

Local Area Agreement: Targets and performance management

Judith Ingham
Assistant Director,
Corporate Improvement

LAA Performance Management

- Measuring performance
- Performance issues
- Action to improve
- National changes to the LAA
- Planning for the revised LAA

Measuring performance

- “Inherited targets”
- Quarterly reports
- Financial reporting; accountability for funding allocated through the LAA
- Performance against milestones
- Red, Amber, Green notation
- “Stretch” targets receive particular attention – attainment will attract £ms in reward grant

Action to improve

- The Council is the accountable body
- Statutory Officers Group (SOG)
- Enfield Partnership Panel (EPP)
discusses performance; asks for action plans; sets criteria for funding bids
- Improvement action outside the Council is by consensus

National changes to the LAA

- Basket of 200 Government PIs replaces Best Value Performance Indicators
- ESP has to choose 35 and set targets, add 18 mandatory DCSF targets
- Represent Enfield's characteristics and priorities for the future – place-shaping
- GoL will press for Government priorities

Changes to LAA, part 2

- Area-based grant reduces ring-fencing of Government funding, ESP will choose where to spend
- Emphasis on use of mainstream budgets to deliver targets in partnership
- Duty of various Government agencies and public services to participate in the ESP's deliberations and pay heed to targets set

Planning for the revised LAA

- ESP working group to be set up
- Preparing a list of priorities for Enfield through the ESP TAGs, possible public consultation
- Basket of 200 PIs will be published late Oct/Nov
- Negotiations with GoL Jan-March
- Devising protocol for funding bids