



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 52/14-15

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2014/2015

Date Published: Friday 9th January 2015

This document lists the decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance Secretariat in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Governance Secretariat.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:

– James Kinsella (ext.4041)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 9th January 2015

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page Number
1/52/14-15	Enfield Residents Priority Fund Cabinet Sub-Committee	Monday 19 th January 2015	Part 1	Applications to the Enfield Residents Priority Fund	Non-Key	Bowes, Bush Hill Park, Chase, Cockfosters, Edmonton Green, Enfield Highway, Grange, Haselbury, Highlands, Jubilee, Lower Edmonton, Palmers Green, Southgate Green, Town, Turkey Street, Upper Edmonton, Winchmore Hill	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015	1-4
2/52/14-15	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection (Cllr Orhan) & Cabinet Member for Finance (Cllr Stafford)	Monday 19 th January 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Award of Contracts to Deliver Works to Enable the Provision of Extra Pupil Places – Prince of Wales Primary School	Key Decision KD 4009	Enfield Lock	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015	5-6
3/52/14-15	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection (Cllr Orhan) & Cabinet Member for Finance (Cllr Stafford)	Monday 19 th January 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Award of Contracts to Deliver Works to Enable the Provision of Extra Pupil Places – Bowes Edmonton Phase 1b	Key Decision KD 4009	Jubilee	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015	7-8
4/52/14-15	Director of Regeneration & Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 th January 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Provision of Sacks for Waste, Parks and Street Scene	Key Decision KD 3985	All	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015	9
5/52/14-15	Director of Regeneration & Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 th January 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Provision of Metal Bulk Waste Bins for Commercial and Domestic Use	Key Decision KD 3986	All	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015	10
6/52/14-15	Director of Regeneration & Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 th January 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Access to LB Ealing's Framework Contract for the Provision of Highways and Transport Services	Key Decision KD 4024	All	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015	11

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact James Kinsella (020 8379 4041), Governance Team.

CALL – IN UPDATE

Please note no decisions have been called in for review from the following list:

List No.49 Issued on Friday 19th December 2014 with a Call In date of Monday 31st December 2014.

List No.50 Issued on Tuesday 23rd December 2014 with a Call In date of Monday 5th January 2015.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/52/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
APPLICATIONS TO ENFIELD RESIDENTS PRIORITY FUND							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	Bowes, Bush Hill Park, Chase, Cockfosters, Edmonton Green, Enfield Highway, Grange, Haselbury, Highlands, Jubilee, Lower Edmonton, Palmers Green, Southgate Green, Town, Turkey Street, Upper Edmonton, Winchmore Hill	Enfield Residents Priority Fund Cabinet Sub Committee	Monday 19 th January 2015	Councillors Georgiou and Brett declared non- pecuniary interests in applications BOW054 and BOW055 Councillor Oyken declared a non-pecuniary interest in application PAL046	Non-Key	Joanne Stacey 020 8379 3792	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015

DECISION
<p>AGREED subject to no call-in being received, that the following decisions will come into effect on Monday 19 January 2015:</p> <p>(1) That the following applications be approved under the Enfield Residents Priority Fund (as detailed in Appendix A of the report)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bowes (BOW054) – Caribbean Elders Luncheon Club - £3,465 • Bowes (BOW055) – Women’s Empowerment and Information Event - £750 • Chase Ward (CHAS028), Cockfosters Ward (CF020), Town Ward (TOWN036) – There and Back Again – Theatre Event and Workshops - £1,707 per ward (£5,121 in total) • Chase Ward (CHAS029) – Chase Wellbeing Service - £5,000 • Cockfosters Ward (CF019) – Trent Park Nature Trail - £3,000 • Edmonton Green Ward (EDM047) – Somali Parenting Support Advice Group - £4,350 • Edmonton Green Ward (EDM048) – Edmonton Foodbank - £5,000 • Edmonton Green Ward (EDM050) – ESOL in Schools, Community Learning Programme - £5,000 • Edmonton Green Ward (EDM052) – Establishing Skills Exchange Programmes across Enfield - £5,000 • Grange Ward (GRA014) – Winchmore Singers - £1,500* • Haselbury Ward (HAS039) – Disability Athletics Club - £5,000

- Haselbury Ward (HAS040), Palmers Green (PAL046), Upper Edmonton (UPED058) – Mobile CCTV Camera - £5,000 for each ward (£15,000 in total)
 - Haselbury Ward (HAS042), Lower Edmonton Ward (LOED065) – Edmonton Kids Theatre - £5,000 each ward (£10,000 in total)
 - Highlands Ward (HILA013) – 2473 Highlands and Southgate Squadron Air Training Corps – Band Equipment and Trailer - £5,000
 - Enfield Highway (HIWAY40) – Celebrations Event – DJ and MC Academy - £5,000
 - Jubilee Ward (JUB037) – Dog Training in the Park - £158
 - Jubilee Ward (JUB038) – Dementia and Music Project - £5,000
 - Jubilee Ward (JUB039) – The Yellow Belt Challenge - £2,500
 - Lower Edmonton Ward (LOED064) – All Colours Music - £2,000
 - Upper Edmonton Ward (UPED057) – ESOL in Schools, Community Learning Programme - £5,000
 - Upper Edmonton Ward (UPED061) – Wellbeing Connect - £4,750
 - Upper Edmonton Ward (UPED062) – Edmonton Glee Project - £4,800
- (2) The following applications be approved subject to conditions:
- Grange Ward (GRA014) – Winchmore Singers £1,500 subject to being formally signed off by members. It was suggested that the applicants could ask for donations to cover the £200, applied for, but not funded.
- (3) The following application be deferred:
- Bush Hill Park (BUSH028) Edmonton Green (EDM051) - Celebrate Edmonton – Mosaic Art Event was deferred for final decision pending consultation with the Council's Communications Team about how the project fits in with the overall plans for the celebration of the 50th anniversary of the joining of the 3 former local boroughs to become the London Borough of Enfield.
- (4) The following applications be deferred, for consideration at a future meeting of the Sub Committee, to enable more information to be provided, before a decision was made:
- Bush Hill Park (BUSH027) - Security Light between Alberta Road and the A10 was deferred to enable consultation with all neighbouring residents to take place.
 - Edmonton Green (EDM044) and Haselbury (HAS037) - Healthy Living Seminars were deferred for more detail on the projects, to find out why 6 seminars were needed and for further consultation with public health officers to decide whether they could provide a similar service, working with the Lefkara Association, for less money
 - Edmonton Green (EDM049) - Carers in Employment was deferred for specific detail on what was to be provided, realistic targets and evidence that it was wanted by the community.

- Haselbury (HAS035) and Lower Edmonton (LOE059) – Alevi Community Capacity Building – as the more detailed information on the proposals and evidence of consultation requested at the last sub-committee meeting had not yet been provided.
- Haselbury (HAS038) - St Demetrios Youth Club was deferred to enable a more detailed, properly costed application to be submitted.
- Highlands (HILA012) Street Lighting Cotswold Way/Glenbrook South was deferred for consultation with all neighbouring residents.
- Enfield Highway (HIWAY41) - New Chance - English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) - Classes for Turkish Speakers deferred for signing by the ward councillors and to revise the costings
- Enfield Highway (HIWAY42) Stand in the Gap – was deferred for more detailed information on what was being proposed.
- Jubilee (JUB036) – Aiding Young Children – as the evidence of consultation and more information on the benefits to Jubilee ward requested at the last subcommittee meeting had not yet been provided.
- Lower Edmonton (LOED056) – Aiding Project for Diverse Communities was deferred for more information on the purpose of the project and the need for the equipment requested.
- Lower Edmonton (LOED062) – Dance Classes for information on the budget to be provided.
- Lower Edmonton (LOED067) - Information and Advice for Turkish Speakers as it had not been signed off by ward councillors.
- Southgate Green (SGTG022) - ESOL in Schools Community Learning Programme as it had not been signed off by the ward councillors.
- Turkey Street (TST057) - Determination ESOL Classes to clarify the evidence and provide a more realistic detailed budget.
- Upper Edmonton (UPED059) - Carers into Employment for more detailed information on the project.
- Upper Edmonton (UPED060) - Dementia Care Befrienders, for evidence of consultation with the community.

(5) To note that the following application had been withdrawn by ward councillors:

- Lower Edmonton (LOED063) – Healthy Living Seminars.

(6) The following applications should not be approved as they did not meet the criteria:

- Edmonton Green (EDM045) - Latymer All Saints Adventure Playground, as it would not benefit the wider community.
- Haselbury (HAS041) - Lower Edmonton (LOED067) Information and Advice for Turkish Speakers, as it was not supported by all ward councillors. The sub-committee accepted the reasons put forward by the ward Councillors who were not in agreement which were that there was sufficient provision already and not enough demand in the community.
- Lower Edmonton (LOED061) - Football for Kids, as it would not benefit the wider community in Lower Edmonton.
- Lower Edmonton (LOED066) - Girls Want to be Healthier, as it would not benefit the Lower Edmonton wider community.
- Town (TOWN037) - Replacement of St Andrew's Boiler, as the fund was not able to provide funding for buildings with a primary purpose of promoting one religion

- (7) A change to the allocation of the funds to the following project from the 2013/14 allocation:
- Edmonton Green EDM036 Gallery Fore Community Centre - The project had been awarded £50,663: £33,000 of which had been set aside to cover rent. Alternative premises had since been found with a lower rent of £24,000. It was therefore agreed that £9,000 remaining would be re-allocated to fund other projects in the Edmonton Green Ward.
- (8) The chair of the sub-committee be given delegated authority to provide approval in cases where the applications are agreed in principle, subject to certain conditions and these conditions have been met.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

That the projects are not considered and the funding is not allocated, this would not be recommended as this will not support community engagement and will not allow residents the opportunity to further improve the local area in which they live or work.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

The projects submitted have been proposed and developed by the local people of Enfield, to help improve the social, economic or environmental wellbeing by tackling local need and deprivation. The projects all support the Council's vision of making Enfield a better place to live and work, delivering fairness for all, growth and sustainability and strong communities.

BACKGROUND

Please refer to item 4 on the Cabinet Sub Committee agenda for Wednesday 7th January 2015

LIST REFERENCE: 2/52/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
AWARD OF CONTRACT TO DELIVER WORKS TO ENABLE THE PROVISION OF EXTRA PUPIL PLACES – PRINCE OF WALES PRIMARY SCHOOL							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Enfield Lock	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection (Cllr Orhan) & Cabinet Member for Finance (Cllr Stafford)	Monday 19 th January 2015	None	Key Decision KD 4009	Michael Toyer 020 8379 5485	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015
DECISION							
<p>AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 19th January 2015:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The contract award to the contractor named in the report for a package of works totalling £1,443,900 to provide extra building capacity to support the provision of additional pupil places. Total Scheme expenditure of £2,706,000, including the building works and £1,262,100 to cover identified client side costs, professional and technical fees, furniture and equipment, information and communication infrastructure, traffic mitigation and project contingency; and That the Director of Schools and Children's Services allocates project contingency, via operational decisions, where required. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Enfield Council has a statutory responsibility to provide enough school places to meet demand. The SEP has been established to provide the additional capacity and expertise to setup and deliver a number of construction projections in a timely fashion and to provide best value in the face of challenging delivery timescales. Not providing places cannot be considered as option. The following proposals have been considered but rejected. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increasing class sizes to over 30 pupils. Current legislation stipulates that Key Stage 1 classes cannot exceed 30 pupils with only one qualified teacher. This does not apply to Key Stage 2. However, school accommodation does not normally allow for more than 30 pupils in one class base. The use of community halls as emergency class bases. This option has been explored with a number of head teachers in relation to the development of the Partner School initiative. However, the revised strategy seeks to deliver a programme of permanent expansions with partner school only serving as temporary measures whilst permanent capacity is being planned and delivered. Not entering into contract for either of the works packages. This option has been discounted as the extra building capacity is required at Prince of Wales Primary School for September 2015 and the delay in re-tendering would make impossible to achieve. 							

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. The Council has an overriding statutory duty to provide sufficient pupil places to meet anticipated demand. The strategy will deliver the additional places required in the areas of the highest demand over the short term, up to 2018. The expanded capacity aims to provide a higher level of flexibility built in to counter sudden increases in demand and provide an element of parental choice.
2. Evaluation of the tender returns and subsequent discussions with the contractors have reached a stage where we can enter into contract. The tender returns and scheme costs have been reviewed by the Council's Corporate Maintenance and Construction team and recommended as representing good value given current market conditions. The tender reports are included in the Part two report.

BACKGROUND:

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.

LIST REFERENCE: 3/52/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
AWARD OF CONTRACT TO DELIVER WORKS TO ENABLE THE PROVISION OF EXTRA PUPIL PLACES – BOWES EDMONTON PHASE 1B							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Jubilee	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection (Cllr Orhan) & Cabinet Member for Finance (Cllr Stafford)	Monday 19 th January 2015	None	Key Decision KD 4009	Michael Toyer 020 8379 5485	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015
DECISION							
<p>AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 19th January 2015:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The contract award of the modular Building Supply by accepting the tender of the contractor named in the report for the sum of £513,200 plus additional costs totalling £10,200 that were excluded from the tender giving a total of £523,400 2. Contract award of the Infrastructure Works by accepting the tender of the contractor named in the report for the sum of £172,600 plus additional costs totalling £76,600 that were excluded from the tender giving a total £249,200; 3. Total Scheme expenditure of £1,624,400, including the above plus £851,800 to cover client side costs, professional and technical fees, furniture and equipment, information and communication infrastructure, traffic mitigation and project contingency; and 4. That the Director of Schools and Children's Services allocates project contingency, via operational decisions, where required. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Enfield Council has a statutory responsibility to provide enough school places to meet demand for the necessary school places. The SEP has been established to revised strategy to provide the additional capacity and expertise to setup and deliver a number of construction projections in a timely fashion and to provide best value ensure that this programme is delivered on time and providing best value for the Council in the face of challenging delivery timescales. Not providing places cannot be considered as option. 2. The following proposals have been considered but rejected. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasing class sizes to over 30 pupils. Current legislation stipulates that key Stage 1 classes cannot exceed 30 pupils with only one qualified teacher. This does not apply to Key Stage 2. However, school accommodation does not normally allow for more than 30 pupils in one class base. • The use of community halls as emergency class bases. This option has been explored with a number of head teachers in relation to the development of the Partner School initiative. However, the revised strategy seeks to deliver a programme of permanent expansions with partner school only serving as temporary measures whilst permanent capacity is being planned and delivered. • Not entering into contract for either of the works packages. This option has been discounted as the extra building capacity is required at Prince of Wales Primary School for September 2015 and the delay in re-tendering would make impossible to achieve. 							

Publication of Decision List 3/52/14-15 – 9th January 2015

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. The Council has an overriding statutory duty to provide sufficient pupil places to meet anticipated demand. The strategy will deliver the additional places required in the areas of the highest demand over the short term, up to 2018. The expanded capacity aims to provide a higher level of flexibility built in to counter sudden increases in demand and provide an element of parental choice.
2. Evaluation of the tender returns and subsequent discussions with the contractors have reached a stage where we can enter into contract. The tender returns and scheme costs have been reviewed by the Council's Corporate Maintenance and Construction team and recommended as representing good value given current market conditions. The tender reports are included in the Part two report.
3. The contract sum has been reviewed by the Corporate Maintenance and Construction Team (CMCT). Further details are included in the Part two appendixes.

BACKGROUND:

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.

LIST REFERENCE: 4/52/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
PROVISION OF SACKS FOR WASTE, PARKS AND STREET SCENE							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Director of Regeneration & Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 th January 2015	None	Key Decision KD 3985	Terry Plumer 020 8379 5444 Selma Ramadan 020 8379 4129	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015
DECISION							
<p>AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 19th January 2015:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The award a contract to Company A for domestic sacks commencing from January 2015 for an initial two year term with the potential of two further one year fixed term extensions. To award a contract to Company B for the supply of sacks for the Waste, Parks and Street Scenes teams for commercial and street cleansing use commencing from January 2015 for an initial two year term with the potential of two further one year fixed term extensions. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The alternative options to obtaining competitive quotations for the provision of sacks are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To continue to order sacks on an adhoc basis, however, the Council would not be able to demonstrate consistency of application, certainty of terms, probity or value for money in compliance with the Corporate Procedure Rules. It will also be difficult to monitor and manage spend. To no longer provide sacks for domestic and commercial use. The Council would have to provide other solutions for properties on the sacks service and commercial enterprises within the borough. If implemented the Council would lose the commercial waste income and cause detriment to the borough street scene. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
To ensure compliance with the Contract Procurement Rules and improve the sack service provision and maximising value for money							
BACKGROUND:							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.							

LIST REFERENCE: 5/52/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
PROVISION OF METAL BULK WASTE BINS FOR COMMERCIAL AND DOMESTIC USE							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Director of Regeneration & Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 th January 2015	None	Key Decision KD 3986	Terry Plumer 020 8379 5444 Selma Ramadan 020 8379 4129	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015
DECISION							
<p>AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 19th January 2015: To award the contract to Company B for the supply of metal bulk waste bins for commercial and domestic use commencing from December 2014 for an initial two year term with the potential of two further one year fixed term extensions.</p>							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<p>1. The alternative options to obtaining competitive quotations for the provision of sacks are:</p> <p>1.1 To continue to order bins on an adhoc basis, however, the Council would not be able to demonstrate consistency of application, certainty of terms, probity or value for money in compliance with the Corporate Procedure Rules. It will also be difficult to monitor and manage spend.</p> <p>1.2 To no longer provide sacks for domestic and commercial use. The Council would have to provide other solutions for properties on the sacks service and commercial enterprises within the borough. If implemented the Council would lose the commercial waste income and cause detriment to the borough street scene.</p>							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
To ensure compliance with the Contract Procurement Rules and improve the sack service provision and maximising value for money							
BACKGROUND:							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.							

LIST REFERENCE: 6/52/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
ACCESS TO LB EALING'S FRAMEWORK CONTRACT FOR THE PROVISION OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT SERVICES							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	All	Director of Regeneration & Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 th January 2015	None	Key Decision KD 4024	David Taylor 020 8379 3576	Yes Friday 16 th January 2015
DECISION							
<p>AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 19th January 2015:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> That the Council enters into an access agreement with Ealing Council to use Ealing's framework contract for engineering consultancy services as set out in this report. That the Council calls-off from the London Borough of Ealing's framework contract for the provision of highways and transport services under lot 3 as required to support the delivery of its programme of traffic and transport schemes, up to a value of no more than £300,000 per year until the contract expires in May 2016. That the Council may use lot 1 (bridge inspections) and lot 2 (condition surveys) as and when appropriate, up to a maximum value of £60,000 for each lot. That authority to enter into individual call-off contracts is delegated to the Head of Traffic and Transportation and Head of Highway Services. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Council can commission consultancy services from Ringway Jacobs via the London Highway Alliance Contract (LoHAC). LoHAC is already being used to help with the delivery of the Cycle Enfield project. However, there is some concern about becoming a dependent on a single contractor and this option is not recommended. Transport for London's (TfL) Engineering and Project Management Framework (EPMF) is available for borough's to use to commission a range of consultancy support. However, there are typically 10-12 consultants on each lot and the Framework requires that each be invited to bid. Whilst TfL's EPMF may be suitable for major projects, it is not an efficient way to procure the type of smaller scale commissions needed to support the delivery of routine traffic and transport schemes. The Council support can also be procured using the London Tenders Portal on an individual basis. Whilst this option may still be used from time to time, a framework will normally provide a quicker means of procurement. The competitive rates within the framework also help to ensure value for money. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
Use of Ealing's framework contract will provide another option for the Council in the commissioning of consultancy services to help deliver its programme of works. This will avoid a dependency of LoHAC and ensure that consultants can be appointed both efficiently and cost effectively.							
BACKGROUND:							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.							

Publication of Decision List 6/52/14-15 – 9th January 2015