

PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 66/14-15

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2014/2015

Date Published: Tuesday 31st March 2015

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to: – James Kinsella (ext.4041)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF FOBLISHED DECISIONS -31 March 2015								
List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call- In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page Number
1/66/14- 15	Cabinet Member for, Housing & Estate Regeneration (Cllr Oykener)	Friday 10 th April 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Post Tender Report Phase 4 Borough Kitchens and Bathroom Replacement	Key Decision KD 4100/U191	All	Yes Thursday 9 th April 2015	1
2/66/14- 15	Cabinet Member for Environment & Community Safety (Cllr Bond)	Friday 10 th April 2015	Part 1	Ladysmith Road to Brimsdown Cycle Route	Non-Key	Enfield Highway & Southbury	Yes Thursday 9 th April 2015	2-3
3/66/14- 15	Cabinet Member for, Housing & Estate Regeneration (Cllr Oykener) & Cabinet Member for Finance (Cllr Stafford)	Friday 10 th April 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Investment in Private Rented Sector – Loan Instalment 3	Key Decision KD 4057	All	Yes Thursday 9 th April 2015	4-5
4/66/14- 15	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection (Cllr Orhan)	Friday 10 th April 2015	Part 1	Innovation Fund Grant – Creation of the Family and Adolescent Support Hub (FASH)	Key Decision KD 3258	All	Yes Thursday 9 th April 2015	6

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS –31st March 2015

Green, Town, Turkey Street, Upper Edmonton,
--

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact James Kinsella (020 8379 4041), Governance Team.

CALL – IN UPDATE

Please note no decisions have been called in for review from the following list:

List No.64 Issued on Wednesday 18th March 2015 with a Call In date of Wednesday 25th March 2015.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/66/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT										
POST TENDER REPORT PHASE 4 BOROUGH WIDE KITCHENS AND BATHROOM REPLACEMENT										
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by			
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Cabinet Member for, Housing & Estate Regeneration (Cllr Oykener)	Friday 10 th April 2015	None	Key Decision KD 4100/U191	Paul Hemmant 020 8375 8312	Yes Thursday 9 th April 2015			
DECISION										
 AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following Decision will come into effect on Friday 10th April 2015: That the proposed scheme is be funded form the Housing Capital Programme. That approval is given to accept the tender that represents best value to the Council submitted by Contractor (1) in the sum of £3,469,288.00 excluding fees. That approval is given Professional Fees for providing multi-disciplinary services of £132,458.00, giving a total scheme cost of £3,601,746.00 over the financial years 2014/15 to 2016/17. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED: The scheme forms part of the Decent Homes Programme, which is a Government initiative to bring all housing stock up to a decent standard by 2015. It was assessed as a priority on the stock condition survey and therefore no other alternatives have been considered. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS: 										
1. The dwellings identified in this package have been identified from the stock condition survey as requiring renewal of kitchens and bathrooms, heating and rewiring window renewals and other fabric renewals to address their current non-decency.										
 The works within individual properties will include some or all of the following subject to surveys prior to works commencing: Kitchen renewals encompassing enhanced layout where possible, new flooring, tiling, electrics, pluming and decorations throughout; bathroom renewals encompassing new flooring, tiling and decorations; full or partial rewiring of properties, in addition to new or upgraded heating systems. Houses may also include window, roof and rainwater goods renewals. This scheme forms part of Enfield Homes' on-going programme to maintain its housing stock and fulfil its landlord obligations. 										
BACKGROUND:										
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.										

LIST REFERENCE: 2/66/14-15

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT										
LADYSMITH ROAD TO BRIMSDOWN CYCLE ROUTE										
Pa	rt 1 or 2	Wards	Decision taken by	Date Decision	Interest	Category of	Contact Details	Eligible for		
(r	elevant	affected	to come into declared in decision Call-in & Date							
е	xempt	by		effect	respect of the	(i.e. Key, Non-Key,		to be called in		
Pa	agraph)	decision			Decision	Council, Urgent)		by		
		Enfield	Cabinet Member for				Jonathan	Yes		
	Part 1	Highway &	Environment &	Friday 10 th April	None	Non-Key	Goodson	Thursday 9 th		
		Southbury	Community Safety	2015			020 8379 3474	April 2015		
(Cllr Bond)										
DECISION										
AG	REED: sub	oject to no cal	ll-in being received, the follo	wing decision will c	come into effect or	n Friday 10 th April 201	5:			
1.	1. To implement the proposed cycling facilities detailed in the Report.									
2.										
3.	3. To seek agreement with Enfield Council's Parks Team as to how the future maintenance costs of cycling infrastructure within parks will be									
	assessed.									
			CONSIDERED:							
1. Do Nothing: To do nothing would be to miss the opportunity of providing attractive facilities for cyclists riding between Enfield Town and										
Brimsdown, thereby risking supressing the growth in cycling to which the Council aspires.										
2. Alternative Routes: The overview plan provided shows that the proposals form a direct East-West route for cyclists, whilst avoiding busier roads.										
	Alternative alignments would need to follow Mollison Avenue and the A110 Southbury Road (to the South), or else Green Street and Carterhatch									
	•	,	Il established that a fear of	•	•			•		
			w is clearly preferable to the	alternatives descr	ibed above in ter	ms of encouraging mo	re cycling amongst	novice and less-		
	confident	riders.								

Publication of Decision List 2/66/14-15 – 20th March 2015

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. Introducing the proposals shown will provide a direct, quiet road and largely unbroken route for cyclists between the River Lee and Ladysmith Road, thus linking the Enfield Town locale to that of Brimsdown. For comparison, the most direct alternative route using busier roads would follow the A1055 Mollison Avenue and the A110 Southbury Road; a far less appealing journey for most prospective riders.
- 2. The proposed facilities will: improve comfort and safety for those cyclists who already use the route (or parts thereof); improve access for users of mobility scooters and speciality cycles; and formalist and legalise the use of some key off-road paths like Soldier's Alley. The present informal mixing of pedestrians and cyclists along this relatively wide alley appears to occur without riders causing undue anxiety or hindrance to other users.
- 3. In addition to encouraging existing users of the route to ride more often, the new facilities should also encourage many non-cyclists and infrequent riders to give cycling a try. Cycling levels are low compared to other parts of London and many residents report a fear of mixing with traffic as a key barrier to travelling by cycle. Routes that allow cyclists to make relatively short, direct journeys avoiding busy roads are crucial to encouraging new and less-confident riders onto their bikes.
- 4. The route in question forms part of a network of quieter routes that the Council aspires to complete by 2018 under its Cycle Enfield programme. The supporting documentation for the programme sets out the benefits that can be gained by converting short car journeys to cycle journeys in terms of better public health and lower travel costs for cyclists, and a less hostile and congested road network for all residents.

BACKGROUND:

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.

Publication of Decision List 2/66/14-15 – 31st March 2015

LIST REFERENCE: 3/66/14-15 SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT **INVESTMENT IN PRIVATE RENTED SECTOR – LOAN INSTALLMENT 3** Part 1 or 2 **Category of Contact Details** Eligible for Wards **Decision taken by** Date Interest (relevant affected Decision to declared decision Call-in & Date (i.e. Key, Nonexempt by in respect come into to be called in Paragraph) decision of the Key, Council, effect by Decision Urgent) Cabinet Member for, Housing & Yes Friday 10th Thursday 9th Part 1 & 2 All **Estate Regeneration** Key Decision Havley Coates None (Cllr Oykener) & April 2015 KD 4057 020 8379 3087 April 2015 (Para 3) **Cabinet Member for Finance** (Cllr Stafford)

DECISION

AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Friday 10th April 2015:

- 1. To note that Cabinet delegated authority to the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services; Director of Health, Housing & Adult Social Care; Cabinet Member for Finance; and the Cabinet Member for Housing and Estate Regeneration, to finalise the timing, profile of acquisitions and the details of the funding arrangements for each phase of the portfolio development, based on the most viable finance stream available, type and level of housing need at that time, and the Council's best interests (KD 3782).
- To note that the terms of the Facility Letter were agreed by the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services; Director of Health, Housing & Adult Social Care; Cabinet Member for Finance; and the Cabinet Member for Housing and Estate Regeneration in September 2014 and the Housing Gateway Board of Directors in April 2014.
- 3. To agree to access the next instalment of the loan and on-lend this to Housing Gateway Limited in accordance with the Facility Letter.
- 4. To note that the treasury management decisions regarding the Council's borrowing to enable the on-lending to Housing Gateway Limited will be subject to the Council's existing arrangements for Governance and specialist advice.
- 5. Note that KD 3782 provided authority for the Council to grant the first instalment of the loan to Housing Gateway to the value of the amount set out in the part 2 report and a further amount set out in the part 2 report was agreed in December 2014.
- 6. To agree to grant the second instalment of the loan to Housing Gateway Limited and issue a second Facility Letter to the value of the amount set out in the part 2 report. To note that Schedule 3 of the Facility Letter enables the loan to be accessed in instalments and the terms of the Facility Letter will remain unchanged.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

- As outlined in KD3782, a number of alternative options were considered in the development of the Investment in Private Rented Sector business case.
- 2. In the development of the Facility Letter a number of alternative options were also considered, including a loan with a single instalment or the absence of a formal agreement. These options were discounted, as a formal agreement safeguards the interests of both the Council and Housing Gateway and the ability to access the loan in instalments provides greater flexibility.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Cabinet approved the business case, financial model and overall financial envelope in February 2014 as per KD 3782.
- Housing Gateway Limited was established in February 2014 and the company is now fully operational. The acquisition process is well established and the next phase of the loan is required to enable the acquisitions to continue.
- A Facility Letter has been agreed by the Council and Housing Gateway Limited which sets out the terms of the loan agreement and provides clear terms of repayment. This was drafted by Trowers and Hamlin acting on behalf of both the Council and Housing Gateway Limited.
- The Facility Letter enables Housing Gateway Limited to access the second instalment of the loan in a series of instalments, under Schedule 3 of the Facility Letter. This will enable the company to access funding to coincide with the speed of acquisitions and better manage its cash flow.
- A six month review has been undertaken by Social Finance which reviewed the assumptions in the original business case and progress to date. This concluded that the business case remains undiminished and measurable progress has been made in all areas of the company's operation.

BACKGROUND:

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.

LIST REFERENCE: 4/66/14-15

SUBJECT TI	TLE OF THE R	EPORT		INCE. 4/00/14-15					
INNOVATION FUND GRANT – CREATION OF THE FAMILY AND ADOLESCENT SUPPORT HUB (FASH)									
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision to come into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by		
Part 1	All	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection (Cllr Orhan)	Friday 10 th April 2015	None	Key Decision KD 3258	Paul Sutton 020 8375 5840	Yes Thursday 9 th April 2015		
DECISION		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·			
 1 x HS1 FA 1x MM1 So 6 x Social V 2 x Psychol 1 x Senior 1 x Triage V 2 x Health I 4 x Youth V 1 x Play Th 2 x Play Wo 2 x Admin V 	SH Manager £91 bcial Work Team M Vorkers (SW2/3) & logists £141,000 Teacher £65,000 Worker £41,000 Living Advisors £9 Vorkers (A2) £118 erapist £65,000 orkers £76,000 Workers (Agency)	Aanager £54,000 £276,000 95,000 3,000 £69,000		Finitioning new posts	ioi one year.				
There is a Governme and tackle REASONS F	n increasing de ent expects high this difficult con OR RECOMMI		garding service deliv nas been considered	very. The Governm	nent has given £2million p	lus for a year that w	ill help (FASH) try		
expectatio	ns.	a successful bid process to e	enable Enfield to buil	d the required capa	acity to respond to this gro	wing need and to me	eet new regulatory		
BACKGROU		Dort 1 roport is sucilable via 4	ha Dagigiga list lists	n the Coursell's De-					
Please note that	at a copy of the	Part 1 report is available via t	he Decision list link of lication of Decision Lis		, , ,				
		Pub	lication of Decision LIS	1 4/00/14-15 - 31 Ma					

		L	-ISI REFERENC	E: 5/00/14-15						
	TITLE OF THE REPORT									
_	ONS TO ENFIELD RESIDENTS PR				-					
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by			
Part 1Bowes, Bush Hill Park, Chase, Cockfosters, Edmonton Green, Enfield Highlands, Jubilee, Lower Edmonton, Palmers Green, Ponders End, Southgute Southgate, Southgate Green, Torw, Turkey Street, Upper Edmonton, Winchmore HillEnfield Residents Priority Fund CommitteeFriday 10 th April 2015Councillors Brett and Georgou declared a non-pecuniary interest in applications BOW058, BOW060, BOW061, BOW062Non-KeyJoanne Stacey 020 8379 3792Yes Thursday 9 th April 2015										
DECISION										
	ubject to no call-in being received, t		•		•					
	the following applications be approv			s Priority Fund (as detailed	in Appendix A c	of the report)				
	Ward (BOW058) – Green Lanes De									
	Ward (BOW060) – Traffic Calming									
	Ward (BOW061) – Russell Road G	•								
	Ward (BOW062) – North Circular D		•	200*						
	ill Park Ward (BUSH030) – Better C						- (
	ill Park Ward (BUSH032), Town Wa	`	, ,	Tom Theatre Project - £1,0	00 (Bush Hill Pa	ark) plus £1,405	o (Town)			
	Nard (CHAS031) – Community Fur									
	Nard (CHAS032) – St George's Da									
	Nard (CHAS033), Enfield Lock Wal	· · · ·		· · · · · ·	-roject for Older	People - £3,45	ou per ward			
	Nard (CHAS036) – Working Togeth			l - £4,04U						
	sters Ward (CF022) – Trent Park Ec			6/14-15 –31 st March 2015						
		Publication		0/14-15-31 March 2015						

LIST REFERENCE: 5/66/14-15

- Edmonton Green Ward (EDM057) Autism After School Club £4,558
- Edmonton Green Ward (EDM059) Performing Arts Club £5,000
- Enfield Lock Ward (ENFL032) Skills Exchange £5,000
- Enfield Lock Ward (ENFL035) Inspiring Future Doctors £4,750
- Enfield Lock Ward (ENFL036) Outreach and Youth Engagement DJ and MC Academy £4,900
- Enfield Lock Ward (ENFL037) Butterfly £1,945
- Grange Ward (GRA018) DJ and MC Academy Event £3,014
- Haselbury Ward (HAS035), Lower Edmonton Ward (LOED059) Alevi Community Capacity Building £2,500 per ward
- Highlands Ward (HILA012) Street Lighting Cotswold Way/Glenbrook South £5,000
- Highlands Ward (HILA014) Highlands Safer Neighbourhoods Team CCTV Cameras £3,212
- Highlands Ward (HILA015) Intergenerational Arts Project £3,200
- Highlands Ward (HILA016) Support for Young Adult Carers £2,628
- Highway Ward (HIWAY43) Stitching Your Own Sewing Project £4,650
- Jubilee Ward (JUB041) Mobile CCTV Camera £5,000
- Jubilee Ward (JUB043) Flight Training Simulator £3,500
- Jubilee Ward (JUB046) Talk the Walk Fitness Project £1,744
- Lower Edmonton Ward (LOED056) Aiding Project for Diverse £4,300*
- Lower Edmonton Ward (LOED071), Ponders End Ward (PE55) Collateral Insanity Theatre Production £5,000 (Lower Edmonton), £4,995 (Ponders End)
- Palmers Green Ward (PAL049) Tatem Park Butterfly Board £3,620
- Palmers Green Ward (PAL050) Toys for Solace Refuge £2,000
- Ponders End Ward (PE051) Carers Into Employment £4,950
- Ponders End Ward (PE053) Electric Quarter Art Project £3,250
- Ponders End Ward (PE054) Be Strong Be Active £3,000
- Ponders End Ward (PE056) Celebration Events and Youth Access for Studio Training DJ and MC Academy £5,000
- Ponders End Ward (PE057) Building Bridges £4,950
- Ponders End Ward (PE062) Employability with Interview Skills and Jobsearch £4,282
- Southbury Ward (SOUB039) Schools Rugby World Cup Day £4,000
- Southbury Ward (SOUB040) Gang Intervention Work £4,680

Publication of Decision List 5/66/14-15 –31st March 2015

- Southgate Ward (SGT029) Showcase Your Talent DJ and MC Academy £5,000
- Southgate Ward (SGT030) Air Cadets Equipment £5,000
- Southgate Ward (SGT031), Winchmore Hill (WHM031) Scout Field £724 (Southgate) and £4,047 (Winchmore Hill)
- Southgate Green Ward (SGTG024) Millennium Green £4,550
- Town Ward (TOWN039) Aldersbrook Park Signboards £2,000
- Town Ward (TOWN040) Alleygate on Russell Road £3,500
- Town Ward (TOWN041) Chase Green Community Event £350
- Town Ward (TOWN043), Turkey Street Ward (TST059) Skills Exchange £1,000 (Town) and £5,000 (Turkey Street)
- Turkey Street Ward (TST066) Creating Change Pilot Project £5,000
- Upper Edmonton Ward (UPED060) Dementia Care Befrienders £4,860
- 2. *The following applications be approved subject to conditions:
- Bush Hill Park (BUSH030) Better Community Communication £838 was approved subject to the condition that there could be no advertising for political purposes.
- Enfield Lock (ENFL033) Outreach Project for Older People £3,450 subject to signing by the ward councillors.
- Lower Edmonton (LOED056) Aiding Project for Diverse Communities £4,300 subject to engaging with Public Health on the smoking cessation project for the Turkish Community.
- 3. The following applications were deferred, for consideration at a future meeting of the Sub Committee, to enable more information to be provided, before a decision was made:
- Bowes (BOW059) Refuse Freighter Pilot was deferred to review the funding and for the application to be signed.
- Bush Hill Park (BUSH031) and Winchmore Hill (WMH032) Friends of Firs Farm Park Equipment was deferred to allow the Friends of the Park more time to seek approval to the use of the land and a storage unit.
- Chase (CHAS034) Think Before You Park was deferred for comments from Environment.
- Cockfosters (CF023) Car Park Security was deferred to find out whether planning permission was required.
- Enfield Lock (ENFL031) Gobonimo Cup was deferred to enable clarification on the detail of the project. A maximum of £3,700 would be available subject to justification.
- Enfield Lock (ENFL40) Highway (HIWAY46) African Caribbean Supplementary School to allow for the provision of evidence of support and sustainability.

- Grange (GRA015) Landscaping Improvements to Station Approach was deferred to allow for costings from Highways.
- Highway (HIWAY44) Pathway to Employment deferred for more detailed costings.
- Highway (HIWAY45) Turkey Street (TST065) Transition to Employment deferred for supporting evidence.
- Jubilee (JUB042) Lower Edmonton (LOED067) Information and Advice for Turkish Speakers deferred for clarification on costings.
- Jubilee (JUB047) Boundary Bank Open Space deferred pending clarification on the planning situation.
- Ponders End (PE048) Two Brewers Memorial Garden for more detailed information on the project.
- Ponders End (PE049) IT Skills Training for Elderly People deferred for more information on the budget.
- Southbury (SOUB038) Zebra Children's Club to enable the application to be re-profiled and for more information on project sustainability.
- Turkey Street (TST060) Elsinge Football Club Project deferred for more information on the venue and a further breakdown of resources.
- Winchmore Hill (WHM028) Public Notice Boards deferred to allow Highways to cost accurately.
- 4. The following applications were not approved as they did not meet the criteria:
- Edmonton Green (EDM055) Active Age Befriending Project as the project would benefit very few people and there were no plans to continue after the initial 12 project, making it unsustainable.
- Edmonton Green (EDM058) Narrowing the Gap Improving Language Skills for Children as it was for the school to fund teacher training initiatives.
- Enfield Lock (ENFL034) Com-Snook as this was a private company and would provide no benefit to the wider community.
- Haselbury (HAS038) St Demetrios Youth Club as it does not provide good value for money.
- Jubilee (JUB036) Aiding Young Children as the fund could not support the day to day running of a club.
- Jubilee (JUB044) Nightingale Outdoor Gym as it would not provide a benefit to the wider community.
- Lower Edmonton (LOED068) Coffee Mornings for Mothers as it was a short term project providing limited benefit at this time of year.
- Lower Edmonton (LOED070) Discovery through Arts and Crafts as there was no evidence of consultation, no community support and was expensive for a low output.
- Ponders End (PE052) Wall/Alley Gate Security Project as the wall would need planning permission and there were health and safety concerns.
- Southgate (SGT028) May Day Fair as it was a profit making event.
- Town (TOWN044) English Booster Groups as the school already received funds from the pupil premium for this purpose.
- Turkey Street (TST062) Families for Families Legal and Rights Advice as it was a private organisation and would not benefit the wider community
- Turkey Street (TST062) Sew/Box Off as this was a private organisation, there was no impact on the wider community and it was not sustainable.

5. The chair of the sub-committee be given delegated authority to provide approval in cases where the applications are agreed in principle, subject to certain conditions and these conditions have been met.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

That the projects are not considered and the funding is not allocated, this would not be recommended as this will not support community engagement and will not allow residents the opportunity to further improve the local area in which they live or work.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

The projects submitted have been proposed and developed by the local people of Enfield, to help improve the social, economic or environmental wellbeing by tackling local need and deprivation. The projects all support the Council's vision of making Enfield a better place to live and work, delivering fairness for all, growth and sustainability and strong communities.

BACKGROUND

Please refer to item 4 on the Cabinet Sub Committee agenda for Monday 30th March 2015

Publication of Decision List 5/66/14-15 –31st March 2015