

PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 37/14-15

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2014/2015

Date Published: 7th November 2014

This document lists the decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance Secretariat in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision:

- by at least 7 Members of the Council; or
- by formal resolution of a Scrutiny Panel; or
- where it is not possible (given the call-in deadline) to put the issue to a Panel meeting, by the Chairman of a Scrutiny Panel.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Governance Secretariat.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:

- James Kinsella (ext.4041)

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 7th November 2014

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page Number
1/37/14- 15	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services and Protection (Cllr Orhan) & Cabinet Member for Finance (Cllr Stafford)	Monday 17 th November 2014	Part 1	Approval of Approach and Costs For a School Expansion Programme Project	Key Decision KD 3599	Winchmore Hill	Yes Friday 14 th November 2014	1
2/37/14- 15	Cabinet Member for Economic Development (Cllr Sitkin)	Monday 17 th November 2014	Part 1	Santa's Cruise Event	Non-Key	Ponders End	Yes Friday 14 th November 2014	2
3/37/14- 15	Director of Regeneration & Environment (lan Davis)	Monday 17 th November 2014	Part 1 & 2 (para 3)	Approval of Contract Award for Legal Support Services to Lee Valley Heat Network	Key Decision KD 3992	All	Yes Friday 14 th November 2014	3

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact James Kinsella (020 8379 4041), Governance Team.

CALL - IN UPDATE

No Called In decisions from Publication List No. 35

LIST REFERENCE: 1/37/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
APPROVAL OF APPROACH AND COSTS FOR A SCHOOL EXPANSION PROGRAMME PROJECT							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Ward affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-In & date to be called in by
Part 1	Winchmore Hill	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services and Protection (Cllr Orhan) & Cabinet Member for Finance (Cllr Stafford)	Monday 17 th November 2014	None	Key Decision KD 3599	Michael Toyer 0208- 379-5485	Yes Friday 14 th November 2014

DECISION

AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 17th November 2014:

- 1. That the Cabinet member for Education, Children's Services and Protection and the Cabinet Member for Finance approve the following:
 - 1.1 The proposed three stage approach to the detailed feasibility work up to planning application for a facility to provide an extra two forms of primary entry at Grovelands Park;
 - 1.2 Expenditure of up to £680,000 to meet the costs of feasibility and design work up to submission of a full planning application;
 - 1.3 Delegation of decision making to the Director of Schools and Children's Services and the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services via operational decisions as follows:
 - Gateway approvals to proceed to Stage 2 Planning Pre-Application consultation, Stage 3 full planning application or to terminate if the scheme is not feasible. A further Key Decision will be sought for any procurement of works beyond the planning approval;
 - Resourcing, procurement and contract award for services to support the detailed feasibility work up to planning application;
 - The submission of a full planning application.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

- 1. There are no other suitable sites available in the South West pupil place planning area that can provide additional permanent primary school places from September 2016 in line with the broader demand set out in the July 2014 Cabinet report. The two other site options, Broomfield and Minchenden, have been considered as follows.
- 2. Broomfield Secondary is an option to provide primary capacity through expanding it into an all-through school. However, its location in terms of meeting the needs of parents and pupils from the Lakes Estate is far from satisfactory in relation to the council's policy for local places for local pupils. In addition the project is not yet ready to bring forward for two main reasons:
 - 2.1 We have bid to central government for funding to rebuild Broomfield and if successful we will need to negotiate with them on including a primary expansion within the project. The funding announcement is not expected until December or January and with subsequent negotiations it is unlikely we will have certainty in the project until the middle of 2015. If successful the Education Funding Agency would

- deliver this project and they have not confirmed their delivery timescales.
- 2.2 Broomfield is currently classified by Ofsted as "requires improvement to be good" (RIG) and as such the leadership and management of the school must prioritise the improvement of educational outcomes. They currently do not have the capacity to take on the extra pressure of being involved in planning and delivering a permanent school expansion.
- 3. The Minchenden site has been the subject of a separate report where the intention is to enter into a lease agreement with Barnet and Southgate College. This site is less suitable for a two form of entry primary facility due to space requirements and access for 420 pupils. Additionally, this site is more suitable for the provision of extra special needs places due to its proximity to Southgate College as this provides the opportunity for improved working with the college on SEN provision to develop clear pathways for students.
- 4. Grovelands' location offers the opportunity of achieving a more even distribution of primary age education provision. In fully developed areas of the borough this kind of opportunity rarely occurs. Improving distribution of schools helps parents access a more local school and when combined with a good school travel plan can mitigate against more congestion on the roads as peak times. Additionally the Council owns the Grovelands site which removes the time and cost of site acquisition. Part of the redevelopment of that site would be to improve an area of open space which meets the Council's wider objectives on the provision of quality open spaces for public access.
- 5. A partner school (Bowes Southgate Green) managed by the Bowes Primary School, which is rated by Ofsted as outstanding, has already been established at Broomfield Secondary to provide extra primary school places in the short to medium term. There is some flexibility around provision at this state and it effectively serves as contingency whilst Grovelands is progressed. There are options to extend the provision of places at this facility up to September 2016 if required. Capacity can also be increased from the current one form of entry to two forms. This facility will definitely take new pupils in September 2015 and when there is more certainty about the permanent provision of primary places in the South West of the borough then a decision will be taken on the arrangements for this facility.
- 6. Enfield Council has a statutory responsibility to provide the necessary school places. This revised strategy to provide the additional capacity and expertise to ensure that this programme is delivered on time and providing best value for the Council. Not providing places cannot be considered an option and on the basis of other available site options the recommendation is to proceed with this feasibility or risk not meeting the Council's statutory responsibility in September 2016.
- 7. Other options considered in relation to meeting the Council's statutory responsibility on school places have been considered as follows:
 - Increasing class sizes to over 30 pupils. Current legislation stipulates that Key Stage 1 classes cannot exceed 30 pupils with only one qualified teacher. This does not apply to Key Stage 2. This was rejected on the basis that school accommodation does not normally allow for more than 30 pupils in one class base.
 - The use of community halls as emergency class bases. This option has been explored with a number of head teachers in relation to the development of the Partner School initiative. This was rejected in this instance as the preference is to establish permanent expansions and only use partner schools where necessary.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Council has an overriding statutory duty to provide sufficient pupil places to meet anticipated demand. The strategy will deliver the additional places required in the areas of the highest demand over the short term, up to 2018.

BACKGROUND:

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision List link on the Council's Democracy pages.

LIST REFERENCE: 2/37/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT

SANTA'S CRUISE EVENT

Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Ward affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-In & date to be called in by
Part 1	Ponders End	Cabinet Member for Economic Development (Cllr Alan Sitkin)	Monday 17 th November 2014	None	Non-Key	Ellie Robles 0208 379 3640	Yes Friday 14 th November 2014

DECISION

AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 17th November 2014:

1. To note the indicative costings for the proposed Santa's Cruise event during December 2014, and to agree the proposed contribution to move forward delivery.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

The alternative to do nothing was considered but discounted as the community benefits and opportunities within this priority regeneration area outweigh the risks. The event could encourage investment in Enfield by enabling a more resilient partnership with the boat operator, local businesses and the community.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. Agreement to deliver the Santa's Cruise event would enhance the Council's reputation in the Community to deliver events that they have proposed.
- 2. Supports Core Policy 41 which seeks to introduce leisure activity at Ponders End Waterfront.
- 3. The event will contribute to the growth and sustainability of Ponders End by attracting visitors and investment.
- 4. The event will encourage borough-wide participation and could improve perceptions of the north east of the Borough.
- 5. Sponsorship will be sought to reduce the contribution from the Council.

BACKGROUND:

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision List link on the Council's Democracy pages.

LIST REFERENCE: 3/37/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
APPROVAL OF CONTRACT AWARD FOR LEGAL SUPPORT SERVICES TO LEE VALLEY HEAT NETWORK							
Part 1 or 2	Ward	Decision taken by	Date Decision	Interest	Category of	Contact Details	Eligible for
(relevant	affected		to come into	declared in	decision (i.e.		Call-In & date
exempt	by		effect	respect of the	Key, Non-Key,		to be called in
Paragraph)	decision			Decision	Council,		by
					Urgent)		
Part 1 &	All	Director of Regeneration &	Monday 17 th	None	Key Decision	Jeff Laidler	Yes Friday 14 th
Part 2 (para 3)		Environment (lan Davis)	November		KD 3992	0208 379 3410	November 2014
		·	2014				

DECISION

AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 17th November 2014

1. That the Authority awards the legal support services contract for the Lee Valley Heat Network to Supplier C, as set out in the Part 2 report.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

As set out in Part 2 of the report.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

Following a robust technical and financial evaluation process, the Suppliers were scored as follows:

Total Score Ranking

Supplier A	Supplier B	Supplier C
78.18%	79.68%	87.95%
3	2	1

It is recommended that the authority award the contract to Supplier C whose technical and financial submission proved to be the most economically advantageous. In particular, the depth of experience of the individuals being allocated to the contract in this specialist sector was demonstrated to be of a very high standard which will be important in:

- Keeping contract costs as low as possible by using previous template agreements.
- Maintaining programme on the delivery of the scope.
- Minimising operational risks within LVHN business

Further detailed reasons for recommendations are contained within Part 2 of the report.

BACKGROUND:

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision List link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.