



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 56/15-16

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2015/2016

Date Published: Tuesday 2nd February 2016

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:

– James Kinsella (ext.4041)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 2nd February 2016

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/56/15-16	Cabinet Member for Finance & Efficiency (Cllr Stafford)	Monday 1 st February 2016	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Award of Contract To Ernst And Young Following Completion of A Tender Process	Key Decision KD 4262/U194	All	No Rule 16 Decision	1

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact James Kinsella (020 8379 4041), Governance Team.

CALL – IN UPDATE

Please note the following decision has been called in for review from the following list

List No.53 Issued on Friday 22nd January 2016 with a Call In date of Friday 29th January 2016

- Cabinet Key Decision – KD 4032 - Approval of Non-Minor Amendments to the Inter Authority Agreement and Replacement of Levy System with Menu Pricing Arrangements for Waste Disposal.
- Cabinet Key Decision – KD 4209 – Upper Secondary Autism Provision.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/56/15-16

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
AWARD OF CONTRACT TO ERNST AND YOUNG FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF A TENDER PROCESS							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Cabinet Member for Finance & Efficiency (Cllr Stafford)	Monday 1 st February 2016	None	Key Decision KD 4262/U194	Mark Stone 020 8379 3908	No Rule 16 Decision
DECISION							
<p>AGREED: subject to no call-in being received, the following decisions came into effect on Monday 1st February 2016.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To approve the award of the Contract to Ernst Young. 2. To note that the value of the contract and its construction is commercially sensitive until completion of the long term co-source arrangement approved by Cabinet on 18th November 2015. 3. To note the value of the contract as detailed in the Part Two Report. 4. To note that two elements of the tender proposals are interlinked with further details in the Part Two Report. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An option considered was the centralisation of existing staff from across the Council with no requirement for external support, but given the success of the pilot arrangement in Health Housing and Social Care Brokerage it was clear this was not the optimal solution either from a savings point of view, or a staff development one. 2. The option to move to a full tender immediately was also considered. However the Strategic Transition Board (STB) identified that it would be beneficial for all procurement and commissioning staff from across the Council to have worked alongside a delivery partner and to have benefited from the associated skills transfer within such an arrangement, before any recruitment and selection process to post with the new hub is undertaken, and so the time taken for a full tender process would make this option less favourable. Additionally, this option was discounted as the need to make in year savings greater than the cost of the contract meant that it was not financial viable to delay the process. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
<p>The STB identified that it would be beneficial for all procurement and commissioning staff from across the Council to have worked alongside a delivery partner and to have benefitted from the associated skills transfer within such an arrangement, before any recruitment and selection process to posts within the new hub is undertaken and there was a need for an interim arrangement to delivery procurement and commissioning and support the identification and delivery of in year savings across the Council.</p>							
BACKGROUND							
<p>Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.</p>							

SECTION 2: Notice of forthcoming Key Decisions for which it has not been possible to provide notice

This section lists the Key Decisions that are proposed to be taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The decisions listed are those for which it has not been possible to provide 28 days' notice and need to comply with the Council's urgency procedure. There are two routes that can be followed in this instance to enable a decision to be taken.

1. Rule 15 – General Exceptions

This procedure is used in cases when:

- it is not possible to provide the required 28 days' notice of a key decision; but
- it is possible to provide a minimum of 5 working days' notice prior to the decision being taken;

2. Rule 16 – Special Urgency

This procedure should only be used in exceptional circumstances where it is not possible to:

- provide the required 28 days' notice prior of a key decision; and
- provide a minimum of 5 working days' notice prior to the decision needing to be taken;

These decisions will not be eligible for call-in.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to: James Kinsella 020 8379 4041

Date Decision to be Taken	Ref	Part 1/2	Decision Taken by	Decision Proposed under Rule 15 or 16	Proposed Key Decision	Affected Wards	Page No.
1 st February 2016	Key Decision KD 4262/U194	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Cabinet Member for Finance & Efficiency (Cllr Stafford)	Rule 16	Award of Contract To Ernst And Young Following Completion of A Tender Process	All Wards	1
10 th February 2016	KD 4263/U195	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Cabinet	Rule 15	Intersourcing of the Council's Current Service Integration and Management Approach to IT Delivery	All Wards	2

DETAILS OF KEY DECISION

Part 1/2 and reason	Date Decision Planned	Decision of	Proposed Key Decision	Ward/s affected by the decision	Contact Name and Number
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	1 st February 2016	Cabinet Member for Finance & Efficiency (Cllr Stafford)	Award of Contract To Ernst And Young Following Completion of A Tender Process	All Wards	Mark Stone 020 8379 3908

Reasons for Urgency:

Urgent approval is sought for the implementation of this decision for the following reasons:

- In order to maintain professional oversight of our brokerage service, which undertakes social care placements and negotiations requiring appropriate supervision and leadership at all times to ensure safeguarding requirements are maintained for vulnerable.
- To ensure that savings are embedded in both the current financial year and the processes and procedures put in place to ensure future delivery of improved outcomes and lower cost to the council.
- To provide clarify to staff around line management and professional support following LB Waltham Forest deciding to withdraw from the joint procurement arrangement with Enfield Council.
- To ensure all current tenders remain fully compliant with Council procedures and EU Law and bidders are not disadvantaged by any 'gap' in the provision of clear, concise and appropriate information on the leadership of the Council's procurement and commissioning function. This has to be done by Monday 1st February 2016.

It was not able possible to give the required 28 day notice and include the decision on the Council's Key Decision List because of the timing of the decision by London Borough of Waltham Forest to withdraw from the joint procurement team and the associated changes this has bought to the Councils own timetable for change.

Background Papers:

No.	Description of Background Papers	Name and Telephone No. of Document Holder	Location/e-mail address
	None		

DETAILS OF KEY DECISION

Part 1/2 and reason	Date Decision Planned	Decision of	Proposed Key Decision	Ward/s affected by the decision	Contact Name and Number
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	10 th February 2016	Cabinet	Inter-sourcing of the Council's Current Service Integration and Management Approach to IT Delivery.	All Wards	Mark Stone 020 8379 3908

Reasons for Urgency:

This proposal has been negotiated within a commercial confidentiality agreement and the financial modelling is based on significant commercial discounts from alternative suppliers that are time limited in nature. In order to minimise costs of transition and reduce risk a positive decision needs to be communicated to our partner suppliers without creating unnecessary uncertainty or delay within this process that a 28 day publicised lead in time would create. Some of the staff involved within service areas affected by this proposal support and administer highly sensitive systems, including those that underpin our safeguarding services and being able to ensure we are able to offer clarity of message and strong leadership regarding future direction of the service will be essential to staff retention and given the pace that negotiations have been concluded this cannot be achieved by a 28 day notice period.

It was not able possible to give the required 28 day notice and include the decision on the Council's Key Decision List because give the above reason and given the pace that negotiations have been concluded this cannot be achieved by a 28 day notice period, within the budget and savings proposals being offered as several elements of the proposal are time limited.

Background Papers:

No.	Description of Background Papers	Name and Telephone No. of Document Holder	Location/e-mail address
	None		