



## **PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 41/15-16**

### **MUNICIPAL YEAR 2015/2016**

Date Published: Tuesday 17<sup>th</sup> November 2015

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:

– James Kinsella (ext.4041)

*Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated*

### INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 17<sup>th</sup> November 2015

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/41/15-16	Cabinet Member for Housing & Housing Regeneration (Cllr Oykenor)	Wednesday 25 <sup>th</sup> November 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Post Tender Report for Gainsborough and Bonnington Houses – Service Repair Works	Key Decision KD 3899	Southbury	Yes Tuesday 24 <sup>th</sup> November 2015	1
2/41/15-16	Cabinet Member for Finance & Efficiency (Cllr Stafford)	Wednesday 25 <sup>th</sup> November 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Procurement of a Co-Sourcing Provider for Legal Services	Key Decision KD 4162	All	Yes Tuesday 24 <sup>th</sup> November 2015	2-3

#### DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact James Kinsella (020 8379 4041), Governance Team.

#### CALL – IN UPDATE

Please note no decisions have been called in for review from the following lists:

**List No.38 Issued on Friday 6<sup>th</sup> November with a Call-In date of Friday 13<sup>th</sup> November 2015**

**LIST REFERENCE: 1/41/15-16**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
POST TENDER REPORT FOR GAINSBOROUGH AND BONNINGTON HOUSES – SERVICE REPAIR WORKS							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Southbury	Cabinet Member for Housing & Housing Regeneration (Cllr Oyken)	Wednesday 25 <sup>th</sup> November 2015	None	Key Decision KD 3899	Paul Hemmant 020 8379 8312	Yes Tuesday 24 <sup>th</sup> November 2015
<b>DECISION</b>							
<p><b>AGREED:</b> subject to no call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Wednesday 25<sup>th</sup> November 2015:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the proposed scheme is to be funded from the 2015/2016 Housing Capital Programme.</li> <li>2. That approval is given to accept the lowest price tender complying with the tender requirements of the Council submitted by Contractor 1. Further details are in the Part Two Report.</li> <li>3. That approval is given for Professional Fees (Multi-disciplinary) as detailed in the Part Two Report.</li> </ol>							
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b>							
An alternative option was considered to renew all the above ground drainage and mains water supply pipework it its entirety throughout both blocks with residents in occupation. However this option was not considered viable on the grounds of overall cost to the Council and potential disruption the works would cause to residents. In addition the Council's long term plans for the estate were also a deciding factor in proceeding with the repair option.							
<b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b>							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The two blocks are eleven storeys high. They are identical and each comprises 88 flats with a wing each side of a central lift lobby, containing 4 flats to each floor. Each pair of flats shares a service duct accessible from the corridor. This gives a total of 176 flats with 8 service ducts each serving 22 flats.</li> <li>2. The works comprise of repair of continual leaks to the heating, hot and cold water pipes and soil and vent pipes within the ducts.</li> <li>3. These works may require removal and reinstatement of kitchen units/bathroom fittings and associated redecoration.</li> <li>4. In addition the ducts have to be upgraded to comply with the compartmentation requirements of current fire regulations.</li> </ol>							
<b>BACKGROUND</b>							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.							

**LIST REFERENCE: 2/41/15-16**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
PROCUREMENT OF A CO-SOURCING PROVIDER FOR LEGAL SERVICES							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Cabinet Member for Finance & Efficiency (Cllr Stafford)	Wednesday 25 <sup>th</sup> November 2015	None	Key Decision KD 4162	Magdaline Paraschou 020 8379 4477	Yes Tuesday 24 <sup>th</sup> November 2015
<b>DECISION</b>							
<p><b>AGREED:</b> subject to no call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Wednesday 25<sup>th</sup> November 2015:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To agree the service delivery approach of co-sourcing and its associated benefits to the most pragmatic for the Council recognising that it will strengthen and enhance the provision of the in-house legal services.</li> <li>2. To agree the outcome of the procurement process and authorise Legal Services' decision to award the contract to 'Contractor A' for the period of two (2) years with the possibility of a further one (1) year extension, subject to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The framework being further extended by the Crown Commercial Service (CCS), to 31<sup>st</sup> January 2017;</li> <li>ii. Adequate funding and;</li> <li>iii. The satisfactory performance of 'Contractor A'.</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. That the Portfolio Member for Finance &amp; Efficiency delegates finalisation and signature of the contract (and all ancillary documentation) to the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services.</li> </ol>							
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b>							
<p>Alternative options are detailed in full, in the table 1 of the Report. This outlines 6 different delivery options and summarises the key advantages and disadvantages of each option.</p>							

**REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

1. The nature of the Council in terms of its complexity, style and culture requires a new service delivery paradigm that is flexible, allows for partnership working but yet, remains under direct Council control.
2. Collaborative approaches to service delivery are known to deliver a wide range of benefits that enhance competitiveness and performance (such as better cost management, improved time and resources; and delivering incremental business value and innovation).
3. Co-sourcing is the most credible option to deliver the transformation and leadership necessary to achieve the outputs discussed at paragraph 3.5 in the Report.
4. It was recommended that the Council access the Framework and undertake a further competition as this would provide Legal Services with a more efficient way of identifying a successful supplier. The business case was considered and approved by the Council's Strategic Procurement Board in accordance with the Council's Contract Procedure Rules ("CPR"s).
5. Competitive tension was maintained throughout the tendering process and evaluation rigour was maintained in line with the stated criteria and evaluation approach. In addition, benchmarking was undertaken to determine value for money.

**BACKGROUND**

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.