



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 2/14-15

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2014/2015

Date Published: Tuesday 17th June 2014

This document lists the decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance Secretariat in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision:

- by at least 7 Members of the Council; or
- by formal resolution of a Scrutiny Panel; or
- where it is not possible (given the call-in deadline) to put the issue to a Panel meeting, by the Chairman of a Scrutiny Panel.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Governance Secretariat.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:
– James Kinsella (ext.4041)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 17th June 2014

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page Number
1/2/14-15	Cabinet Member for Environment & Community Safety (Cllr Bond)	Wednesday 25 th June 2014	Part 1	Traffic Flow Improvements - Lancaster Road, Enfield.	Non-Key	Chase	Yes Tuesday 24 th June 2014	1
2/2/14-15	Cabinet Member for Environment & Community Safety (Cllr Bond)	Wednesday 25 th June 2014	Part 1	Primary Expansion Programme: Chesterfield Primary School – Proposed Traffic Mitigation & Access Improvements.	Non-Key	Enfield Lock	Yes Tuesday 24 th June 2014	2
3/2/14-15	Cabinet Member for Environment & Community Safety (Cllr Bond)	Wednesday 25 th June 2014	Part 1	Safer Lorry Scheme for London	Non-Key	All	Yes Tuesday 24 th June 2014	3

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact James Kinsella (020 8379 4041), Governance Team.

CALL – IN UPDATE

Please note no decisions have been called in for review from the following lists:

List No.87 Issued on Tuesday 3rd June 2014 with a Call In date of 10th June 2014.

List No.88 Issued on Friday 6th June 2014 with a Call In date of 13rd June 2014.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/2/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
TRAFFIC FLOW IMPROVEMENTS – LANCASTER ROAD, ENFIELD							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	All	Cabinet Member for Environment & Community Safety (Cllr Bond)	Wednesday 25 th June 2014	None	Non-Key	Andy Ruffell 0208 379 3632	Yes Tuesday 24 th June 2014
DECISION							
<p>AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Wednesday 25th June 2014:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To make the Traffic Management Order for the introduction of waiting restrictions as shown on drawing No. LBE-RS/MS/13103/0001 and LBE-RS/MS/13103/0002 (Appendix A1 & A2) To approve the implementation of traffic flow improvements as shown on the plan in Appendix A1 & A2. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> No waiting at any time opposite Weardale Gardens – to extend the existing waiting restrictions opposite Weardale Gardens would require the Traffic Management Order to be re-advertised and it is likely that this would produce objections from the block of flats opposite Weardale Gardens. Allowing vehicles to park fully on the footway achieves the same aim as extending the waiting restrictions but retains the current parking arrangements. Do nothing – The council has a duty to improve the flow of traffic on its network. By not implementing these proposals the congestion and delayed journey times that currently occur on Lancaster Road will continue. The scheme as consulted on – The consulted on scheme only allowed for ‘half-on/half off’ parking opposite Weardale Gardens, which would only partly address the concerns of residents. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The recommended scheme as shown in Appendix A will help address public transport reliability issues and also still allow the parking that currently occurs on Lancaster Road. This would allow customers to the shops in Lancaster Road to continue to visit and park in the manner that is currently in use. It will also address concerns that residents of Weardale Gardens have about exiting their road, in that vehicles would not be parked wholly or partially on the carriageway and therefore would not narrow Lancaster Road to a width that residents are concerned about. 							
BACKGROUND:							
Please note that a copy of the part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council’s democracy pages.							

LIST REFERENCE: 2/2/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
PRIMARY EXPANSION PROGRAMME: CHESTERFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL – PROPOSED TRAFFIC MITIGATION & ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS.							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	Enfield Lock	Cabinet Member for Environment & Community Safety (Cllr Bond)	Wednesday 25 th June 2014	None	Non-Key	Howard Kennedy 0208 379 4060	Yes Tuesday 24 th June 2014
DECISION							
<p>AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Wednesday 25th June 2014:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To implement the improvement measures described in this report as part of the Enfield Primary Expansion Programme. The estimated cost to implement the scheme described in this report is &100,000, which will be funded from the School's & Children's Services budget. To advertise the required statutory notices and make the traffic management orders, (subject to resolving any objections), for waiting restrictions and amendments to school keep clear markings as described in this report and Appendix B attached to the report. To inform local residents and businesses of the decision made as a result of this report. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Option 1 – Do nothing – The Council has a duty of care to all road users. By not implementing the proposals vulnerable road users may be put at risk as the school expands. The current congestion problems would also continue and will increase as the school expands. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is expected that the introduction of the proposed measures will improve access and road safety during the school drop off and pick up period. Further, this will also improve the quality of life for residents of these roads. 							
BACKGROUND:							
Please note that a copy of the part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages.							

LIST REFERENCE: 3/2/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
SAFER LORRY SCHEME FOR LONDON							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	Enfield Lock	Cabinet Member for Environment & Community Safety (Cllr Bond)	Wednesday 25 th June 2014	None	Non-Key	David.B.Taylor 0208 379 3576	Yes Tuesday 24 th June 2014
DECISION							
<p>AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Wednesday 25th June 2014:</p> <p>1. To delegate to London Council's Transport and Environment Committee (TEC) the functions relating to the Safer Lorry Scheme for London, as set out in paragraph 4.4 of the report.</p>							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<p>1. The Council could choose:</p> <p>a. not to delegate authority to London Councils' TEC to make a pan-London Traffic Management Order and not to make a pan-London Traffic Management Order (TMO) and not participate in the Safer Lorry Scheme for London or</p> <p>b. make the TMO itself.</p> <p>2. The first optioned is not recommended as this would not address the safety concerns relating to HGVs and vulnerable road users. The second option is not recommended as this would require the Council to provide the necessary resources to process the required TMO, including dealing with any objections received.</p>							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
Delegating authority to London Councils' TEC is the most efficient way to bring the Safer Lorry Scheme for London into effect and to carry out future enforcement.							
BACKGROUND:							
Please note that a copy of the part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages.							