



## **PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 45/15-16**

### **MUNICIPAL YEAR 2015/2016**

Date Published: Friday 4<sup>th</sup> December 2015

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:

– James Kinsella (ext.4041)

*Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated*

### INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 4<sup>th</sup> December 2015

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/45/15-16	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson)	Monday 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	Part 1	Junction Protection Programme – Mandeville Road Area	Non-Key	Enfield Lock	Yes Friday 11 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	1
2/45/15-16	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson)	Monday 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	Part 1	Junction Protection Programme – Croyland Road Area	Non-Key	Lower Edmonton	Yes Friday 11 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	2
3/45/15-16	Cabinet Member for Housing & Housing Regeneration (Cllr Oykenner)	Monday 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Post Tender Report for Edmonton Green – Major Works External Enveloping and Associated Repairs	Key Decision KD 4172	Edmonton Green	Yes Friday 11 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	3

#### DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact James Kinsella (020 8379 4041), Governance Team.

#### CALL – IN UPDATE

Please note no decisions have been called in for review from the following lists:

**List No.43 Issued on Tuesday 24<sup>th</sup> November with a Call-In date of Tuesday 1<sup>st</sup> December 2015**

**LIST REFERENCE: 1/45/15-16**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
JUNCTION PROTECTION PROGRAMME – MANDEVILLE ROAD AREA							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Enfield Lock	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson)	Monday 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	None	Non-Key	Craig Gough 020 8379 3566	Yes Friday 11 <sup>th</sup> December 2015
<b>DECISION</b>							
<p><b>AGREED:</b> subject to no call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Monday 14<sup>th</sup> December 2015:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To consider and note comments in relation to a recent consultation on proposals to introduce new waiting restrictions at junctions.</li> <li>2. To approve the making of the Traffic Management Order under the Road Traffic Regulations Act 1984 for the introduction of waiting restrictions as detailed in Appendix B, of this report, and at an estimated costs of £4,000.00. This is to be met from the Corridors, Neighbourhoods, and Supporting Measures allocation for 2015/16 provided by Transport for London.</li> </ol>							
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b>							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Do Nothing – This would see some drivers continue to park their vehicles at or close to junctions and corners in this area. Access would continue to be affected which could impact on waste collections and potentially the emergency services.</li> <li>2. Alternative Measures – Residents have asked for the proposed lengths of yellow lines at junction to be reduced. As indicated in the report, the Highway Code states drivers are not to park a vehicle within 10 metres of a junction. There has been analysis of turning movements at the junctions and where possible, have put forward options for reduced lengths of yellow lines. Further reductions are not recommended.</li> <li>3. A controlled parking zone would only be considered if residents are able to demonstrate that the majority of households support that proposal. However, there is no clear indication and insufficient evidence to suggest this proposal would be supported at present and as such it is not recommended to delay this scheme by pursuing that option at this time.</li> </ol>							
<b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b>							
Vehicles that are parked at, or close to junctions can cause obstruction and prevent larger vehicles from gaining access. Access for refuse collections and the emergency services can be restricted. Visibility for and of pedestrians waiting to cross at a junction can be impaired, as can access to a safe and convenient crossing point. This can be a particular concern for vulnerable road users, including those with impaired mobility.							
<b>BACKGROUND</b>							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages.							

**LIST REFERENCE: 2/45/15-16**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
JUNCTION PROTECTION PROGRAMME – CROYLAND ROAD AREA							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1	Lower Edmonton	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson)	Monday 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	None	Non-Key	Craig Gough 020 8379 3566	Yes Friday 11 <sup>th</sup> December 2015
<b>DECISION</b>							
<p><b>AGREED:</b> subject to no call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Monday 14<sup>th</sup> December 2015:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To consider and note comments in relation to a recent consultation on proposals to introduce new waiting restrictions at junctions.</li> <li>2. To approve the making of the Traffic Management Order under the Road Traffic Regulations Act 1984 for the introduction of waiting restrictions as detailed in Appendix B, of this report, and at an estimated costs of £4,000.00. This is to be met from the Corridors, Neighbourhoods, and Supporting Measures allocation for 2015/16 provided by Transport for London.</li> </ol>							
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b>							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Do Nothing – This would see some drivers continue to park their vehicles at or close to junctions and corners in this area. Access would continue to be affected which could impact on waste collections and potentially the emergency services.</li> <li>2. Alternative Measures – Residents have asked for the proposed lengths of yellow lines at junction to be reduced. As indicated in the report, the Highway Code states drivers are not to park a vehicle within 10 metres of a junction. There has been analysis of turning movements at the junctions and where possible, have put forward options for reduced lengths of yellow lines. Further reductions are not recommended.</li> <li>3. A controlled parking zone would only be considered if residents are able to demonstrate that the majority of households support that proposal. However, there is no clear indication and insufficient evidence to suggest this proposal would be supported at present and as such it is not recommended to delay this scheme by pursuing that option at this time.</li> </ol>							
<b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b>							
Vehicles that are parked at, or close to junctions can cause obstruction and prevent larger vehicles from gaining access. Access for refuse collections and the emergency services can be restricted. Visibility for and of pedestrians waiting to cross at a junction can be impaired, as can access to a safe and convenient crossing point. This can be a particular concern for vulnerable road users, including those with impaired mobility.							
<b>BACKGROUND</b>							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages.							

**LIST REFERENCE: 3/45/15-16**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
POST TENDER REPORT FOR EDMONTON GREEN – MAJOR WORKS EXTERNAL ENVELOPING AND ASSOCIATED REPAIRS							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Edmonton Green	Cabinet Member for Housing & Housing Regeneration (Cllr Oykenner)	Monday 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	None	Key Decision KD 4172	Paul Hemmant 020 8375 8312	Yes Friday 11 <sup>th</sup> December 2015
<b>DECISION</b>							
<b>AGREED:</b> subject to no call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Monday 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2015: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the proposed scheme is to be funded from the Housing Capital Programme.</li> <li>2. That approval is given to accept the lowest price tender complying with the tender requirements of the Council submitted by Contractor 1 (Part Two for further details).</li> <li>3. To note the financial appraisal in support of the recommendations set out in the section 2 of the Part Two Report.</li> </ol>							
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b>							
The scheme forms part of the Council's major works programme, which includes for external refurbishment to ensure council property is maintained. It was assessed as a priority on the stock condition survey and therefore no other alternatives have been considered.							
<b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b>							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All contractors who tendered for this project have fulfilled the Council's criteria for undertaking this type and value of work.</li> <li>2. The recommended contractor has submitted the lowest priced tender and has been judged capable of complying with the specification and quality requirements.</li> <li>3. This scheme forms part of Enfield Homes' on-going programme to maintain its housing stock and fulfil its landlord obligations.</li> </ol>							
<b>BACKGROUND</b>							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.							