IMPROVING ENFIELD

North East Enfield Consultation Statement

The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012

Proposed Submission Stage

February 2014



www.enfield.gov.uk/NEEAAP

1 Introduction 2 Introduction 2 NEEAAP Interim Direction Document Consultation 3 Bodies and persons consulted Duty to cooperate How bodies and persons were consulted Summary of main issues 3 NEEAAP Proposed Submission Consultation 5 **4** Appendices 6 Appendix A: Press Notice Appendix B: Consultation Activity

2

3

3 3

4

Appendix D: Summary of Consultation Responses North East Enfield Area Action Plan - Interim Direction Interim Direction Document Stage Aug 2012 - Nov 2012

Appendix C: List of Respondents

(Contents)•••

• • • (

Consultation Statement

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 This statement has been prepared to comply with the requirements of Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulation 2012. The statement sets out the consultation process undertaken at the interim direction stage; the main issues raised and how these have been addressed by the Proposed Submission NEEAAP.

1.1.2 The North East Enfield area is one of the identified Regeneration Areas confirmed through Enfield's adopted Core Strategy in core policies 40 and 41. The AAP will provide a comprehensive planning framework and identifies opportunity sites for redevelopment and key infrastructure in North East Enfield. It will be used to direct local investment, particularly redevelopment proposals and inform key infrastructure discussions and guide the estate renewal projects such as the Alma. It sets out a vision for what we want the area to be like in the future as well the plans and projects needed to deliver that vision on the ground and make it a reality for residents who want to see real change in their neighbourhoods.

1.1.3 The process for producing the NEEAAP started in 2008, and since then there have been a number of stages of consultation and ongoing discussions to develop the plan with local people, interested organisations and developers. This included:

- Stage 1: Issues & Options Stage in 2008; &
- Stage 2: Preferred Options Stage in 2009;

1.1.4 These formal stages of the document's development were carried out under the regulations of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004, the regulation were subsequently superseded in 2009. On the advice of the then Government Office for London preparation of the NEEAAP was paused to enable the Council to progress its Core Strategy.

1.1.5 With the Core Strategy adopted in 2010 and with significant progress on a number of other local initiatives being progressed in the North East Enfield area, work on the Action Plan recommenced in 2012.

1.1.6 Under the 2009 Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations a further 'Interim Direction Document Stage' round of consultation was undertaken, Stage 3. The comments and representations received during this most recent consultation, together with those that had preceded it have informed the preparation of the Proposed Submission Draft North East Enfield Area Action Plan (February 2014).

1.1.7 Following a review of the consultation responses received over these 3 stages, a Proposed Submission NCAAP has been prepared; further details on this are provided in section 3 of this document.

1.1.8 In April 2012, the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 came into force and now govern the process of this next formal stage, the "Proposed Submission". In accordance with Regulation 19 of the 2012 regulations, this Consultation Statement has been produced as part of the Proposed Submission Documents for the NEEAAP.

2.1 North East Enfield Area Action Plan: Interim Direction Document

NEEAAP

2.1.1 In August 2012 Enfield Council published for consultation the NEEAAP Interim Direction Document for a 12 week consultation period between August 2012 and November 2012.

2.1.2 The purpose of this stage of consultation was to re-engage the community and stakeholders since the Plan was paused in 2009. The intention was to publicise the Interim Direction Document to re-establish and confirm the key planning issues the area was now facing, given the changes the area was undergoing since the last consultation. This was extremely valuable and provided the Council with a number of very helpful suggestions that would then improve the Plan through Proposed Submission preparation.

Bodies and Persons Consulted

2.1.3 In line with the requirements of Regulation 18 of the 2012 Regulations, 'specific' and 'general' consultation bodies were consulted on the NEEAAP Interim Direction Document. Specific consultation bodies relevant to the Area Action Plan included:

- Environment Agency;
- English Heritage;
- Enfield Primary Care Trust;
- Thames Water;
- Highways Agency;
- Natural England;
- National Grid;
- Network Rail;
- NHS North London;
- Metropolitan Police Authority;
- Greater London Authority;
- London Borough of Haringey;
- North London Waste Authority;
- Hertfordshire County Council;
- Epping Forest District Council;
- Waltham Abbey Town Council;
- Essex County Council;
- Broxbourne Borough Council;
- Lee Valley Regional Park Authority;
- British Waterways London region;

2.1.4 All consultation bodies are registered on the Council's Local Plan database. General consultees include a range of organisations and individuals or 'other' consultees. Email notifications of the NEEAAP Interim Direction Document consultation were sent out, and where requested, hardcopy notification letters were sent. Approximately 1500 individuals and organisations were notified, including specific, general and other consultees, internal Council officers and councillors.

Duty to Co-operate

2.1.5 The boundary of the Area Action Plan immediately borders Broxbourne Borough Council/Hertfordshire County Council to the north and Epping Forest District Council/Essex County Council and Lee Valley Regional Park Authority to the East. The Council has worked closely with its neighbours to ensure that the strategic and cross-boundary implications of the NEEAAP have been carefully investigated and that this process has fed into the preparation of the Action Plan. The 2011 Localism Act introduced a 'duty to co-operate' which requires such a process to be formal duty in the on the planmaking authority.

2.1.6 Partner organisations that are instrumental to the delivery of the NEEAAP have also been involved throughout its preparation. The Council's partners in the preparation of the NEEAAP include Transport for London and North East Enfield Area Partnership. The North East Enfield Area Partnership has been especially instrumental, bringing together and co-ordinating local people and partner organisations to help make positive changes in the area.

How bodies and persons were consulted

2.1.7 The NEEAAP Interim Direction Document was made available online, and paper copies were available at all Council's libraries and at the Civic Centre.

2.1.8 The document was published on the Council's website (www.enfield.gov.uk/neeaap) as a pdf document.

2.1.9 Approximately 4,000 NEEAAP Summary Document Questionnaires were distributed through various consultation events held throughout the period of consultation in the North East Enfield area.

2.1.10 A banner exhibition was displayed at each of the consultation events held identifying the key issues and proposals for the area. Consultation response forms were also made available.

2.1.11 Emails and letters were sent out to approximately 1500 specific, general and other consultees informing them of the scope of the document, a link to a copy of the document online and the deadline by which comments had to be submitted. Consultees were also informed of ways of submitting comments which included postal and online methods.

2.1.12 On the 29th September 2012 The NEEP Community Lunch hosted locally at Co-op Unity Car Park, Enfield Wash Local Centre provided the widest coverage and exposure of the NEEAAP consultation and was attended by over 200 members of the community. A consultation plan of activity was prepared and this is set out in Appendix B.

2.1.13 A public notice set out in Appendix A advertising the public consultation was published in The Enfield Independent on the 22nd August 2012 and provided guidance on making comments and how and where to access the document itself.

Summary of main issues raised and how they have been addressed

2.1.14 Following a 12-Week Consultation period from the 16th August – 8th November 2012 and 26 consultation events, 96 respondents made comments to the NEEAAP Interim Direction Document. These were received via a combination of written responses via email/letter/objective online and completion of the summary document. A list of respondents is set out in Appendix C.

- 2.1.15 The 96 respondents are made of the following:
- 32 responses from organisations;
- 1 response from a Member of Parliament;
- 41 responses from residents;
- 19 responses from business;
- 1 response from a LBE Councillor; and
- 2 responses from resident organisations.

2.1.16 A summary of individual responses received and how they have been accounted for through the preparation of the proposed Submission NEEAAP is set out in Appendix D.

2.1.17 Key issues arising from 2012 public consultation include:

- Local Centres the need to improve the key local centres of Ponders End, Enfield Highway, Enfield Wash and the need to improve retail provision, public realm, identity and car parking provision;
- **Housing** the need for a variety of new housing that is affordable, in particular family homes;
- **Community Facilities** the need for community facilities to support existing residents and future housing growth in the area, doctors, dentists and community space;
- Access and Local Connectivity general support for the Northern Gateway Access Package (NGAP), in particular to public transport, improved walking and cycling routes, better way-finding signage, future of level crossings, improved stations and reducing congestion on the road network. There was endorsement and objection to the Northern Gateway Access Road part of NGAP;

- Open Space in particular improvements to existing parks in the study area; support for improved links to the Lee Valley Regional Park and Waterways, additional leisure and recreational facilities linked to the parks;
- Industrial Estates comments from businesses related to the need for better network connections, support for the Northern Gateway Access Package (NGAP), environmental improvements, and signage to improve overall identity;
- Local Economy improving the local economy and access to education and jobs for local resident; and
- Future Provision of School Places residents wanted the Bell Lane site redeveloped for a new Academy and improvement in the provision for early years across the study area.

3.1 NEEAAP Proposed Submission Consultation

3.1.1 The Area Action Plan is being formally published for a six week public consultation period between Tuesday 27th May and Monday 7th July 2014. The NEEAAP and all of its supporting documentation will be made available by visiting the Council's website at: www.enfield.gov.uk/NEEAAP or hard copies of the Plan are available to view in all of Enfield's Libraries and the Civic Centre.

3.1.2 The Council proposes to submit the Area Action Plan to the Secretary of State for independent examination under Section 20 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, along with the required supporting documents. Prior to this, in accordance with Regulation 20 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 the Council is inviting representations to be made to consider whether the Plan is legally compliant and sound. Any representations made will be submitted with the North East Enfield Area Action Plan and considered by an independent Planning Inspector.

3.1.3 A public notice will be placed in the borough-wide circulate local paper, The Enfield Independent. A press article was also placed on the Council 'Our Enfield' web addition.

3.1.4 You may make your comments online via: http://www.enfield.gov.uk/consultations or in writing and send them to:

Regeneration, Leisure and Culture Department,

Strategic Planning and Design

Civic Centre,

Silver Street,

Enfield

EN1 3XA

Tel: 020 8379 3866 Fax: 020 8379 3887 or email: localplan@enfield.gov.uk

3.1.5 A Community launch event to promote and raise awareness of this formal public consultation process and the Plan's publication is provisionally planned to be held 31st May 2014 in the local area. This had been arranged with the North East Area Partnership on the back of the successfully attended event held during the NEEAAP Interim Direction Document consultation in 2012.

3.1.6 Further meetings and events will be attended by officers to promote the NEEAAPs publication, details of these events will be made available on the Council's website: www.enfield.gov.uk/NEEAAP.

(Appendices

Appendix A: Press notice

Enfield Council The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012

Regulations 18

Notice is hereby given that Enfield Council is consulting on the following planning document

North East Enfield Area Action Plan 'Interim Direction Document – Working Towards a Submission AAP'

This document is about the future of North East Enfield which covers Enfield Lock, Enfield Highway, Ponders End, Southbury, and Turkey Street wards. The Council is preparing an Area Action Plan (AAP) which will provide a planning policy framework to guide future development and investment in this area.

The Interim Direction Document – Working Towards a Submission AAP' engagement document is to bridge the gap between the consultation document published in 2009, the changes which have occurred on the ground since 2009 and plans for the future. The document is available to view on the Council's website at **www.enfield.gov.uk/NEEAAP** Copies of the Interim Direction document can be requested form the Planning Policy Team on 020 8379 3866. Reference copies will also be available at the Civic Centre and local libraries.

Comments can be made on-line at http://consult.enfield.gov. uk/portal, sent by email to ldf@enfield.gov.uk or made in writing and sent to:

Planning Policy Team Regeneration Leisure and Culture London Borough of Enfield Civic Centre Silver Street Enfield EN1 3XE

• •

The deadline for comments is the 8thNovember 2012 www.enfield.gov.uk/NEEAAP

Environment Direct Civic Centre: Monday - Friday 8.30am - 5.00pm

Enfield Town Library is open Sundays 12pm - 4pm

	Mon	Tues	Weds	Thurs	Fri	Sat
Angel Raynham	Closed	9-1	9-4	9-5	Closed	Closed
Bowes Road	Closed	2-7	Closed	2-7	Closed	9-5
Bullsmoor	Closed	9-12 & 2-7	Closed	9-12 & 2-7	Closed	2-5
John Jackson	Closed	9-7	Closed	9-7	9-5	9-5
Edmonton Green	9-7	9-7	9-7	9-7	9-5.30	9-5
Enfield Highway	9-7	9-5	Closed	9-7	Closed	9-5
Enfield Island Village	3-7	11-5	Closed	1-7	Closed	1-5
Enfield Museum	11-4	11-4	11-4	11-4	11-4	Closed
Enfield Town	9.30-8	9.30-8	9.30-5.30	9.30-8	9.30-5.30	9.30-5.30
Fore Street	9.30-7	9.30-5	1-7	Closed	9.30-5	9.30-5.30
Local Studies	9.30-4.30	9.30-4.30	Closed	9.30-4.30	9.30-4.30	Closed
Oakwood	9-6	9-6	9-6	9-6	9-6	9-5.30
Ordnance Road	9-8	9-8	Closed	9-8	9-5.30	9-5
Palmers Green	9-8	9-8	Closed	9-8	9-5.30	9-5
Ponders End	Closed	9-7	9-7	Closed	9-5	9-5
Ridge Avenue	9-8	9-8	Closed	9-8	9-5.30	9-5
Southgate Circus	9-7	9-5	Closed	9-7	9-5.30	9-5
Winchmore Hill	Closed	9-7	9-6	9-6	Closed	9-5.30

Appendix B: Consultation Activity

Stakeholder	Methods	Date
All General Consultation Activity (residents, bu	sinesses and community organisations)	•
Formal Press Notice	Formal Press Notice to Enfield Advertiser	22.08.12
Website	Website Web pages	
Hard-copy Summary Documents	4,000 circulated through course of consultation	16.08.12 - 08.11.12
Leaflet Drop	To promote resident Drop-in events	07.10.12
Enfield Highway Area Forum	Presentation to Forum	02.10.12
Press Release	Advertising consultation and Community Lunch event	10.09.12
Town Show	Promoting consultation with residents	1 st /2 nd .09.12
On Your Door Step/Tesco – Ponders End	Promoting consultation with residents	14.09.12
On your Door Step – Enfield Town Library	Promoting consultation with residents	21.09.14
Enfield Homes Newsletter	Text provided promoting consultation	16.08.12
Our Enfield	Text provided promoting consultation	16.08.12
1.0 Residents Specific		
Tea Jive – Resident Community	1:1 with residents	16.09.12
Event		
Community Festival	1:1 with residents	15.09.12
Community Lunch Event	1:1 with residents	29.09.12
Ponders End Resident Drop-in Event	1:1 with residents	09.10.12
Ponders End Resident Drop-in Event	1:1 with residents	13.09.12
2.0 Community Groups		
FERRA Newsletter	Text provided promoting consultation	16.08.12
Enfield Voluntary Action	Text provided promoting consultation	16.08.12
Ponders End Newsletter	Text provided promoting consultation	16.08.12
3.0 Businesses		
EBRA	Meeting with Town Centre Managers	02.11.12
Business Breakfast Workshop	Presentation/Discussion	29.11.13
4.0 Strategic Groups / Partnerships		
6 Authorities Cross Border Group	Presentation/Discussion	13.09.12

Presentation /Discussion	27.09.12
Presentation/Discussion	11.10.12
Presentation/Discussion	02.12.13
Verbal Updates	On-going - quarterly
Verbal Updates	On-going - quarterly
Presentation/Updates	27.02.13
Presentation/Updates	25.11.13
Workshop	02.07.12
1:1drop-in event with students	28.09.12
Send copy of document and questionnaires and put on EVA facebook page Contact Paula Jeffrey	16.08.12
	10.10.12
	06.12.12
Board	18.04.13
Information sent to Over 50's forum for inclusion in newsletter	16.08.12
Meeting with Over 50's Executive Committee	04.10.12
Presentation to Over 50's Forum	22.11.12
	Presentation/Discussion Presentation/Discussion Verbal Updates Verbal Updates Presentation/Updates Presentation/Updates Workshop 1:1drop-in event with students Send copy of document and questionnaires and put on EVA facebook page Contact Paula Jeffrey 2 Workshops with students with subsequent presentation to the North East Enfield Partnership Board Information sent to Over 50's forum for inclusion in newsletter Meeting with Over 50's Executive Committee

Appendix C: List of respondents

File 1	Contact Name	Email Address	Organisation	Date received	Response Acknowledgement	Comments
1.	Angela Atkinson	Angela.Atkinson@marineman agement,org.uk	Marine Management Organisation	21.08.12	19.10.12 JEG	No comment
2. (i)	Gerry Ansell	gerryansell@gmail.com	Individual	29.08.12	Objective Comment I.D.1 19.10.12 + EQI JEG	Object to MOL Durant's Park/Collinwood Ave
2 (ii)	Gerry Ansell	gerryansell@gmail.com	Individual	20.10.12	Objective Comment I.D 4 12.11.12 & EQI JG	
2 (iii)	Gerry Ansell	gerryansell@gmail.com	Individual	20.10.12	Objective Comment I.D 5 12.11.12 & EQI JG	
3.	Gavin Whatmore	gavinwhatmore@talktalk.net	Individual	29.08.12	Objective Comment I.D.2 19.10.12 + EQI JEG	Object to MOL Durants Park/Collinwood Ave
4				20.09.12	n/a	No details available SD 4
5.	Jimmy. L. Omole	jimiomole@yahoo.com		27.09.12	Summary Doc Response 19.10.12 JEG	SD5
6.	Vesile Tekas	vtekas@levenes.co.uk		24.09.12	Summary Doc Response 19.10.12 JEG	SD6 Added to database

7	Abdulhaki m Mumin	n/a		24.09.12	Postal – 09.10.12 JEG	SD7 Added to database
8	Mrs S Boston	sallyboston74@hotmail.com		24.09.12	Postal – 09.10.12 JG	SD 8Added to database <i>Festival</i>
9	Mr James Haslam	jfhaslem@yahoo.co.uk		21.09.12	Objective Comment I.D.3 19.10.12 + EQI JEG	Support with conditions
10	Mrs Dorothy Pagin	unknown	resident		Postal – 09.10.12 JG	SD 10 Added to database Co-op event
11	unknown	unknown	unknown	08.10.12	n/a	SD 11
12	M Darling	unknown	resident	08.10.12	Postal – 09.10.12 JG	SD 12 Exhibition at Albany & Co-op
13	unknown	unknown	unknown	08.10.12	n/a	SD13
14	unknown	unknown	unknown	08.10.12	n/a	SD14
15	can't decipher	unknown	unknown	10.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	SD 15 Add to database
16	Malcolm Edwards	unknown	Resident	10.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	SD16Add to database
17	Mr Patel	unknown	Resident	10.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	SD 17Inform when AAP approved
18	unknown	unknown	unknown	10.10.12	n/a	SD18
19	Martin Denney	martindenney@live.co.uk	Resident	10.10.12	Email + EQI 10.10.12 JG	SD 19 Add to database - from poster in local area
20	unknown	unknown	unknown	16.10.12	n/a	SD 20

21	Ms R Lekha	Reisha.lekha@virgin.net	Resident	16.10.12	Summary Doc Response 19.10.12 JEG	SD21 add to database
22	Mrs S Pryor	Shanie.pryor10@virginmedia. com	Resident	16.10.12	Summary Doc Response 19.10.12 JEG	SD22 add to database
23	Miss R O'Hara	unknown	Resident	16.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	SD23 add to database
24	unknown	unknown	unknown	16.10.12	n/a	SD24
25	unknown	unknown	unknown	16.10.12	n/a	SD25
26	Ntalambi- Tuzolana	unknown	Resident	16.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	SD26 add to database
27	Mr E Tarling	unknown	Resident	16.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	SD27 add to database
28	G Siralusa	unknown	Resident	17.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	SD28 add to database
29	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	17.10.12	n/a	SD29
30	Mr Martin Shurras	Martin4678@live.co.uk	Resident	17.10.12	Summary Doc Response 19.10.12 JEG	SD30 add to database
31	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	17.10.12	n/a	SD31
32	Cllr Simon	Toby.simon@enfield.gov.uk	LBE Councillor	17.10.12	Verbal acknowledgement by NB 23.10.12	SD32
33	Carmelle Bell	Carmelle.bell@thameswater.c o.uk	Thames Water	19.10.12	19.10.12 JEG	
34	Paul Zivager	paulz@kardwell.co.uk		24.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SD 34

35.	Andrea Gilmour	Andrea.Gilmour@hertfordshire .gov.uk	Hertfordshire Council	25.10.12	12.11.12 JG	
36.	Kathryn Richmond	kathryn.richmond@walthamab bey-tc.gov.uk	Waltham Abbey Town Council	29.10.12	12.11.12 JG	
37 (i)	Mr P Keywood	P.keywood@burnettpd.co.uk	Burnett Planning on behalf of Universities Superannuation Scheme Ltd	24.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	Objective Comment I.D 6 Object to the description of retail park
37 (ii)	Mr P Keywood	P.keywood@burnettpd.co.uk	Burnett Planning on behalf of Universities Superannuation Scheme Ltd	24.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	Objective Comment I.D 7 Object to the Policy approach re retail parks
38	John Preston	JPreston@eppingforestdc.gov .uk	Epping Forest District Council	29.10.12	KB 12.11.12 JG	
38	Shirley Hawkins	shawkins@eppingforestdc.gov .uk	Epping Forest County Council	08.11.12	12.11.12 JG	
39	unknown	unknown	unknown	24.10.12	n/a	SD39
40	Nichola Hubbard	Nichola81@hotmail.co.uk	Resident	24.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SD 40 Add to database
41	Chris Jones	n/a	Enfield MPTC Solar Way- Local Business	26.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	Found out via local MP submitted SD Q4 & 12 0nly
42	Tony Sasaski	n/a	n/a	29.10.12	n/a	See Response No 43

43	Tony Sasaski	n/a	UK Garage Clarence Rd	29.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	
44	Rose freeman	Rose.freeman@theatrestrust. org.uk	Theatres Trust	31.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	
45	CJ Redburn	chris@redburn.co.uk	Redburn Transfer Ltd- Local Business	29.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SD Q4 &12 0nly (local MP)Cover letter inc
46	M Gascoin	n/a	Driver Standards Agency- Local Business	26.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	SD Q4&12 only inc letter from N De Bois to Eastern Enfield Business
47	T Clarke	terry@eurotechmonitoring.net	Local Business Innova Park)	29.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SD Q4&12 only via NdeB MP
48		howard@bridgeman.co.uk	Local Business PJ Bridgeman & Co Ltd	29.10.12````	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SD Q4&12 0nly via local MP
49	Mike Wild	Mike.wild@greggs.co.uk	Local Business Greggs	29.11.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SDQ4&12 only
50	Krissy Craig	Krissy.craig@wealden.net	Local Business	29.11.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SDQ4&12 only via letter from MP
51	Henry Mitchell	henry@mdsbattery.co.uk	MDS Battery Ltd	29.11.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SDQ4&12 only via leter from MP
52	Simon Hume	shume@anovo.com	Anovo UK – local business	29.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SD Q4 & !2 only
53	J Leveridge	sales@aimer.co.uk	Aimer Products Ltd – local business	29.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SD Q4 & 12 only
54	Megan Fisher	Megan.fisher@fisherresearch. com	Fisher research - Local business	29.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SD Q4 &12 only via letter from MP
55	Nigel Jeffs	Nigel.jeffs@B-and-Q.co.uk	B&Q	29.10.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SD Q4 & 12 only

56	A L.Dear	tonyd@quantrelle.com	Quantrelle Packaging- local business	29.10.12		SD Q4 &12 only via letter from MP
57	Jon Glazier	jglazier@acfarlanepackaging.c om	Macfarlane Packaging – local business	30.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	SD Q4 &12 only via letter from MP
58	Andrew Bailey	vincyman@gmail.com	Resident	05.11.12		Add to database
59	Christine Whetstone	whettre@yahoo.com	Resident	29.10.12	Postal 10.12.12	Add to database
60	Enid Walsh	Enid.Walsh@loughton- tc.gov.uk	Loughton Town Council	05.11.12	12.11.12 JG	
61	Mel Barlow- Kay	melbarlowkay@dronwright.co. uk	Dron & Wright on behalf of LFEPA	07.11.12	12.11.12 JG	
62	Zhanine Oates	Zhanine.oates@essex.gov.uk	Essex County Council	07.11.12	12.11.12 JG	
63	Odette Carter	Odette.carter@hmwt.org	Herts & Middx Wildlife Trust	07.11.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	
64	David Hammond	David.hammond@naturalengl and.org.uk	Natural England	07.11.12	12.11.12 JG	
65	Norma Green	normaleagreen@gmail.com	Waltham Abbey Partnership	08.11.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	* holding response
66	Vicky Carter	vc.planningpolicy@broxbourn e.gov.uk	Broxbourne Council	08.11.12	12.11.12 JG	
67		purchases@forever- enterprises.co.uk	Forever Enterprises – local business	08.11.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	SDQ4 & 12 only via MP
68	Sonia Holloway	n/a	Resident	08.11.12	Postal 10.12.12	
69	Paul Head	phead@conel.ac.uk	College	08.11.12	12.11.12 JG	

70		almaresidentsass@btinternet.	Alma Residents	08.11.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	
		com	Association			
71	Claire McClean	Claire.mclean@canalrivertrust .org.uk	British Waterways	08.11.12	12.11.12 & EQI JG	+ appendix (map)
72	Nick Johnson	Nick.johnson@ebra.org.uk	EBRA	13.11.12	IM	
73	Chris Bearton	Chris,bearton@hertfordshire.g ov.uk	Hertfordshire County Council	08.11.12	12.11.12 JG	
74	Nick Bishop	Nick.bishop@english- heritage.org.uk	English Heritage	08.11.12	12.11.12 JG	
75	Tricia Moxley	Tricia_moxley@yahoo.co.uk or office@cpressex.org.uk	Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE)	08.11.12	06.12.12	
76	Martin Stroud	mstroud@pcclq.co.uk	Norfolk Truck & Van Hire	12.08.12	06.12.12	SD 76 Q4 & 12 only via MP
77	Dr C Jephcott	Hoehle39@gmail.com	The Enfield Society	12.08.12	06.12.12	
78	Claire Martin	cmartin@leevalleypark.org.uk	LVRPA	13.11.12	06.12.12	
79	M J Crosk	John.crosk@tradeteam.com	Local business	15.11.12	06.12.12	SD Q4 &12 only
80	Scott Coleman	northlondon@totally- dynamic.co.uk	Local business	15.11.12	06.12.12	SD Q4 &12 only
81	Tim Childs	Tim.childs@rimexmetals.com	Local Business	15.11.12	06.12.12	SD Q4 &12 only
82	Peter Chapman		CACEY Internal	03.11.12	IM	
83	Samantha Wells	Samantha.Wells@london.gov. uk	GLA	20.11.12	20.11.12 JG	
84	Bernard Rees	roomhirepecdt@btconnect.co m	Ponders End Community Development Trust	21.11.12	22.11.12 JG	

85	John Sturman	n/a	Resident/Individual	15.11.12	Postal 10.12.12	
86	Robert Taylor	Roberttaylor1024@btinternet.c	FERAA	26.11.12	27.11.12 JG	
87	unknown	unknown	unknown	26.11.12	n/a	
88	Barbara Herridge	Barbara.herridge@NLWA.gov. uk	NLWA	27.11.12	28.11.12	Officer response/ changes or additions to be received after 06.12.12
89	T Mylius	tristram@hitcjmylius.co.uk	Hitch Mylius Local Business	23.11.12	28.11.12	SD Q4&12
90	Patrick Blake	Patrick.blake@hoghways.gsi.g ov.uk	Highways Agency	04.12.12	IM	
91	Bob Ayton		Internal	20.08.12	IM	
92	Peter George		Internal	16.08.12	IM	
93	Daisy Johnson		Internal- Neighbourhood Regen	16.08.12	IM	
94			Internal - Property Services	30.11.12	IM	
95	unknown	unknown	unknown	05.12.12	n/a	Summary Doc
96	Christine Tyrrell	hallidaychristine@hotmail.com	Resident/Individual	05.12.12	06.12.12	Summary Doc

Appendix D: Summary of Consultation Responses North East Enfield Area Action Plan - Interim Direction Document Stage – August 2012 - November 2012

Table A

Ref	Consultee	Main issues raised	Council's response/ How issues have bee
1A	Marine Management Organisation	- Have no comments to submit as the area does not include sea or a tidal river	- N/A
2A	Resident Objective	- Extend Metropolitan Open Land to include the area adjacent to the rear gardens of properties of the east side of Colinwood Avenue	 Adjustments to the boundary of Metro only be addressed through the Core AAP can do.
2B		- The Kingfisher Hall Primary School proposed on Open Metropolitan Land, is inappropriate development in given the context and would be harmful to nearby residential properties causing traffic and congestion	- Noted. The AAP recognises that educe the future. Policy 7.1 Community Fac continue to monitor the need for com
2C		 Various sites suggested for a primary school in Ponders End including, Ripmax Corner, Green Street/Enstone Road, Middlesex University, Queensway Alexandra Road Industrial Estate, Alma Road Industrial Estate, car park at Glyn Road and car park at Nags Head Road/High Street 	- Noted
3A	Resident	- Consider extending the Metropolitan Open Land to include the area next to the back gardens of properties on the east side of Colinwood Avenue	 Adjustments to the boundary of Metro only be addressed through the Core S AAP can do.
4A	Resident Summary Document	 More multiple retailer shops in Ponders End Local Centre Durants Park, Enfield Highway could accommodated a coffee shop Help for local business Local centres should be improved as they provide local employment 	 Improvements to Local Centres are a out in a range of policies within Part C
5A	Resident Summary Document	Development needs should be should be considered against population increase	 The need for new development, incluinformed by various 'evidence base' planning policy documents, including Development Management Docume evidence to support policies that in tustrategy and DMD. An updated socio-economic assessmic community facilities as a result of increation to community facilities is incluing policy 7.1 Community Facilities sets ou monitor the need for community facilities and policy facilities sets ou monitor the need for community facilities and policy facilities and policy facilities and policy facilities and policy facilities sets output to the need for community facilities and policy facilities and pol

een taken into account

etropolitan Open Land is an issue that can e Strategy, it is not something that the

lucation facilities may need to expand in acilities sets out that the Council will ommunity facilities.

etropolitan Open Land is an issue that can e Strategy, it is not something that the

a key part of the AAP, and these are set t C of the Proposed Submission Draft.

cluding housing and employment, is e' studies that support the Council's ng the Core Strategy and the emerging nent (DMD). The AAP has used this turn reflect higher-level policy in the Core

sment has considered increased needs for creasing population, and a policy in cluded in the AAP. out that the Council will continue to cilities.

6A	Resident Summary Document	- Supports the development of new housing and improving the local centres	- Welcomed
7A	Resident Summary Document	 More community facilities in Ponders End including a health centre There is potential for improvements along Southbury Road and South Street More shops in Ponders End High Street 	- An updated socio-economic assessm community facilities as a result of increase relation to community facilities is inclu
8A 9A	Resident Summary Document Resident Objective	 Encourage multiple retailers to Ponders End High Street Unsure about re-locating the parade of shops on South Street towards the Ponders End Station but options should be explored through the masterplan Improve the pedestrian access along Meridian Way 	 The Council has commissioned a deta completed in mid 2014. In the meant improving local centres and a range of A planning application for the redever expected to be submitted in 2014. The the Alma Estate, and this sets out that should be located close to Ponders Er The AAP includes policies for improvin B. A key east-west route is proposed i would include improvements to the ju Avenue and Meridian Way. It does not improving pedestrian access along M general policies for improving the peo- to Meridian Way could come forward
10A	Resident Summary Document	 Agrees with the revised study area boundary There is a need for community facilities Open spaces in the area should be improved Supports the approach taken with key local centres New employment land should be measured against need New social housing is required 	 An updated socio-economic assessm community facilities as a result of incre- relation to community facilities is inclu The AAP includes Policy 8.1 which see The AAP does not allocate new land f policy (in the Core Strategy and the Le existing employment land. The AAP identifies where new housing 5.1 in relation to affordable housing the and Core Strategy.
11A	Resident Summary Document	- Supports the councils approach to regeneration of the area	- Welcomed
12A	Resident Summary Document	 There is good library provision in the area and these should be retained Very please with the improvements made to Albany Leisure Centre Thee is a need for new housing and health centre Local centres in the area should have less takeaways and more retail Generally supportive of the Councils plans for the area 	 The AAP does not propose any change The AAP identifies where new housing The AAP requires the provision of a neredevelopment of the Alma Estate in I The AAP includes policies to support L does not include specific policies to lir Submission Development Management to takeaways.

ment has considered increased needs for reasing population, and a Policy 7.1 in uded in the AAP.

tailed Retail Study that is due to be ntime, the AAP has a strong focus on e of policies are included in Part C. velopment of the Alma Estate is The AAP includes Policy 11.2 in relation to at non-residential uses (including retail) End Station.

ing pedestrian and cycle access in Part d in Policy 4.8 along Lea Valley Road, and junction of Lea Valley Road, Mollison not include a specific policy for Mollison Avenue. However, there are edestrian environment and improvements rd.

ment has considered increased needs for reasing population, and Policy 7.1 in uded in the AAP.

eeks to improve open spaces within area. If for employment. It reflects higher level London Plan) by continuing to protect

ng will come forward, and includes Policy that reflects those set out in the DMD

nges to library provision ng will come forward new health centre as part of the n Policy 11.2.

Local Centres in Part C. However, it

limit takeaways. Policy 32 in the

ent Document sets out policy in relation

13A	Resident Summary Document	 Supports the approach taken with key local centres Agrees with the improvements made to Ponders End Park and more should be done else where in the area Need for traditional retail and a variety of outlets More should be done to improve access for the elderly 	 Noted. The AAP includes policies to in Noted. The AAP includes policies (ran detailed policies for specific locations environment for everyone.
14A	Resident Summary Document	 Agrees with the Council approach to energy and more should be done to embrace new energy technologies as part of this plan Residents in the area should be encouraged to take up allotments New university in Enfield Moorefield Road Health Centre should be re-developed Public ream in the key local centres should be improved Improvements should be made to existing recreational areas 	 The AAP includes specific Policy 9.1 re The AAP includes Policy 8.1 which sets provision of new allotments. The loss of Middlesex University from the is unlikely that the Council will be able The AAP does not include plans to re-Centre. However, the absence of a pre-development in the future. The AAP includes specific policies to in Centres in Part C. The AAP includes Policy 8.1 for improve recreational areas).
15A	Resident Summary Document	 Supports the Councils approach to regeneration of the area There is a need to improve the provision of health centres in the area More should be done to embrace new technologies with energy generation The NGAP is required and will support the industrial estates More family housing is required Improvement to the key local centres in the area with better retail provision 	 A new health centre is required in Politithe Alma Estate The AAP includes Policy 9.1 relating to The AAP explains the importance of N to it. Ongoing work into its feasibility is partnership with TfL The AAP includes Policies 5.1 and 5.2 if the importance of family housing in the The AAP includes policies to support of the the policies for the support of the the policies for the support of the the policies for the support of the policies for support of the policies for support of the policies for the policies for support of the policies
16A	Resident Summary Document	- Supports the councils approach to regeneration of the area	- Welcomed
17A	Resident Summary Document	 Generally supportive of the Councils plan for regeneration of the area Ponders End is the key development area and more should be done now to bring about change Ponders End High Street could accommodate multiple retailers Improvements should be made to community facilities 	 Noted The AAP includes policies specific to t Plan, including Ponders End High Stree Area, the Alma Estate and Ponders Er The AAP identifies opportunities for im Policies 14.1 and 13.1.
18A	Resident Summary Document	 Generally supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area The NGAP must come forward to improve access to the industrial estates in the area Improvements should be explored for the Queensway Industrial Estate 	 Welcomed and noted The AAP explains the importance of N to it. Ongoing work into its feasibility is partnership with TfL The Queensway Industrial Estate is ide End Central and to Policy 6.1 which set

improve the Local Centres in Part C.

ranging from the overarching Policy 4.2 to ons in Part C) to improve the pedestrian

relating to renewable energy ets out requirements relating to the

the area has had a significant impact. It ble to attract a new university to the area. re-develop the Moorefield Road Health a policy would not prevent appropriate

improve the public realm in the Local

oving existing open spaces (including

olicy 11.2 as part of the redevelopment of

to sustainable energy. f NGAP and includes Policy 4.3 in relation y is being undertaken by the Council in

2 in relation to housing, and emphasises the NEE area

and improve Local Centres in Part C.

o the Ponders End area in Part C of the reet, Ponders End Central, the South Street End Station.

improving existing community facilities in

NGAP and includes Policy 4.3 in relation is being undertaken by the Council in

dentified in Policy 10.2 relating to Ponders seeks to improve industrial estates.

19A	Resident Summary Document	 Support the need for new housing Access improvements to parks in the area for disabled people Improvements to public transport Improve access to jobs and training 	 Noted Improvements to parks are identified Whilst these do not explicitly include of people, this is something that would n The AAP also includes policies relating these aim to improve accessibility for Chapter 4, Movement includes Policies improving buses and Policy 4.4 in relat Mainline. Chapter 4, Movement includes policies areas and to locations such as Local and cycling, so helping to improve ph AAP notes that the Council has ongoin relation to education and training, but included in the AAP as its focus is on particular.
20A	Resident Summary	- Generally supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area	- Welcomed
21A	Document Resident Summary Document	 Generally supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area The is a need for the NGAP to improve the local road network in the area and to ease congestion More shopping facilities and retail parks along Mollison Avenue to support the communities of Brimsdown, Enfield lock and Enfield Island Village 	 Noted, welcomed The AAP explains the importance of N to it. Ongoing work into its feasibility is partnership with TfL. Retail remains focussed in the areas of However, the AAP includes a policy repotential of the area around Brimsdov employment-led development as a 's Area, there is the potential for ancillating ground floor.
		 Improvements to public transport to connect the area to neighbouring places 	- Chapter 4, Movement includes Policie improving buses and Policy 4.4 in rela Mainline.
22A	Resident Summary Document	 Improvements to car parks and more needs to be done to make the area pedestrian friendly Better public transport connections New housing to accommodate population growth 	 The AAP includes policies to make the from the overarching Policy 4.2 to dee Part C). It does not include specific p does set out policy in relation to the C improving the frontage to the road a Chapter 4, Movement includes Policies improving buses and Policy 4.4 in relation Mainline. The AAP identifies locations for new here.
23A	Resident Summary Document	 Generally supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area Need to plan for future school places Need for cleaner and cheaper energy sources should be explored Need to improve public transport 	 Welcomed The Council has ongoing plans for me These are reflected in Policy 7.1 Improving public transport is a key air

d in Policy 8.1 relating to Green Network. e access improvements for disabled d normally be considered where possible. ng to the pedestrian and cycle network – or everyone, including disabled people. cies 4.11, 4.12, and 4.13 in relation to lation to train services on the West Anglia

cies to improve access to employment al Centres by public transport, walking physical access to jobs and training. The going commitments and initiatives in but policy in relation to these is not a planning policies that relate to land use.

NGAP and includes Policy 4.3 in relation is being undertaken by the Council in

s already defined by the Council. relating to the long-term development lown Station. Whilst this would be a 'gateway' to the Brimsdown Industrial lary mixed-uses such as retail at the

cies 4.11, 4.12, and 4.13 in relation to lation to train services on the West Anglia

he area more pedestrian friendly (ranging letailed policies for specific locations in policies to improve car parks, although it coop site in Enfield Wash which includes and incorporating car parking. cies 4.11, 4.12, and 4.13 in relation to lation to train services on the West Anglia

housing.

monitoring and providing school places.

aim of the AAP. Chapter 4, Movement

				includes Policies 4.11, 4.12, and 4.13 i
				4.4 in relation to train services on the
		- Improving retail provision in the area	-	The AAP includes policies supporting
24A	Resident	- Need for community facilities such as health centres and day care facilities	-	An updated socio-economic assessn
	Summary	for children		community facilities as a result of incr
	Document	 Supports the need for cheaper energy Need to plan for future school places 		relation to community facilities is inclu Improvements to parks and other op
		- Improve existing open space	-	relating to Green Network.
		 Agrees with improving the local economy and access to Jobs 	-	Welcomed
25A	Resident	- Generally supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area		
	Summary			
	Document			
26A	Resident	- Generally supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area	-	Welcomed
	Summary			
	Document			
27A	Resident	- Generally supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area	-	Welcomed
	Summary			
	Document		<u> </u>	
28A	Resident	- Generally supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area	-	Comments welcomed and noted
	Summary	- Supports new housing development in Ponders End	-	An updated socio-economic assessm
	Document	- Need for better leisure facilities		community facilities (including leisure
				and Policy 7.1 in relation to communi
29A	Resident	- Agrees with the need to improve the local centres and shopping parades	+_	Welcomed
	Summary	- Supports the approach taken with the industrial estates		
	Document	- Agrees with approach to improving access and connections in the area		
30A	Resident	- Supports the approach to energy	+_	Comments welcomed and noted
30 A	Summary	 New homes need to be delivered alongside community facilities and open 		An updated socio-economic assessn
	Document	space		community facilities as a result of incr
		- Supports the Alma Estate renewal and plans for Academy street		relation to community facilities is inclu
		- Agrees with improving the industrial estates and boosting the local		
		economy with jobs		
		 Supports the improvement of the key local centres 		
		- Supports residential development on the former Middlesex University site		
		- Agrees with the approach taken with NGAP		
31A	Resident	 Generally supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area 	-	Comments welcomed and noted
	Summary	- Supports the approach taken with NGAP		
	Document	- Supportive of sensitive housing development		

3 in relation to improving buses and Policy ne West Anglia Mainline.

ng the Local Centres in Part C.

isment has considered increased needs for increasing population, and Policy 7.1 in cluded in the AAP. open spaces are identified in Policy 8.1

isment has considered increased needs for ire) as a result of increasing population, unity facilities is included in the AAP.

sment has considered increased needs for acreasing population, and Policy 7.1 in cluded in the AAP.

32A	Councillor Summary Document	 Supportive of the Councils regeneration plans for the area Supports the Need for NGAP to ease traffic congestion Agrees with approach taken towards local centres and shopping parades Supports mix-use development in the identified local centres with residential development over ground floor retail uses The need for community facilities should be explored alongside housing development Important to improve the local economy to provide jobs and training Improving access to public transport 	 Comments welcomed and noted An updated socio-economic assessme community facilities as a result of incre- relation to community facilities is include. An overall aim of the AAP is to improve informed many of the policies. Improving public transport is a key aim includes Policies 4.11, 4.12, and 4.13 in 4.4 in relation to train services on the W
		- Exploring the future crossing points alongside the 3/4 rail tracking project	 The AAP has been supported by a stud be required as a result of the West Ang (also referred to as 3/4 tracking). The detailed Planning Briefs are required to
		 Work with land owners such as Enfield Homes to explore potential development opportunities with their land holdings in the AAP area 	- Noted
33A	Thames Water	 Figure 17 identifies potential access around King Georges Reservoir. The King Georges Reservoir is one of our Lee Valley raw water storage reservoirs which is used for public water supply In operating, managing and investing in our assets we have to consider what is in the best interest of our customers. This includes considering opportunities for recreation and education. We consider there is potential for greater public access along the reservoir but this will need to consider our operational requirements. Access along the top (crest) of the embankment would not be acceptable due to health and safety requirements Like the William Girling Reservoir, King George's Reservoir is designated a SSSI for its wildfowl interest and any future public access or recreational uses would also have to be compatible with this designation 	 in-line with the Park's development fra The AAP includes broad policies to impletive the their homes and the LVRP, we protect the biodiversity of the area. It projects, and these would be develop
34A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business within the area 	 Noted, Welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP.
35A	Hertfordshire Council Property and Technology	HCC are aware that children in Enfield attend primary school in Hertfordshire, and vice versa. This dynamic should be considered in the context of both Enfield's long-term housing strategy and its school place planning. The development of NE Enfield needs to ensure that sufficient school places are	 Noted, The LBE Education department has be AAP. Policy 7.1 included monitoring of the

ment has considered increased needs for reasing population, and Policy 7.1 in uded in the AAP. we the local economy, and this has

im of the AAP. Chapter 4, Movement in relation to improving buses and Policy West Anglia Mainline

udy on future crossing points that may nglia Mainline Enhancement Project e AAP identifies where further, more to inform and support crossing points.

A to develop the next stage of the plan framework

mprove connections for residents whilst also recognising the need to It sets the scene for more detailed oped in close liaison

ig out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning at cannot be implemented immediately.

been involved in the production of the the situation, and action in response.

37ABurnett Pla Developm Limited or Universitie Superant Scheme L Business37B38A38AEpping Fo County Ca39AResident Summary Document	40 4	Decident	Constally agreed with the approach to regeneration of the great	1	Notod wolcomod
37ABurnett Pla Developm Limited or Universitie Superanni Scheme L Business37B38AEpping Fo		Summary Document	- Supports the Councils approach to regeneration of the area	-	Welcomed
37A Burnett Pla Developm Limited or Universitie Superann Scheme L Business	38A	Epping Forrest County Council	 Objects to NAGAP for reasons set out at the previous Public Inquiry in 2002 Objects to the resurrection of the NGAP when the project requires two authority areas to bring the project forward; The Council is not satisfied with the explanation of the scheme and views it will be expensive on the public purse 		Noted LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. Close liaison with neighbou the feasibility, and in any subsequent included in the AAP.
37A Burnett Pla Developm Limited or Universitie Superann Scheme L	37B		- Ensure the policy approach for the retail parks reflects the NPPF	-	Noted
Town Cou	37A	Burnett Planning & Development Limited on Behalf of Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited Business	- Re-word the description of Enfield Retail Park. Sainsbury's is not part of the Retail Park and is in separate ownership	-	Noted
		Waltham Abbey Town Council	 provided in tandem with the additional housing to prevent further pressure being placed on existing schools where there are already capacity issue Applaud Enfield Council's efforts to improve the area for its residents and visitors, but with the inclusion of NGAP cannot support the document Strongly objects to NAGAP for reasons set out at the previous Public Inquiry in 2002 Objects in the NGAP has been brought forward prior to any discussions with bordering authorities Not satisfied with the explanation of the scheme and residents and businesses in Waltham Abbey have not been made aware of NGAP Considers that the process to achieve NGAP will be costly to the Public Purse NGAP would bring congestion to residents in Waltham Abbey giving rise to serious concerns over road safety 	-	Noted LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Asso approval. Close liaison with neighbou the feasibility, and in any subsequent included in the AAP.

	,			
	Document			
40A	Resident	- Generally agrees with the approach to regeneration of the area	-	Noted, welcomed
	Summary	- Agrees with the approach to energy		
	Document	- Supports the need to deliver more community facilities		
		- Agrees with the approach taken with Ponders End and need for housing and improved retail		
41A	Business	- Supports the Councils approach to the local economy	-	Welcomed
		- States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion	-	LBE and Transport for London carrying
		in the area is having a negative impact on business within the area		explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro
				such as an Environmental Impact Asse

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning pouring authorities is required in exploring nt planning application. Policy 4.3 is

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning ouring authorities is required in exploring nt planning application. Policy 4.3 is

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work assessment and is subject to planning

				approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
42A	Resident	- Supports the Councils approach to regeneration of the area	-	Welcomed
43A	Business	- Supports the Councils approach to regeneration of the area	-	Welcomed
44A	Theatres Trust	 Minor amendments to diagram Supports the Councils approach to regeneration of the area 	-	Noted, welcomed
45A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy Essential for NGAP to come forward to help the business in the area 	-	Welcomed, noted LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP.
46A	Response from Member of Parliament	 The MP strongly supports NGAP and encourages the Council to move forward now with the project rather than a position of continued consideration The MP has written to a number of businesses in Enfield encouraging support for NGAP. Subsequently there have been a number of responses just in support of NGAP 	-	Welcomed, noted LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
47A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
48A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
49A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP

nat cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning nat cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning nat cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning hat cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning pat cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning nat cannot be implemented immediately.

50A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
51A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
52A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the are 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
53A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
54A	Business	- States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
55A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
56A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning at cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning hat cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning at cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning at cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning at cannot be implemented immediately.

ig out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning at cannot be implemented immediately.

ig out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work assessment and is subject to planning at cannot be implemented immediately.

57A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
58A	Resident	 Supports the approach to energy More details required for NGAP Employers should provide a percentage of local jobs for residents in the area Supports the approach to rail as more residents are commuting outside the area for work Transport infrastructure is the key requirement the area 	-	Welcome, noted LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro- such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP LBE is working closely with local emplo jobs. However, as the focus of the AA policy in relation to local jobs is not inc
59A	Resident	 Need for community space with car parking Supports the approach to energy Agrees with the regeneration plans for Ponders End High Street and the redevelopment of available sites Agrees retail provision and improvements to public realm need to be explored Agrees the connections to the Lee Valley Regional Park should be improved Supports a need for different housing typologies Supports the approach to the local economy 	-	Policy 7.1 identifies the potential for a high level policy it does not include de Other Council policies require facilities located where they are highly access (e.g. in a local centre) and so access proposals.
60A	Loughton Town Council	 Support Epping and Waltham Abbey Council responses to NGAP The Council objects to NGAP as it would increase traffic, be detrimental to the environment, adversely affect wildlife and be congested if there were problems to the M25 	-	Noted LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. Close liaison with neighbou the feasibility, and in any subsequent included in the AAP.
61A	Don and Wright Property Consultants on behalf of London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority	 Notes that with population increases there will be pressure placed on fire fighting facilities The authority highlights that fire fighting facilities are important community facilities and would look to the Council to provide \$106 monies to upgrade facilities 	-	Noted \$106 contributions are not an issue tha
62A	Essex County Council	 The County Council objects to the NEEAAP on the grounds of NGAP Concerned with the impact that NGAP will have on the Green Belt Effect of the proposed link road on traffic generation and congestion Impact of other public transportation and traffic control measures that would be part of NGAP may rule out the need for a link road Enfield Council should consider other reasonable alternative options Request meaningful discussion on NGAP in accordance with the Duty to 		Noted LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. Close liaison with neighbou the feasibility, and in any subsequent p included in the AAP.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning hat cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning nat cannot be implemented immediately.

Novers to help support local people into AP is on policies relating to land use, a ncluded.

a new community space. However, as a detail in relation to car parking provision. ies such as a community space to be essible by a range of modes of transport ess will be carefully considered in any

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning ouring authorities is required in exploring nt planning application. Policy 4.3 is

nat the AAP addresses

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning ouring authorities is required in exploring nt planning application. Policy 4.3 is

			Cooperate		
6	53A	Herts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust	 Welcomes the approach to leisure, recreation, health and wellbeing Plan needs to be more vocal on benefits of nature conservation Links to the LVRP Development Framework are welcomed The vision is generally supported but requires a more environmental focus Welcome the green routes highlighted on plan but more detail is required The plan should not only consider the facilities that are needed or desired around natural open spaces but also the functions and services provided natural/semi natural habitats 	-	Welcomed, noted Policies 8.1 (Enhancing existing open together) highlight nature conservatio A Habitats Regulations Assessment ho this has helped refine some of the pol conservation assets are minimised an
6	54A	Natural England	 Welcomes references to the LVRP Development Framework Welcomes reference to improve and enhance green environs and natural assets Welcomes reference to the ecological value of the area being protected and enhanced The Council should include green infrastructure wherever possible 	-	Welcomed, noted Chapter 8 includes reference to gree (Enhancing existing open space) and
6	55A	Waltham Abbey Town Partnership	 Strong objection to NGAP and support representation made by neighbouring authorities NGAP would cause considerable traffic congestion and have a detrimental impact on Waltham Abbey -Detrimental impacts to the LVRP and Rammey Marsh 	-	Noted LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Asso approval. Close liaison with neighbou the feasibility, and in any subsequent included in the AAP.
6	56A	Broxbourne Borough Council	 Broxbourne Council welcomes joint working with Enfield Council on the provision of transport infrastructure and where there may be cumulative impacts of future development on the road network The Council supports reference to improvements to public transport Reference to improving connections to the LVRP are welcomed but more recognition of movement to the Lee Valley White Water Canoe Centre in Waltham Cross is required The Council agrees with proposals to improve the public realm and are happy to work with Enfield on joint projects such as the future improvements to the Holmesdale Tunnel The Council would like to be kept informed of the future of housing redevelopment in the study area and specific housing numbers that are to be allocated 		Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. Close liaison with neighbou the feasibility, and in any subsequent included in the AAP.
6	57A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Asso

n space) and 8.3 (Joining green spaces ition issues has been undertaken on the AAP, and policies to ensure that impacts on nature and mitigated.

een infrastructure along with Policies 8.1 nd 8.3 (Joining green spaces together)

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work assessment and is subject to planning pouring authorities is required in exploring nt planning application. Policy 4.3 is

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning pouring authorities is required in exploring nt planning application. Policy 4.3 is

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning

				approval. It is therefore a project tha Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
68A	Resident	 Generally agrees with the approach to regeneration of the area Agrees with the approach to energy Supports the need to deliver more community facilities Agrees with the approach taken with Ponders End and need for housing and improved retail Agrees with the approach taken with the industrial estates 	-	Noted, welcomed
69A	The College of Haringey, Enfield and North East London	 Generally supportive of the regeneration plans for the area One of the key challenges is the current low skills base of the adult population and we think this should be recognised in the vision but in a positive way There is a need for larger scale useable and affordable community space in Ponders End Support the council's approach to the local economy 	_	Noted, recognised in Part A
70A	Alma Residents Association	 The ARA note that it was agreed - because the Council removed the multi- use-games-area (MUGA), and installed a temporary one which would last between two to three years. Academy Street was identified as an area where the MUGA could be reinstated to its original size Support the overall approach to regeneration of the Alma Estate, but would like community services to be developed for residents on Academy Street Strongly oppose Academy Street being developed for housing 	-	Policy 11.3 The South Street Area note identified community facilities in this lo MUGA. Academy Street (now known as Duja consent and construction is due to sto
71A	British Waterways	 The organisation are keen that the AAP recognises the benefit and opportunities of the waterways as part of the borough's essential infrastructure particularly for sustainable transport, healthy lifestyles, carbon reduction, biodiversity enhancement, education, Tourism and placemaking With regards to Columbia Wharf, clarification through the next draft is sought to what is intended to be developed for the site Do not support lighting along waterways as this can adversely affect ecology Support reference to residential moorings and would encourage more provision 	-	Opportunities for waterways specifica Waterfront No lighting proposed along waterway More detailed proposed on Ponders B Wharf forms a part) in Policy 12.1
72A	Enfield Business and Retailers Association (EBRA)	 Support the described boundary with it's three core local shopping parades as well as the retail park areas, this includes existing industrial & business park zones Note the potential for expanding retail growth and reducing unemployment within the new boundary parameters is something that must be explored to improve socio-economic growth in the area 		Welcomed, noted, supporting eviden future provision for retail, community f
		 Note it is good to strengthen the areas as a whole, but individual local centres should still be encouraged to build on their own identities, especially by using retail areas, particularly those that provide specialist or niche services as local focus points. This would help to keep local retail 	-	Building on local identity is an importe set out in Policies 10.1, 13.1 and 14.1.

nat cannot be implemented immediately.

otes that any future redevelopment of the s location is required to re-provide the

jardin Mews) has been granted planning start in early 2014.

cally identified in Policy 12.1 Ponders End

vays in the AAP rs End Waterfront (of which Columbia

ence to be prepared evaluating the y facilities and access improvements

rtant principle within the AAP, and this is 1.

		 offers in keeping with local community needs Support the focus on the local economy, but additional attention should be paid to the threat from other retail centres such as Waltham Cross & Cheshunt which attract customers away from Enfield shopping centres Support the key themes in their entirety and think that a holistic approach to the composition of town centre areas would create an ideal symbiosis between community and stakeholders that can only increase the appeal 	-	The focus on local identity aims to hel Centres that contrasts with larger cen try to compete directly with them.
		 of the local retail offer Note where new developments are being built, consideration should be given to needs of larger corporate multiples and their particular needs. Although bespoke solutions cannot always be applied, larger retailers will always be attracted to an area that will take care of most of their needs. If increased floorspace is a requirement or necessity, amalgamation of existing units could solve space issues if existing singular units fail to satisfy the needs of a particular business Support the proposals for Ponders End as the proposed ideas will help establish a strong identity for the area, and help improve the prosperity for local traders. This should help to establish Ponders End as a destination, where the improved public realm encourages confidence throughout the 	_	Opportunities for development of larg However Policy 14.2 identifies a specif redevelopment in Enfield Wash at the
		 Support the plans to improve Enfield Highway, Enfield Wash and other town centres. If funding is available to improve the look of these particular areas, a focus should be on street branding including signage, design, paving, street furniture and parking should be highlighted as this will help to improve street aesthetics in the most positive way Note that as well as improvements to the bus routes, consideration should be given to rail crossings using either bridge or tunnel construction for improved pedestrian and vehicular movement 	-	Specific policies to improve the local Policies 10.1, 13.1 and 14.
73A	Hertfordshire County Council Planning Unit	 Inploved pedesinal and vehicular movement It is noted that North East Enfield borders Hertfordshire and as such HCC are aware that children in Enfield attend primary school in Hertfordshire, and vice versa The Primary schools in Waltham Cross are at capacity and there is significant pressure for additional places This dynamic should be considered in the context of both Enfield's long term housing strategy an its school places planning to prevent further pressures on school capacity The County Council is awaiting the outcome of the recently commissioned Transport Modelling work to determine the scale of impact on the road network. Any additional traffic generation identified will need to be considered alongside development proposals and the potential benefits and impacts of NGAP 		Policy 7.1, Community Facilities, sets of facilities. Need for future school place department LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. Close liaison with neighbout the feasibility, and in any subsequent included in the AAP.
74A	English Heritage	 Note the AAP could go much further in identifying heritage assets such as the Grade II listed Broadbent building, former Middlesex University site within the study area and discuss the historic environment as a component of local character The document should provide a stronger steer on the need to enhance the historic environment identifying landmarks that could be of value A clear policy approach to support conservation of relevant heritage 	-	The AAP has identified areas of herita designated as Conservation Areas but the potential to be) 'special' and incl key parts of the Local Centres along H 14.1

nelp build a unique offer within the Local entres such as Waltham Cross rather than

arger units within the local centres. cific opportunity for retail-led he Co-operative Store site.

al centres are set out within the AAP in

s out the need to monitor community aces to be informed by LBE Education

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work assessment and is subject to planning pouring authorities is required in exploring nt planning application. Policy 4.3 is

tage importance, not only those but also other locations that are (or have ncluded specific policies. For example, g Hertford Road in policies 10.1, 13.1 and

		assets the contribute towards local character	
75A	Campaign to Protect Rural England	 Strong objection to NGAP and support representation made by neighbouring authorities NGAP would cause considerable traffic congestion, air pollution and a detriment to nature conservation 	- LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Ass approval. Close liaison with neighbor the feasibility, and in any subsequent included in the AAP.

76A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pro- such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. It is therefore a project that Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP.
77A	The Enfield Society	 Supports the approach to the local economy Supports the approach taken with NGAP Support 3/4 tracking project Need for well designed affordable housing Supports the approach taken with local centres and shopping parades 	-	Welcomed, noted Policy 5.1 sets out requirements for aff refers to higher level policies in the Co require high quality design.
78A	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	 The Authority supports the draft Vision and the objectives that seek to co-ordinate the growth of sustainable neighbourhoods maintain and enhance the network of linked open green space and which recognise the value of the Regional Park and its natural assets. The Authority welcomes the inclusion of the Regional Park boundary on the 'Key Diagram' Figure 13 The Authority has concern that Objective 5 'Infrastructure Investment' and the Key Diagram include reference to NGAP. The Authority does not support this element of the AAP document and objects to its inclusion in the APP, insufficient detail is provided The Authority's draft Area 5 Proposals and a small section from Area 4 are therefore relevant to the study area and should be included within the Area Action Plan The Authority supports the reference to the PDF Area Proposals in relation to Enfield Island Village and the need to improve walking and cycling connections between this area and the surrounding Park as well as other local centres The Authority wishes to be informed of the outcome of the various studies concerning the NGAP proposal 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pre- such as an Environmental Impact Asse approval. Close liaison with neighbout the feasibility, and in any subsequent included in the AAP. The AAP has had regard to the draft A
79A	Business	 Supports the approach to the local economy Supports the approach taken with NGAP 	-	Noted, welcomed

ing out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work Assessment and is subject to planning pouring authorities is required in exploring ent planning application. Policy 4.3 is

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning nat cannot be implemented immediately.

affordable housing, and the chapter Core Strategy and emerging DMD that

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work assessment and is subject to planning pouring authorities is required in exploring nt planning application. Policy 4.3 is

t Area 5 and Area 4 proposals

80A	Business	 Supports the approach to the local economy The approach taken with NGAP should be immediate 	-	Noted, welcomed. LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Ass approval. It is therefore a project tha Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP.
81A	Business	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Ass approval. It is therefore a project tha Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP.
82A	Community Access, Childcare, and Early Years (CACY)	 Would like reference made to the 2011 Childcare Sufficiency document Placing of disadvantage two year olds will become a statutory requirement by September 2013 There is a substantial shortfall in early years provision in the study area Data can be provided at the next stage of AAP preparation 	-	Noted. The socio-economic chapter out the latest position on early year's supporting text to Policy 7.1.
83A	Great London Authority (GLA)	 GLA welcomes this document in terms of bridging the gap from previous iterations dating back to 2009, noting the adoption of the a new London Plan, Enfield Core Strategy and progress on the draft OAPF and the document is in general conformity with the London Plan The approach to industrial estates is reflective of the vision and objectives of the draft OAPF and is in accordance with strategic guidance The approach to the Lee Valley Regional Park and Waterways is reflective of development principles set out in the draft Opportunity Area Planning Framework (OAPF) The Council's pre-application discussions with the GLA regarding the residential led mixed-use development at the former Middlesex university site is noted The Alma Estate is noted and the GLA housing and land team hopes to assist Enfield Council in procuring a Developer Partner The Academy Street site is noted and on-going discussions with the Housing and Land Directorate of the GLA about developing the site for residential use Welcomes the reference to the Outer London Fund and projects in the AAP 	_	Welcomed, noted.
		 Welcomes the reference to the Outer London Fund and projects in the AAP area. Would like more detail cross-referencing projects and delivery at the next stage Transport for London (TFL) broadly satisfied with transport principles and consistent with the draft OAPF In relation to Northern Gateway Access Package (NGAP), TFL note the connectivity and congestion issues in the area linked to the industrial estates and the lack of access to the M25. The link road is noted and TFL recognise that it could alleviate the current prevalence of rat running through the area to access the M25 TFL is currently working with Enfield Council to model the impacts of a new 		priorities and approach to delivery.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning nat cannot be implemented immediately.

ng out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work ssessment and is subject to planning nat cannot be implemented immediately.

er of the Baseline Update document sets s's provision, and this is reflected in the

hich sets out the range of projects,

85A	Resident	- Need for Public Toilets in Ponders End Park	 Noted. Policy 8.1 sets out how local not specifically identify public toilets generally at a 'high level'. However not prevent such a scheme coming
84A	Ponders End Community Development Trust	 link road and therefore consider the Council should await the results prior to any new draft of this AAP TFL welcomes the strong support for enhancements on the West Anglia Mainline TFL welcomes the Council's aspiration to maintain and improve existing bus services in the area The strong emphasis on the improvement of walking and cycling networks to enhance routes is welcomed In relation to delivery and implementation, TFL would welcome more explicit wording to be included to reinforce the requirement for developer funding to facilitate transport improvements The reference to the Lee Valley Heat Network and the approach to energy are strongly supported as means of delivering aspirations set out in the draft OAPF and London Plan The Trust notes that the MUGA on South Street was demolished and the land taken over by the new Academy. A temporary replacement has been built, to last for three years, on the other side of the Ponders End Youth Centre. The long-term replacement playing area was to be provided on the stretch of land now being referred to as "Academy Street" for new housing. This new proposal ignores the wishes of residents and the undertakings given earlier during the SPD consultations and when the playing area was closed The Trust note it will be important to continue to realise the Olympic legacy in Ponders End by improving and upgrading sports provision. Such provision is especially important in this area experiencing multiple deprivation. It has a severe shortage of open space and sports facilities, which can be easily accessed and used by the poorer and less fortunate members of the community. It would be a profound mistake to build new housing without also providing adequate recreational buildings and spaces The need to have one overall planning framework document for the area 	 Policy 11.3 The South Street Area - no the identified community facilities in MUGA. The AAP provides the overall planning

86A	FERRA	 - The organisation fully agrees with the Council's view that improving access and movement within North East Enfield is a key task. We also agree that the options that should be considered include: improving the frequency of trains stopping at stations along the Lee Valley railway line; a Northern Gateway Access Package to improve the link between the local road network to the M25; improvements to bus routes, walking and cycling Fully support the need to explore the options for the Northern Gateway Access Package. Our view is that the most important part of this package would be the construction of the link road 	
-----	-------	---	--

notes that any future redevelopment of in this location is required to re-provide the

ning framework for the area.

cal open spaces will be improved. This does ets in Ponders End Park as the policy is ver, the absence of a specific policy would ng forward.

87A	Resident	 Generally supportive of the Councils approach to regeneration of the area There is a need for more health centres 	-	Welcomed, noted Policy 7.1 identifies the need for a new provision of a health centre as part of
88A	North London Waste Authority	 The Authority agrees with the approach to energy in the Upper Lee Valley. As a member of the DEN project is aware of the on-going work and look to engage positively with the North London Strategic Alliance (NLSA) going forward 	-	Noted
89A	Resident Summary Document	 Supports the Councils approach to the local economy States the Council should go ahead with NGAP project now as congestion in the area is having a negative impact on business and community within the area 	-	Noted, welcomed LBE and Transport for London carrying explore the NGAP. It is a significant pr such as an Environmental Impact Asso approval. It is therefore a project tha Policy 4.3 is included in the AAP
90A	Highways Agency	 For clarity and consistency with the Core Strategy the following is amended: 	-	Noted
		P67 of AAP Policy Approach 3 "3. Continued consideration will also be given to the potential benefits, and merits, and impacts of a Northern Gateway Access Package [NGAP] that involves providing a new link between the A1055 and the A121 to connect to junction 26 of the M25, mitigating the impact of the scheme in Rammey Marsh as much as possible. This has the potential of significantly improving access on to the M25 and beyond from key industrial areas. This, again, will be considered in the context of further technical studies being undertaken in partnership with stakeholders, such as Transport for London and the Highways Agency. However, the limited scale of the additional congestion caused by the projected development trips indicates that the NGAP scheme is not required to deliver the planned growth in Enfield alone and should be considered in the context of growth in North London as a whole;"		
91A	LBE Education	- Amendments to Figure 7 – Location of schools	-	Noted
92A	LBE Housing	 The target to deliver a 1000 + units by 2026 is modest Welcome Point and the Youth Centre are not part of the Alma redevelopment plans Make reference to the Council working with retailers on South Street to look at the future of the shopping parade location More positive references are require for Ponders End 	-	Noted
93A	LBE Regeneration	 The Alma Estate redevelopment needs to be mentioned early on in the document Reference the Swan Annex as building of character Reference the former Middlesex University site adding to the decline in the High Street 	-	Noted

new health centre. Policy 11.2 requires the of the Alma Estate Regeneration.

ing out early feasibility modelling work to project that will require detailed work Assessment and is subject to planning hat cannot be implemented immediately.

		 Reference in detail the South Street East project Note access to the High Street should be public Noted Enfield Business Centre improvement project, OLF2 monies 	
94A	LBE Property	- Schedule and plan of LBE owned sites/buildings in the study area	- Information on Council ownerships scale of the area covered means t showing this at A4 scale.
95A	Resident Summary Document	 Generally supportive of the councils regeneration plans Improving congestion in local centres 	 Welcomed, noted Part C of the AAP includes propose improvements to car parking and p address issues of congestion
96A	Resident Summary Document	 Generally supportive of the councils regeneration plans More multiple retailers in the key local centres Improving Enfield Island Village retail facilities Networking of local employers with Jobsite Housing for the elderly Improving places of worship Improvements to public transport, explore the potential for more bus lanes Need for community space for the elderly 	 Welcomed, noted The AAP includes a focus on local of does not include specific policies in Island Village. However, the absent that improvements cannot come for The AAP includes policies in relation identified housing for the elderly. Improvements to public transport of and 4.13 focus on buses. Policy 7.1 sets out policy in relation population.

ps has informed the AAP. However, the ns that it is not possible to include a plan

osals for the Local Centres, encompassing d pedestrian access that aim to help

al centres along the Hertford Road, and s in relation to other centres such as Enfield sence of a specific policy does not mean e forward.

tion to new housing, but has not specifically

are key to the AAP, and Policies 4.11, 4.12

on to community facilities for all of the

Strategic Planning and Design Enfield Council Civic Centre Silver Street Enfield EN1 3XY

Tel: 020 8379 1000 www.enfield.gov.uk