

## **PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 59/14-15**

## **MUNICIPAL YEAR 2014/2015**

Date Published: Tuesday 10<sup>th</sup> February 2015

This document lists the decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:

— James Kinsella (ext.4041)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

# INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 10<sup>th</sup> February 2015

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page Number
1/59/14- 15	Director of Health, Housing & Adult Social Care (Ray James)	Wednesday 18 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Framework Agreement for the Provision of Learning and Development Services for Health, and Social Care Staff	Key Decision KD 3939	All	Yes Tuesday 17 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	1-2
2/59/14- 15	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection (Cllr Orhan)	Wednesday 18 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	Part 1	Restructure of Educational Psychology Service (EPS) and the Child Adolescent Mental Health Service	Non-Key	All	Yes Tuesday 17 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	3-4
3/59/14- 15	Director of Finance, Resources & Customer Services (James Rolfe)	Wednesday 18 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Provision of Manned Guarding and Alarm Response	Key Decision KD 4061	All	Yes Tuesday 17 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	5

## **DECISIONS**

For additional copies or further details please contact James Kinsella (020 8379 4041), Governance Team.

## CALL - IN UPDATE

Please note no decisions have been called in for review from the following list:

List No.56 Issued on Friday 30<sup>th</sup> January 2015 with a Call In date of Friday 6<sup>th</sup> February 2015.

#### **LIST REFERENCE: 1/59/14-15**

l	SOBSECT THE OF THE REPORT								
	FRAMEWORK AGREEMENT FOR THE PROVISION OF LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES FOR HEALTH, AND SOCIAL CARE STAFF								
	Part 1 or 2 Wards Decision taken by Date De		Date Decision	Interest	Category of	<b>Contact Details</b>	Eligible for		
	(relevant	affected		to come into	declared in	decision		Call-in & Date	
	exempt	by		effect	respect of the	(i.e. Key, Non-Key,		to be called in	
	Paragraph)	decision			Decision	Council, Urgent)		by	
	Paragraph)	decision	Director of Health, Housing	Wednesday 18 <sup>th</sup>		Council, Urgent)  Key Decision	Mekonnen	by Yes	
	Paragraph) Part 1 & 2	decision All	Director of Health, Housing & Adult Social Care	Wednesday 18 <sup>th</sup> February 2015			Mekonnen Gutta		

### **DECISION**

**AGREED:** subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Wednesday 18<sup>th</sup> February 2015:

- 1. That the content of the Report is noted with regard to the procurement process.
- 2. That approval is given to award the Framework Agreement to the providers listed in the accompanying Part 2 of this Report for the lots indicated in the Part 2 Report for a period of two (2) years from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2015 with the option of extending for a further two consecutive one year (i.e. 2+1+1 years), subject to a satisfactory performance, availability of resources and continued demand for the services.
- 3. That approval is given to: a) make call-off direct orders where requirements and prices are specified (known as Standard Services), and, b) carry out mini competitions where requirements and prices are not specified (known as Competed Services) as per the provision of the Terms and Conditions of the Framework Agreement, for the duration of the Framework Agreement.
- 4. That further details provided in Part 2 of this Report is noted.

## **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:**

SLIB IECT TITLE OF THE DEDODT

- 1. A number of alternative options to setting up this Framework Agreement including continuing the ad-hoc spot-purchasing practice were explored prior to the commencement of the tender exercise and been rejected due to various reasons. The tender commencement approval report agreed by Cabinet Member and Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care on 12<sup>th</sup> September 2013 can be referred to for further information on this.
- Another alternative to setting up this Framework Agreement could be to procure managed services that use solutions such as Dynamic Purchasing System (DPS) operated by third party organisations. At the start of this tender exercise officers considered this possibility but the use of third party to manage the delivery of HHASC's Learning and Development Services did not appear to be cost-effective or compatible within the existing arrangement.
- 3. A third alternative to this Framework could be setting up a DPS where providers could be assessed and included to the approved list on an ongoing basis. The September report (referred to in paragraph 5.1 of the Report) also suggests this as one of possible approaches to be considered in the future. This could only be looked at as part of the Council's overall organisational and business process change agenda.

## **REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- 1. This arrangement will provide effective and a compliant way of accessing quality services. It will also provide flexibility and value for money.
- 2. Officers are making the recommendation because they are satisfied that the tenderers have been through a thorough selection process and the bid provides a wider range of choices as opposed to the current ad-hoc practice.

## **BACKGROUND:**

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.

#### LIST REFERENCE: 2/59/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT								
RESTRUCTURE OF EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY SERVICE (EPS) AND THE CHILD AND ADOLESCENT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE								
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by Decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by	
Part 1	All	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection (Cllr Orhan)	Wednesday 18 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	None	Non-Key	Jenny Tosh 020 8379 3284	Yes Tuesday 17 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	

### **DECISION**

**AGREED:** subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Wednesday 18<sup>th</sup> February 2015: The following changes within the service: as part of Phase 1

- The Enfield Educational Psychology Service/Child and Adolescent Mental Health Service (EPS/CAMHS) remains as a discrete service 2015/16
- The new service will be led by a Principal Educational Psychologist/Head of Service (EP/HOS).
- A new Commissioning Service Level Agreement (SLA) will be established between the Mental Health Trust (MHT) and the Council.
- Following the appointment of the new principal EP/HOS a complete restructure of service delivery will be planned to commence implementation by April 2016 (phase 2)
- The service will be renamed as part of Phase 2.

Details of the department restructure are set out in the Report.

## **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:**

- 1. **Retaining existing structures** This option will not address the demands of the new legislation, will not make the best use of limited resources and will not meet the service savings requirements.
- 2. **Deleting both services** Although it would be possible to go to a Total Commissioning model of service provision, this would require extensive planning over a significant period of at least 2 years and full buy-in from Health partners to ensure all required outcomes were being met. It also reduces the LA's capacity to intervene at the earliest opportunity. Further consideration could be given to this model at a later time, but as things stand there would be a risk in trying to achieve this, deliver the immediate savings required and maintain outcomes in the period of time currently available.

- 3. Carry on with original proposal Bringing together the Special Education Needs team with EPS and CAMHS at the same time as implementing the new SEND agenda is an unrealistic task considering the requirements of both. In addition the proposed HOS post did not attract candidates with the required experience or skills.
- 4. **Maintain EPS and withdraw (either partly or completely) from Local Authority provision of CAMHS** This would require that the Health commissioners would fund all the CAMHS provision and is not achievable in the current financial climate. It would also limit our capacity to deliver our early intervention strategy therefore put too many vulnerable young people at risk.

#### **REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The School and Children's Services department recognises the importance of the work of the service in early intervention and prevention, particularly as Enfield is seeing increasing numbers and levels of deprivation and complexity of need. At a time when there is increasing pressure on Council's budgets it is vital that the proposed changes to the structure, described in section 4 of the report, enable EPS and CAMHS Services to:

- Continue to work in partnership with Schools, Health Services and other providers to deliver positive outcomes for Enfield's children and young people.
- Maintain a core service that supports the LA in meeting its statutory responsibilities towards pupils SEN/AEN.
- Build its capacity for income generation by increasing the offer of purchased services.
- Maintain its reputation for delivering excellent service locally and nationally.
- Continue to make the best use of its highly skilled workforce to deliver integrated services.
- Continue to play a key role in responding to the increasing complexity of children and young people with SEND and mental health problems in Enfield.
- Develop links with other LA's to share expertise and explore ways to maintain high quality services.
- Deliver the required savings.

The new structure will meet the recommendations of the Enfield 2017 programme by developing new and creative approaches to integrated service delivery, and by making greater efficiencies across the Council, as well as delivering on the new Statutory requirements the Council faces.

## **BACKGROUND:**

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.

Publication of Decision List 2/59/14-15 –10<sup>th</sup> February 2015

## **LIST REFERENCE: 3/59/14-15**

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT								
PROVISION OF MANNED GUARDING AND ALARM RESPONSE								
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	(relevant affected Decision taken by exempt by		Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by	
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Director of Finance, Resources & Customer Services (James Rolfe)	Wednesday 18 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	None	Key Decision KD 4061	Stuart Simper 020 8379 3032	Yes Tuesday 17 <sup>th</sup> February 2015	

#### **DECISION**

**AGREED:** subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Wednesday 18<sup>th</sup> February 2015:

It is recommended that the extension period is agreed. As detailed in the Report.

## **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:**

The alternative considered were:-

- i. Not to provide manned guarding and alarm response. As this option will leave staff, the public and Council sites vulnerable, this option has been rejected.
- ii. Whilst Facilities Management (FM) looked at the contract recently let to supply contract Security staff for the Enfield Public Safety Centre (EPSC), an extension letter was drafted, agreed by Legal and sent to Guarding UK for the period to and including 06 October 2014. Regrettably, the EPSC provision proved to be more expensive. Please see the Part Two Report for further details.

## **REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Performance has been satisfactory and the extension period will allow the Council to further consider the London Living Wage.

## **BACKGROUND:**

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.