise Nuisance We the petitioners request that Enfield Council take all available action with regards to the noise nuisance being made from Guard Dogs located at a business premises in Green Lanes.</p>	No	<p>Officers from Environmental Services have investigated your concerns and their response is set out below:</p> <p>Officers have visited the location twice and spoke with the owners of the dogs. The complaints regarding barking were explained to the owner and he has been written to also setting out the sanctions he could face if we establish nuisance from the barking. The owner was given advice on control of the animals by the Animal Welfare officer. The owner has indicated that he will put in place measures such as screening to ensure that the dogs are not disturbed by people walking passed.</p> <p>I understand that officers have also written to you and other residents to explain the process of the Council's investigation of noise complaints. That letter included diary sheets which you have been asked to complete as a history of evidence and this allows us to analyse the time, frequency and duration of the barking.</p> <p>I believe that you have also been made aware of the Out of Hours Noise Service and you will contact us if the dogs are barking on Friday or Saturday nights between 21:00 and 03:00 am. (14/2/11)</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
23/3/2011	Petition to stop the proposed changes and installation of 20 mph boundary zone, speed cushions, double yellow lines, 20 mph entry / exit sign, raised speed humps as proposed in the Eldon Road Area (Drawing LBE-TE/5014/01)	No	Response (4/4/11)
23/3/2011	Chelmsford Road Residents Parking We the undersigned residents of Chelmsford Road, Southgate N14, Strongly urge Enfield Council to reconsider residents parking for Chelmsford Road.	No	<p>The Council is in the process of setting its programme of parking schemes for the new 2011/12 financial year. This programme will be set by the end of April and it is expected that a review of Southgate Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) will be included amongst the schemes. This review will include consulting residents in streets immediately outside the CPZ, like Chelmsford Road, on their experience of parking in the area. If this consultation shows that the majority of residents experience parking problems we will carry out further consultations on the details of an expansion of the CPZ to alleviate these problems.</p> <p>I am afraid this process will take some months but trust you appreciate the need to thoroughly consult on CPZs, as residents often have strong views both for and against them. I hope the above shows that we take your concerns seriously and that we expect to address them in the near future.(6/4/11)</p>
12/4/2011	Worlds End Lane Yellow Lines We the undersigned request that the proposed single yellow lines that are currently outside various premises be replaced with double yellow lines.	No	Your concerns will be carefully considered and included in a report to the Director of Environment. Once a decision has been made we will write to you again with details of how the scheme will be progressed. (26/4/11)
14/3/2011	Car Club Sceme We the undersigned petition Enfield Councillors to stop the proposed Street Car parking bay from going ahead on Kelvin Avenue, N13 as part of the Council's Car Club Scheme.	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each club car will serve a given area and hence it only takes one local resident to give up their car to use the club vehicle to result in no net loss of parking provision; • There seems to be some misunderstanding of the nature of Car Club bays/vehicles – the cars appear private and not identified as rental cars, so no 'commercialisation' would be apparent in the sense that there

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>are no employees, advertising or premises based in the area;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The petitioners do not necessarily represent all residents. Firm evidence suggests that availability of a club car can reduce car ownership by 20 cars per street car available; • Car club offer a range of cars to members; • Low use of an owned vehicle means annual costs – road tax, insurance, depreciation - remain high, which makes occasional use of a car club vehicle very cost effective; • Local consultation was undertaken. In view of the fact that only one on-street car space is to be 'lost' suggests that wide scale letter consultation was wholly unwarranted. This local consultation also included street and press notices. Provision of one bay is not considered as a 'major change'; • As indicated above, this is not a direct commercial activity in a residential area, but is designed to take place in a residential area and hence the chosen location. The availability of the cars is not meant to serve shops and businesses as suggested. <p>The bay will thus be implemented next month. It will however be reviewed in due course, and that could result in its removal. (5/5/2011)</p>
6/5/2011	<p>Permanent Road Closure Ashridge Gardens We the undersigned request the permanent road closure of Ashridge Gardens N13.</p>	No	<p>During 2010, Transport for London restricted the turning movements at the junction of Bowes Road/Telford Road/Wilmer Way and introduced a diversion route along Powys Lane-Wilmer Way in order to facilitate their programme of works for the A406 Improvement Scheme. Unfortunately some drivers were not adhering to the designated diversion route and this caused congestion to occur in Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue, resulting in complaints to the Council.</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>Consequently in July 2010, the Council consulted local residents on measures to reduce congestion and improve conditions for residents by preventing through traffic. There was also a need to treat both roads in the same way to avoid a knock on effect from one road to the other. The proposal involved providing two temporary road closures in Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue, and residents were reminded that these would only be in place for the duration of the diversion.</p> <p>I have contacted Transport for London and asked when they expect the diversion to be removed and they have provided an update as follows: 'Work is progressing towards the completion of the Wilmer Way junction improvements. One of the major junctions within the improvement project, Wilmer Way, required extensive work to be carried out by the utility companies before construction could take place'. 'The new junction benefits from a new pedestrian bridge, significantly improved street level pedestrian crossings, improved street lighting and widened carriageways. It is expected that the junction will now open in late summer 2011 which will result in the diversion being removed'.</p> <p>If these closures were to be made permanent there would a need to consult residents in Wilmer Way and Powys Lane as well as Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue. I regret that there are currently no sources of funding available to the Council to carry out the necessary investigation and consultation. (6/5/2011)</p>
6/5/11	<p>Suspension of Parking Bays on Chase Side Southgate We the undersigned request the Suspension of parking Bays in Chase Side Southgate be lifted.</p>	No	<p>The bays were suspended (between 10th February and 23rd May 2011), due to Health and Safety reasons, as a result of construction work at Asda</p> <p>The bays have now been reinstated, are now operational and the barriers have been removed. (24/5/11)</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
20/5/11	<p>Closure of Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue We the undersigned wish to object strongly to the permanent Closure of Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue.</p>	No	<p>During 2010, Transport for London restricted the turning movements at the junction of Bowes Road/Telford Road/Wilmer Way and introduced a diversion route along Powys Lane-Wilmer Way in order to facilitate their programme of works for the A406 Improvement Scheme. Unfortunately some drivers were not adhering to the designated diversion route and this caused congestion to occur in Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue, resulting in complaints to the Council.</p> <p>Consequently in July 2010, the Council consulted local residents on measures to reduce congestion and improve conditions for residents by preventing through traffic. There was also a need to treat both roads in the same way to avoid a knock on effect from one road to the other. The proposal involved providing two temporary road closures in Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue, and residents were reminded that these would only be in place for the duration of the diversion.</p> <p>I have contacted Transport for London and asked when they expect the diversion to be removed and they have provided an update as follows:</p> <p>'Work is progressing towards the completion of the Wilmer Way junction improvements. One of the major junctions within the improvement project, Wilmer Way, required extensive work to be carried out by the utility companies before construction could take place'.</p> <p>'The new junction benefits from a new pedestrian bridge, significantly improved street level pedestrian crossings, improved street lighting and widened carriageways. It is expected that the junction will now open in late summer 2011 which will result in the diversion being removed'.</p> <p>If these closures were to be made permanent there would a need to consult residents in Wilmer Way and Powys Lane as well as Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue. I regret that there are currently no sources of funding available to the Council to carry</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>out the necessary investigation and consultation. However we will keep this matter under review and look at it again once Transport for London have completed their work on the A406. (23/5/11)</p>
<p>25/5/11</p>	<p>Wheelie Bins We the undersigned strongly oppose the Council's decision to introduce three wheeled bins into Kynaston Road.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Response (31/5/2011)</p>
<p>7/6/11</p>	<p>Fly Tipping We the undersigned petition Enfield Council regarding the matter of fly tipping on the corner of Kendal Avenue and Huxley Road N18.</p>		<p>A modest scheme is being developed with a view to it being completed during the current financial year. It is hoped that through "tidying up" the area, persistent and irresponsible fly-tipping will be deterred.(21/6/2011)</p>
<p>15/6/11</p>	<p>Library Closures in Enfield We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to halt their plans to close Ordnance Road, Bullsmoor & Enfield Highway Library.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Enfield Council's libraries are popular, well resourced and valued by residents and we want them to remain at the very centre of our communities.</p> <p>Unfortunately because of the level of current government spending cuts we have to review all our services to fund further savings. As part of this process, the Library & Museum Service is currently undergoing a Leaner Review to explore and develop strategic options for the future delivery of the service to ensure that we provide the best service we can within the resources available, combined with the best geographical spread.</p> <p>As yet these options are not formulated and no decisions have been made. We want residents to tell us what they want from their library service in coming years and we will consider their responses before we make any decision about libraries. We are currently planning a programme of public consultation where we will seek the views of local people (both users and non-users of the</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>service) on how we can best shape the service for the future within the context of our statutory duty, assessment of local need and available resources. The consultation will comprise focus groups for users and non-users and will aim to give a good geographical coverage of the general population as well as a self-completion survey, which will be hosted by Enfield Council on our website with hard copies of the questionnaire available in libraries and other community venues.</p> <p>This consultation will be undertaken by an independent third party, Alpha Research on behalf of the Council and will not be led by Elected Members or officers of the Council. We will also be using our own data about usage and performance of the Library Service alongside Mosaic household and postcode data to inform this process and to ensure that we are able to minimise impact of any changes we make as a result of the consultation, particularly on disadvantaged or vulnerable groups.</p> <p>The consultation is due to start on 1st July and will run for three months with recommendations for the future delivery of the service going to Cabinet in December this year.</p> <p>I would also like to stress that headlines in the Enfield Advertiser relating to library closures and quoting Councillor Andrew Stafford were incorrect. At no time did Councillor Stafford state that libraries in North East Enfield were going to close - no decision about the future of our library service will be made until we have considered the responses to the consultation.</p> <p>I trust this information is helpful and reassures you that the Council would not make any proposals for the future of the Library Service lightly or without careful research and sets the record straight on local headlines.(30/6/2011)</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
16/06/11	<p>Controlled Parking Zone Oakwood We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to retain the current Oakwood Controlled Parking Zone and oppose all plans to extend or change these hours.</p>	No	<p>Thank you for your petition, which will be carefully considered together with all the other responses we have received the consultation on the review of Oakwood CPZ. Let me assure you that if any changes to the CPZ are proposed we will only introduce them where they have the support of the majority of local residents and businesses. Also, any changes would be subject to further and thorough consultation.</p> <p>Our consultants are analysing the consultation responses at present and they will be reporting back to the Council in July. We will be writing to all local residents and businesses in September, after the summer holidays, to let you know the outcome of the consultation.(16/6/2011)</p>
16/6/2011	<p>Controlled Parking Zone Graeme Road We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to retain the CPZ scheme and is given the option of the one hour resident parking only scheme.</p>	No	<p>Thank you for your petition concerning the proposals for Enfield Town CPZ as they affect Graeme Road. The proposals are due to be advertised later this month and I will arrange for your petition to be treated as a formal objections to those proposals. All comments and objections will be carefully considered and a report submitted to the Cabinet Member for Environment and Street Scene for approval.</p> <p>Naturally I will contact you again when a decision is made on the proposals. (16/6/2011)</p>
21/6/2011	<p>Road Safety Old Park Avenue We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to urgently review & monitor road safety/speeding vehicles on Old Park Avenue.</p>	No	<p>The Council receives many hundreds of requests every year for action to reduce traffic speeds and improve road safety. As we only have very limited resources available, schemes are prioritised on the number of collisions that have occurred and there being appropriate physical measures that can be introduced to reduce the accidents.</p> <p>Fortunately, in the past three years (up to October 2010) there have been only three slight personal injury accidents on Old Park Avenue and, on this basis, it is unlikely that additional measures will be considered at the present time. However, collisions records across the borough are kept continuously under review and the situation could be reassessed if the safety of Old Park</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>Avenue were to significantly deteriorate in the future. (30/6/2011)</p>
<p>21/6/2011</p>	<p>Closure of Dawlish Avenue We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to make the closure of Dawlish Avenue at the junction with Powys Lane permanent to avoid return to speeding traffic, road accidents, noise and pollution.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>During 2010, Transport for London restricted the turning movements at the junction of Bowes Road/Telford Road/Wilmer Way and introduced a diversion route along Powys Lane-Wilmer Way in order to facilitate their programme of works for the A406 Improvement Scheme. Unfortunately some drivers were not adhering to the designated diversion route and this caused congestion to occur in Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue, resulting in complaints to the Council.</p> <p>Consequently in July 2010, the Council consulted local residents on measures to reduce congestion and improve conditions for residents by preventing through traffic. There was also a need to treat both roads in the same way to avoid a knock on effect from one road to the other. The proposal involved providing two temporary road closures in Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue, and residents were reminded that these would only be in place for the duration of the diversion.</p> <p>I have contacted Transport for London and asked when they expect the diversion to be removed and they have provided an update as follows: 'Work is progressing towards the completion of the Wilmer Way junction improvements. One of the major junctions within the improvement project, Wilmer Way, required extensive work to be carried out by the utility companies before construction could take place'. 'The new junction benefits from a new pedestrian bridge, significantly improved street level pedestrian crossings, improved street lighting and widened carriageways. It is expected that the</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>junction will now open in late summer 2011 which will result in the diversion being removed'.</p> <p>If these closures were to be made permanent there would a need to consult residents in Wilmer Way and Powys Lane as well as Ashridge Gardens and Dawlish Avenue. I regret that there are currently no sources of funding available to the Council to carry out the necessary investigation and consultation. (23/06/2011)</p>
18/7/2011	<p>Controlled Parking Zone Extension Lambs Road We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to our road being included in the controlled CPZ scheme.</p>	No	<p>We only aim to introduce Controlled Parking Zones in areas where the majority of those that respond to our consultations are in favour. We have added your petition to the other responses to the ongoing public consultation, although I would still encourage you and your neighbours to also complete the questionnaire you will have received with the Council consultation. If after studying all the consultation responses from your area it is found the majority of residents and businesses do not want a CPZ it will not be progressed. (20/7/11)</p>
20/7/11	<p>Traffic Control Grangeway We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to introduce a form of Traffic Control at the Grangeway.</p>	No	<p>Your request for a pedestrian crossing on The Grangeway has been added to our database of requests for safety schemes. However unfortunately the Council's budget for this type scheme is limited and we are unable to progress your request in the foreseeable future. The Council did recently introduce the Enfield Residents Priority Fund and this may be another way of getting funding for your proposal. The use of this funding is decided on by Ward Councillors and you may wish to approach your local Councillors with your proposal. I should warn you though that this funding is also limited and your Councillors will probably have received many other requests.</p> <p>In the meantime I can advise you that the Council has provided four laser speed guns to the local Police and they have been doing additional speed enforcement around the borough. You may wish to contact the Grange Ward Safer Neighbourhood Team, on 020 8721 2686 to see if they can give</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			priority to speed enforcement on The Grangeway. (22/7/2011)
20/7/11	Woodgrange Gardens Safer Neighbourhood.	Yes	Response received 29 July 2011
27/7/11	<p>Dover House We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection against the present Crossover.</p>	No	<p>Highway Services were requested by Enfield Homes as the client to install a heavy duty footway crossing to permit the access and egress of a fire appliance at Dover House. Typically, all heavy duty crossings are constructed with an asphalt wearing course to an overall construction depth of 490mm. Given the high possibility of encountering existing utilities beneath the footway at this depth and location, a reinforced concrete slab was installed with an overall depth of 255mm.</p> <p>Had information been forthcoming that a pre-cast block finish was required; Highway Services would have advised that trial-holes would be necessary to accommodate a deeper construction make-up of some 450mm at additional cost. Although the extent of utilities particularly affected cannot be confirmed, it is known that a street lighting cable would have required lowering at a significant cost to the project. It perhaps should be noted that Highway Services are not required to consult on matters relating to heavy duty crossings as they are a standard highway feature accepted as necessary to provide access.</p> <p>Due to a miscommunication between Highway Services and its Contractor, the crossing was</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>installed some 264mm adrift of the specification provided by Enfield Homes. Although this error did not preclude access by a fire tender, the contractor returned to site and widened the crossing to marry-in with the installed fire tender staging area. The crossing is now full-width and surfaced in asphalt.</p> <p>The damaged paving slabs adjacent to the crossing were not caused by the Highway Services Contractor. However, an Area Highways Officer will carry out an inspection and if defects are found that meet or exceed the Council's agreed intervention levels, repairs will be put in hand. If slabs are cracked but do not present a tripping hazard, they are not repaired. However, regular inspections will continue and if at a future visit deterioration has occurred; repairs would be instated at that time. (4/8/2011)</p>
27/7/11	<p>St. John's Primary School – 20mph Speed Limit We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to introduce a form of Traffic Control at St Johns Primary School</p>	No	<p>In order to introduce 20 mph zones Department for Transport regulations require that traffic calming measures are introduced to make them self enforcing. This is reasonable given that the Police cannot carry out enough speed enforcement to make a "signs only" scheme effective. In the roads around most schools in Enfield traffic calming can be introduced, but unfortunately St John's School is on Theobalds Park Road which is part of the Emergency Route Network. This is a network of roads that are regularly used by the emergency services and which the Council has agreed to try and avoid putting traffic calming measures on.</p> <p>Consequently St John's School is not on the Council's current programme of 20 mph zones. However we will keep this situation under review, and if funding becomes available in the future we could look at other safety measures. (29/7/11)</p>
29/7/11	<p>Jubilee Park Benches. We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to relocate the benches.</p>	Yes	<p>Arrange a site meeting with the park manager and other park users including Friends to further discuss the proposals. (8/8/2011)</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
29/7/11	<p>CPZ Chase Green We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to our road being included in the controlled CPZ scheme.</p>	No	<p>Your petition and letter will be considered carefully together with all the other responses to the statutory consultation on the review of Enfield Town Controlled Parking Zone. We will try and meet your request for an additional residents parking space in your road but we will need to look into this matter in greater detail before we can confirm if this is possible.(2/8/11)</p>
3/8/11	<p>Parking Restrictions Churchbury Lane We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to Parking Restrictions.</p>	No	<p>The comments and suggestions in the letter accompanying your petition will be carefully considered as part of the consultation on the review of Enfield Town Controlled Parking Zone. I can assure you that the Council will only implement changes in areas where the majority of residents support those changes. (3/8/11)</p>
4/8/11	<p>Enfield Town CPZ We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to Parking Restrictions. (4/8/11)</p>	Yes	<p>The comments and suggestions in the letter accompanying your petition will be carefully considered as part of the consultation on the review of Enfield Town Controlled Parking Zone. I can assure you that the Council will only implement changes in areas where the majority of residents support those changes. (9/11/11)</p>
23/9/11	<p>Relocation of Speed Restrictions Bury Street We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to reposition the Speed Hump located in Bury Street.</p>	No	<p>Consequently as a result of the comments received during the consultation period, the plan was amended at the detailed design stage prior to the implementation of the scheme. Since this scheme is ten years old it is not possible to recall the specific reasons for this change to the design, although it would appear from the consultation plan that concerns may have been raised regarding the measures being located too close to the bend.</p> <p>In the meantime, I can advise you there are currently no sources of funding available to the Council to review the traffic calming measures. I hope the above explains the current situation.</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
7/10/2011	<p>Alma Road We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to consider our Road Calming proposal.</p>	No	<p>Thank you for your petition and the various suggestions relating to the proposed 20 mph zone around Alma school. These will be carefully considered together with all the other responses to the public consultation on this proposal. We will then report the results of the consultation to the Cabinet Member for Environment and make recommendations on how to progress. We will write to all residents in your area once a decision has been made.(4/11/11)</p>
21/10/11	<p>Doubled Glazed Windows We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to install Double Glazed windows at Hereford House and Leicester House.</p>	No	<p>It is recognised that poorly fitting, single glazed windows do lead to problems of high energy bills, condensation and draughts which should be brought up to a higher standard where possible.</p> <p>Enfield Homes received an annual allocation from the government to carry out programmes of works to the housing stock based on our requirements to bring the stock up to a high standard. Unfortunately the money made available is insufficient to meet these needs in a short time frame.</p> <p>A bid of £205M formed the basis of the original submission to the government for Decent Homes funding when Enfield Homes was formed. This was reduced to £137M when the bid was approved and was subject to further reductions brought about by the prevailing economic conditions and the government's Comprehensive Spending Review. Tight conditions were set on how this allocation should have been spent and in the event the emphasis was put on kitchen and bathroom renewals</p> <p>The 2012/13 programme will focus on external Decent Homes work for which £18M has been approved by the Homes and Communities Agency. This figure is substantially less than is needed to bring all blocks which are non decent up to standard.</p> <p>The current situation for 2013/14, as the earliest year in which your blocks can be considered, is an</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>indicative £12M, and £15M for 2014/15. These figures are subject to a further review by the government. They can also be contrasted with a required £75M for all properties that are in need of improvement.</p> <p>In the light of this it is our intention to consider the windows in Hereford and Leicester Houses for renewal from the 2013/14 programme onwards. Their inclusion in the programme will be dependent on the funds being made available and other blocks also in need of window renewals.</p> <p>The petition also made reference to the presence of asbestos. Our asbestos register shows it to be present as a fireproof liner to doors which are accessed from escape routes and communal walkways. It is not generally accessible to residents and the general public. (13/12/11)</p>
3/11/11	<p>Land Fronting Baker Street We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to the proposed sale and re-development of Land in Baker Street.</p>	No	The comments and suggestions in the letter and accompanying petition will be carefully considered as part of the decision making process around this council asset. (9/11/11)/
8/11/11	<p>CPZ – Kimberley Gardens We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to reinstate the CPZ proposals for Kimberley Gardens..</p>	No	'The Council intends to consult all residents of the Kimberley Gardens area again to seek their views on introducing the resident parking scheme. The initial letter will be distributed in the area before the end of January and will ask residents to confirm that they wish the Enfield Town CPZ to be extended to their road.'
29/11/11	<p>CPZ – Bazile Road We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to reinstate the CPZ proposals for Kimberley Gardens..</p>	No	'The Council will be appointing consultants to investigate the extension of the Grange Park CPZ into their Bazile Road and the introduction of double yellow lines at the junction of Bazile Road and Vera Avenue. It is hoped that the initial letter from the appointed consultants would be sent to all the affected residents by the end of the financial year.'

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
2/12/11	<p>Wellington Road – Yellow Lines We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to extend the yellow line (single or double) along the section of Wellington Road between Queen Anne’s Place and Abbey Road.</p>	No	<p><i>Nearly all of the petition signatories live on the eastern side of Wellington Road. However, it is not clear whether it is intended that the requested 1pm-2pm restriction would apply on just the eastern side or both sides of Wellington Road. Clearly, residents living on the western side of Wellington Road would be affected by both options and we need to understand their views before taking any further action.</i></p> <p><i>In short, the parking situation in Wellington Road needs to be considered comprehensively and we need to have evidence that the majority of all affected residents support action being taken. If residents can demonstrate this support we would, subject to funding being identified, investigate the situation further to determine whether an acceptable scheme can be developed that meets the needs of residents and other road users. In particular, we would need to ensure that removing parking from Wellington Road didn't have the unintended consequence of increasing vehicle speeds.</i></p> <p>I can confirm that the petition has been logged in accordance with the Council's Petition Scheme. The scheme provides the petition organiser with the right to request that the Council's Overview and Scrutiny Committee review the steps that the Council has taken in response to your petition if you feel that we have not dealt with it properly. If you feel this is the case, please can you provide a short explanation of the reasons why you feel the Council's response is not considered adequate.</p>
	<p>Red Route Expansion We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to the expansion of the Red Route into Warwick Road</p>	No	<p>This is not a London Borough of Enfield proposal, it is a Transport for London proposal and as such has been forwarded to them for a response.</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
27/1/2012	<p>Planned Speed Cushion Zone We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to the planned Speed Cushion Zone in N21.</p>	Yes	<p>The views of the petitioners, and those of residents who completed the consultation questionnaire, will be taken into account before deciding on how to proceed with the proposed 20 mph zone. The size of the zone, and the type of traffic calming features, will be reviewed in light of the comments we receive. A report will then be prepared for the Cabinet Member for Environment that will summarise the consultation responses and recommend a way forward. After the Cabinet Member has come to a view on this report we will write to residents to let them know the outcome.</p>
31/1/12	<p>Road Closure Old Park Road We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to implement a no through road.</p>	No	<p>Thank you for your petition and thoroughly researched supporting document. Unfortunately at present there are no resources available to investigate your request for a road closure. We feel that such a closure would require an expensive area wide public consultation, including all the residential roads bounded by Aldermans Hill, Cannon Hill, Meadway, Greenway, Bourne Hill and the railway line. This is because closing Old Park Road will inevitably lead to additional traffic on other residential roads in your area. Residents in Grovelands Road in particular are likely to have strong views about closing Old Park Road. An alternative would be to consult on several road closures that would eliminate all through traffic in the area. However our experience of such schemes is that most residents would rather maintain their current access to the surrounding main roads and put up with the through traffic. 1/2/12</p>
2/3/12	<p>Wheeled Bins Gentlemans Row We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to guarantee that Gentlemans Row will not be supplied wheeled Bins.</p>	No	<p>At this time we are unable to confirm wheeled bin information for the properties mentioned in the petition, apart from no. 18 River View which has already received the new waste collection scheme last July and is currently on the sacks service.</p> <p>Gentleman's Row will be included in the Phase 5 roll out that will take place in late October to early November this year. The properties will need to be assessed on the same basis as all other properties</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>within the Borough prior to any service change. This assessment has not taken place yet and when it is completed, it will confirm the most suitable service we could provide to each property. 18/4/12</p>
<p>14/3/12</p>	<p>Road Proposals Sydney Road We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to Consider our road proposals for Sydney Road.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Plans have already been prepared to extend the existing double yellow lines on the west side of Sydney Road to the junction with Essex Road. It is intended to advertise this change of restrictions with all the other changes to restrictions in the near future.</p> <p>Changes to the Resident, Resident/Pay & Display and Business parking bays will have to be fully consulted on. Subject to confirmation of funding it is expected that this consultation will begin before the summer holiday period.</p> <p>There are no plans to restrict access by traffic to Sydney Road. Any restriction to vehicles would affect the ability of resident's, delivery and other vehicles to access this road.11/4/12</p>
<p>29/3/12</p>	<p>Trent Park Cafe We the undersigned Petition Enfield Council the proposed change to Trent Country Park.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>This petition was considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 15th May 2012.</p>
<p>29/3/12</p>	<p>Extend CPZ in Queen Anne's Gardens We the undersigned Petition Enfield Council to extend the Bush Hill Park CPZ restriction.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Council will investigate the proposals in your petition and consult all the residents of your street on introducing restrictions. It is hoped that this consultation will take place by the Summer, with a report to the Cabinet Member for Environment on the results of the consultation to follow.'27/4/12</p>
<p>2/4/12</p>	<p>Public Footpath We the undersigned Petition Enfield Council to close the Public Footpath running between Wades Hill and Green Dragon Lane.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The issue of closing this Right of Way as raised in your petition has been considered and referred to appropriate Council Members. The conclusion is that at present there are no resources available to commence the process to examine if the footpath closure process should be initiated, and we will take no further action with the matter." 14/6/12</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
5/4/12	<p>Slip Road We the undersigned Petition Enfield Council to have the road in front of Kenilworth Road made into a one way.</p>		<p>I regret that there are currently no sources of funding available to the Council to carry out the necessary investigation and consultation on the one-way that you have requested. Unfortunately we have a limited budget for road safety schemes and there are many other roads in the borough with a worse history of road casualties.</p> <p>However, you and other concerned residents may wish to raise the matter with your local councillors in the Town Ward - Cllrs Jukes, Laban and Rye - requesting that your suggestion be added to the list of potential schemes considered for the Enfield Residents Priority Fund 2012/13. Councillors can be contacted by writing to them care of the Civic Centre or you can get their e-mail addresses from the Council's website on www.enfield.gov.uk.</p>
18/4/12	<p>Go Ape We the undersigned Petition Enfield Council against the proposed change to Trent Country Park.</p>	No	<p>In respect of the petition statement regarding consultation, the statement refers only to the weekend consultation event in August 2011. In addition, as part of the planning process, consultation letters were sent to 28 neighbouring properties as well as the Friends of Trent Park and Trent Park Conservation Study Group. These letters notified them of the development proposal and invited their comments. Furthermore, and in recognition of the fact that the application site forms part of the Trent Park Conservation Area, is designated Green Belt and a Site of Metropolitan Importance for Nature Conservation, notices were also displayed at the site. In addition, a notice was published in the Enfield Independent on 5th October 2011.</p> <p>Further, a letter of consultation was issued to the Enfield Disability Association (now Enfield Disability Action) but no response was received.</p> <p>The Council is not involved in the running of the Friends' of Parks groups and we are not in a position to comment on the postponement of the Friends of Trent Park meeting scheduled for 5 December 2011. However, also the group was one of the consultees, it is not true to say that the group initiated the Go Ape project.</p> <p>Regarding the statement about the rope course making Church Wood a hazardous and noisy area, the Council considered noise in its Equality Impact Assessment noting that noise "could have a negative impact of blind users of the trail, but conversely it could also have a positive affect of inspiring blind people to get involved with the experience." (see also the comments below</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>regarding access for disabled people)</p> <p>As the rope course crosses the blind trail at high level, the Middlesex Association for the blind was consulted and they had no issue with the Go Ape facility. A survey of users of the blind trail was undertaken during which no blind users were identified and the predominant use of the trail was for walking, dog walking and running.</p> <p>In respect of the concerns in the statement about health and safety, there are no risks to any people using the designated footpaths or the blind trail. However, Go Ape have erected warning signs adjacent to the fenced off landing areas to warn anyone who leaves the designated footpaths that there is a risk of collision if they enter the landing area. The other warning signs that have been provided are specifically aimed at users of the rope course.</p> <p>The petition statement that disabled visitors are not accommodated is not correct. Whilst the course is not suitable for all, due to the nature of the physical challenges involved, Go Ape welcome people with physical disabilities and, for example, encourage blind/partially sighted participants by allowing two helpers to use the course free of charge. Participants with one missing upper or lower limb can be accommodated by providing them with suitable additional equipment. Participants with learning or behavioural difficulties are also encouraged, again by allowing 2 helpers to assist free of charge.</p> <p>The point about the level of admission fee is noted, but Go Ape do offer concessionary rates to assist access to the facility for groups such as Youth Clubs, students, charities, Scouts and Guides. We are aware that demand for the facility has been good since it launched in March. 22/6/12</p>
15/5/12	<p>CPZ – Kimberley Gardens & Sketty Road We the undersigned petition Enfield Council against implementing the CPZ proposals for Kimberley Gardens and Sketty Road.</p>	No	<p>Your objection to the proposed extension of the Enfield Town CPZ into Kimberley Gardens and part of Sketty Road will be carefully considered. A report will be drafted shortly and presented to the Cabinet Member for Environment in June. This report will summarise all the concerns we have received since the publication of the traffic management order, and give officers responses</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			and recommendations. Once the report has been approved I will send you a copy. 23/5/12
28/5/12	<p>New Dinner Hall for Brimsdown School We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to rebuild Brimsdowns School Dining Hall</p>	No	<p>The Council is very aware of the poor condition of the school dining hall and we have been exploring various options to improve the facility. There were plans to build a new dining hall, but unfortunately it was not possible to complete this project due to the current financial situation. The Council is also under pressure because of the increase in school aged children across the Borough and the need to fund additional school places. The Government have also not yet identified how improvements of this nature are likely to be funded in the future.</p> <p>Any work to improve the kitchen and dining will need to be funded jointly by the Council and the School. We therefore plan to revisit this with the Headteacher and Governing Body to prioritise the necessary work in order to respond swiftly as and when additional funding becomes available."15/6/12</p>
31/5/12	<p>Allotment Plot Rental Increases - Church Street, Edmonton We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to the planned Plot rental increases.</p>	No	<p>In July 2011 Enfield conducted a benchmarking exercise of Allotment Rentals with neighbouring authorities and from the response comparable indicative figures were given.</p> <p>The increase to the allotment rent follows a two year service review which was undertaken in conjunction with an Allotment Scrutiny Panel Working Group. The rental increase for 2012-13 was agreed by full Council in March 2011 and has been benchmarked across London and is a part of the Councils Medium Term Financial Strategy. Enfield was the only borough offering out of Borough concessions and therefore we now fall in line with other boroughs.</p> <p>Notices advising of this rent increase were placed on all allotment site notice boards in late March 2011. This was later followed by a letter sent to all allotment plot holders in October informing them of changes that would be taking place with regard to the invoicing and concessions available. This letter also informed that the concessions</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>would no longer be available to allotment tenants living outside the Borough of Enfield.</p> <p>Enfield Council has recognised the importance of allotments in the community and has invested some £450,000 in the past two years on improvements to the infrastructure.</p> <p>Reference is also made within your letter to the access way to the allotments via Cedars Court; investigations have shown that this land is shown as un-adopted land which restricts the Council in carrying out any improvements.22/5/12</p>
11/6/12	<p>Enfield Town Area Review We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to the planned double line extension from the junction of Pevensey Avenue to the garages on the west side of the road.</p>	No	<p>'Thank you for your letter dated 28th May 2012 objecting to the proposed restrictions in Churchbury Lane.</p> <p>Your objection and other objections that are received will be carefully considered before any decision is made on the proposals.</p> <p>We will write to you again once a decision has been made.' 15/6/12</p>
14/6/12	<p>Allotments Increases - Hounsfild We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our objection to the current allotment policy.</p>	No	<p>Thank you for your correspondence and attached petition dated 6 June 2012, addressed to the Director of Environment.</p> <p>You raise a number of concerns within your letter and the following information has been provided to address your concerns.</p> <p>In July 2011 a benchmarking exercise of Allotment rentals and concessions available was carried out with neighbouring authorities and from the response comparable indicative figures were given.</p> <p>The increase to the allotment rent follows a two year service review which was undertaken in conjunction with an Allotment Scrutiny Panel Working Group. The rental increase for 2012-13 was agreed by full Council in March 2011 and has been benchmarked across London as part of the Councils Medium Term Financial Strategy. Enfield was the only borough offering out of Borough residents a concession and therefore we now fall in line with other boroughs.</p> <p>Notices advising of this rent increase were placed on all allotment site notice boards in late March 2011, This was later followed by a letter sent to all allotment plot holders</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>in October 2011 informing them of changes that would be taking place with regard to the invoicing period and the availability of concessions. This letter also informed that concessions would no longer be available to allotment tenants living outside the Borough of Enfield.</p> <p>Enfield Council has recognised the importance of allotments in the community and has invested some £450,000 in the past two years on improvements to the infrastructure.</p> <p>Reference is made to the provision of toilets on the site. These are provided for the use of all tenants and no further provision can be made. The drinking water available within the toilets is served from a separate mains supply to ensure a fresh supply. 28/6/12</p>
14/6/12	<p>Save Bees We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to commit to a policy that where possible they will plant our parks and open spaces with nectar/pollen/plants/shrubs to help save bees and other insects from extinction.</p>	No	<p>Enfield's parks department have recently reviewed all seasonal bedding areas in parks we have previously planted approx 50,000 plants per annum as part of the summer or spring show of plants, for this season the figure has been reduced by 50% and replaced with sustainable plants</p> <p>We have focused on sustainable planting for a number of reasons in no order of priority 1. Costs of seasonal bedding plants. 2. Resource levels reduced in maintaining seasonal bedding as opposed to herbaceous beds. 3. Increase biodiversity with particular attention to plants which attract bees.</p> <p>This will be ongoing process and will affect most bedding areas in parks only retaining formal bedding in areas such as the Cenotaph and any formal garden schemes in parks. (2/7/12)</p>
22/6/12	<p>Schools for Southgate We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to address the lack of local primary school places in 2012; we understand that over 100 children have no place to start school this year.</p>	No	<p>1. <u>Increase the overall provision of places for primary school children</u> At the same Cabinet meeting on 20th June when the petition was presented, Cabinet agreed a strategy for primary school places. This report identified a series of school expansions that includes the overall provision of places for primary school children. To accommodate the increasing uncertainty in the forecasts, the strategy agreed on 20th June increases planned flexibility to 6% for September 2013 and 2014 and 4% thereafter, but would keep this under regular review.</p> <p>2. <u>Create Bulge Classes at Walker Primary and St.</u></p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p><u>Monicas for this year</u> The Council is not proposing to create a bulge class at Walker Primary or St. Monicas for September 2012. Additional Reception classes will open at DeBohun Primary and Hazelwood Primary Schools, bringing the total number of new Reception classes for September 2012 to 20.</p> <p><u>3.Support Planning Permission to Extend Walker School on a Permanent Basis</u> The Council is proposing to expand a number of schools from September 2013. Walker Primary School is part of this plan to create additional places across the Borough. The aim is to have an extra Reception and Year 1 class from September 2013 leading to a fully expanded 3 forms of entry school.</p> <p><u>4.Investigate the Admission Rule Cheats</u> In addition to the normal checks that are in place to verify the information that is provided during the school application process, the Local Authority will investigate any application where there are doubts about the validity of the details provided by the parent or carers. This will include referrals to Enfield's Counter Fraud Team where appropriate.</p> <p>The Local Authority is always prepared to consider the use of whatever powers are available to identify cases where there is deliberate intent to mislead or defraud the Council in relation to school applications.</p> <p>We take this matter very seriously and are continually reviewing our arrangements to ensure that places are offered to children who genuinely meet the admission criteria for schools.</p> <p><u>5.Redefine Admission Rules Such That A Family Must Remain In The Local Area In Order For Them To Continue To Be Entitled To A Sibling Place At A School</u> There are no defined local areas for any community schools in Enfield. Any such changes would require full consultation as it is far from clear that this change in policy would receive support from other parents and carers across Enfield. Many families move, often through no choice of their own, and this change in policy would have a detrimental impact on the children concerned. Feedback we have received directly from parents or in relation to consultation that has previously taken place on admission arrangements supports the desire for many parents of primary age children to have them at the same school.</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
			<p>The 2010 School Admissions Code, on which our current arrangements are based, states that the admission authorities for primary schools should ensure in their oversubscription criteria that siblings (including twins, triplets or children from multiple births) can attend the same primary school, as long as they comply with the Education (Infant Class Sizes) (England) Regulations 1998. Whilst the most recent Code does not give a definitive list of acceptable oversubscription criteria, it does now enable admission authorities to give consideration to siblings of former pupils, which is not something that Enfield is proposing to do, and does seem to maintain the view that siblings should be given the opportunity to attend the same school. It would be very difficult to define “the local area” in relation to the admission of children to school across Enfield, as the distance criterion is not fixed and can change from year to year and differs from school to school. (19/7/12)</p>
22/6/12	<p>Schools for Southgate We the undersigned petition Enfield Council our rejection to plans to impose a bulge class at Walker Primary School.</p>	No	<p>The Council is not proposing to create a bulge class at Walker Primary for September 2012. Additional Reception classes will open at DeBohun Primary and Hazelwood Primary Schools, bringing the total number of new Reception classes for September 2012 to 20.</p> <p>The Council is proposing to expand a number of schools from September 2013. Walker Primary School is part of this plan to create additional places across the Borough. The aim is to have an extra Reception and Year 1 class from September 2013 leading to a fully expanded 3 forms of entry school. (19/7/12)</p>
20/7/12	<p>CPZ Cornwall & Fairfield Road We the undersigned petition our support of a controlled one hour parking in our street.</p>	No	<p>We are currently analysing your petition to see if it shows that the majority of households in your area support the introduction of a controlled parking zone. If this is the case we will seek to identify funding to proceed with the extensive consultation exercise. This may not be until the 2013/14 financial year but if funding becomes available this financial year we will start sooner. We will write to again within 2 weeks to let you know the outcome of our analysis. (20/7/12)</p>

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE					
<table border="1" data-bbox="190 180 427 212"> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="264 220 358 244">27/7/12</p>						<p data-bbox="465 188 857 212">Barrowell Green Allotments</p> <p data-bbox="465 228 1081 323">We the undersigned petition our concerns over the restructuring of the current day to day running of the site.</p>	<p data-bbox="1142 188 1182 212">No</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1339 188 1910 435">1. It is unfortunate that some of the work carried out to the roadway was not of a satisfactory standard and repairs have become necessary. These repairs have now been partially completed by the contractors but due to a backlog within the borough they have had to postpone the completion of work. We have been assured that the work will be completed as soon as possible. <li data-bbox="1339 467 1910 659">2. Enfield Council has recognised the importance of allotments to the individual by way of the investment made in the allotment sites borough wide. It is unfortunate that the current economic climate has necessitated the increase in allotment rentals and it is not envisaged that such large increases will follow in coming years. <li data-bbox="1339 691 1910 930">3. Higher out of borough charges were introduced a number of years ago as a method of allowing borough residents priority use of the allotment plots over out of borough residents. Returns from a London wide survey of allotments has established that many London Boroughs have higher rental charges for out of borough residents with some restricting there use to borough residents only. 8/8/12
<p data-bbox="264 978 358 1002">7/8/12</p>	<p data-bbox="465 978 779 1002">Enfield Town Parking</p> <p data-bbox="465 1018 1048 1114">We the undersigned petition our support the campaign for changes to Enfield Councils Parking Policy.</p>	<p data-bbox="1142 978 1182 1002">No</p>	<p data-bbox="1294 978 1619 1002">Responded Council July 2012</p>					
<p data-bbox="264 1169 358 1193">17/8/12</p>	<p data-bbox="465 1169 1025 1225">Enfield Waiting and Loading Restriction Order</p> <p data-bbox="465 1241 1093 1337">We the undersigned petition our objection to the amendments of the Enfield Waiting and Loading restriction order.</p>	<p data-bbox="1142 1169 1182 1193">No</p>	<p data-bbox="1294 1169 1888 1489">Your objection to the proposed double yellow lines at junctions in your area will be carefully considered, together with all other objections to the traffic management order. A report will be prepared that gives officers response to each objection and recommends whether the proposals should be dropped, approved or approved with amendments. When the report has been signed off we will write to you again and include a copy of the signed report. 21/8/12</p>					

RECEIVED	PETITIONS	LIVE	RESPONSE
22/8/12	<p>Hoppers Road – Speed Limit We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to introduce a speed limit of 20mph in Hoppers road and the streets inbetween.</p>	No	<p>As part of the Council's manifesto commitment to introduce 20 mph zones in all residential roads around schools, we will be consulting residents around Palmers Green High School on a 20 mph zone later this year. The precise area to be treated has still to be decided but as a minimum it will include the southern end of Hoppers Road. The proposed zone will include traffic calming measures to make it self enforcing. Simply signing the zone will have no more effect than the current 30 mph speed limit, which by your observations is frequently flouted by inconsiderate drivers. Subject to the outcome of the public consultation, we expect to implement the 20 mph zone in summer 2013. 24/8/12</p>
3/9/12	<p>Brimsdwn Ave – Footway Crossover We the undersigned petition Enfield Council to use the same materials as used on Carterhatch road when the renewal of footway takes place.</p>	No	<p>Responded 21 Sept 2012</p>