

PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 71/15-16

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2015/2016

Date Published: Tuesday 19th April 2016

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to: – James Kinsella (ext.4041)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 19th April 2016

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/71/15-	Director of	Wednesday 27	Part 1 and	Award of Global	Key Decision	N/a	Yes	1
16	Finance,	April 2016	Part 2	Custody Services	KD4215		Tuesday 26	
	Resources and		(Para 3)	Contract for the			April 2016	
	Customer Services			London Borough of				
	(James Rolfe)			Enfield Pension Fund				
2/71/15-	Cabinet Member	Wednesday 27	Part 1	Borough Capital	Key Decision	All	Yes	2
16	for Environment	April 2016		Programme 2016/17	KD4274		Tuesday 26	
	(Cllr Anderson)			Public Realm			April 2016	
				Improvements				
				Programmes of Work				
3/71/15-	Cabinet Member	Wednesday 27	Part 1	Gilbert Street S106	Non Key	Turkey Street	Yes Tuesday 26	3
16	for Environment	April 2016		Works (Former Co-Op			April 2016	
	(Cllr Anderson)			Dairy Site)				

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact James Kinsella (020 8379 4041), Governance Team.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/71/15-16

April 2016 KD4215 020 8379 5760 April 2016 DECISION AGREED: subject to no Call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Wednesday 27 th April: That the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services agrees to: 1. Note the decision of the evaluation panel 2. Notes the cost of the contract will be borne entirely by the Fund 3. Notes the contract term will be three (3) years with two optional one (1) year extensions subject to the agreement of both parties and the satisfactory performance of the provider X. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED: The service is subject to EU procurement regulations, so the Council was required to undertake an OJEU compliant procurement exercise to away the new contract. The Norfolk County Council Global Custody Services procurement framework was the most cost effective and efficient method	Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
 AGREED: subject to no Call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Wednesday 27th April: That the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services agrees to: Note the decision of the evaluation panel Notes the cost of the contract will be borne entirely by the Fund Notes the contract term will be three (3) years with two optional one (1) year extensions subject to the agreement of both parties and the satisfactory performance of the provider Authorise the award of the contract to Provider X. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED: The service is subject to EU procurement regulations, so the Council was required to undertake an OJEU compliant procurement exercise to award the new contract. The Norfolk County Council Global Custody Services procurement framework was the most cost effective and efficient method.	Part 1	N/a	and Customer Services		None			Yes Tuesday 26 April 2016
The service is subject to EU procurement regulations, so the Council was required to undertake an OJEU compliant procurement exercise to awa the new contract. The Norfolk County Council Global Custody Services procurement framework was the most cost effective and efficient method appointing a provider.	 Notes t Notes t satisfact Authorit 	the cost of the the contract ter ctory performa ise the award c	contract will be borne entirely by t m will be three (3) years with two nce of the provider of the contract to Provider X.		ear extensions s	subject to the agre	eement of both parti	es and the
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:	the new contr appointing a p	ract. The Norfc provider.	lk County Council Global Custod					

BACKGROUND:

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages. As the Part 2 Report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or the public

LIST REFERENCE: 2/71/15-16

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT									
BOROUGH CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2016/17 PUBLIC REALM IMPROVEMNTS PROGRAMMES OF WORK									
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call- in & Date to be called in by		
Part 1	All	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson)	Wednesday 27 April 2016	None	Key Decision KD 4271	Trevor King 0208 379 3456	Yes Tuesday 26 April 2016		
DECISION									

AGREED: subject to no Call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Wednesday 27th April:

That the Cabinet Member for Environment will approve:

- 1. Items of work and breakdown of funding, as shown in the table 2 of this report and explained in paragraphs 4.1 to 4.11 of the report.
- 2. Schedules of carriageway schemes contained in Appendix 1 of this report
- 3. Schedules of footway schemes contained in Appendix 2 of the report.
- 4. Schedules of structures and watercourses schemes contained in Appendix 3 of the report.
- 5. To place orders through any existing relevant term contract or to invite and evaluate tenders/quotations and, where suitable tenders/quotations are received, to award contracts for the works as appropriate.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

- A sustained level of capital funding is essential to maintain the condition of the highway network. A key recommendation of The Potholes Review –
 Prevention and a Better Cure, published in 2012, is that local highway authorities should adopt the principle that 'prevention is better than cure' in
 determining the balance between structural, preventative and reactive maintenance activities in order to improve the resilience of the highway network and
 minimise the occurrence of potholes in the future.
- 2. Any alternative to sustained levels of capital funding would go against prevention and proper asset management principles, resulting in greater reactive maintenance and continued deterioration of the highway network, for which even greater capital funding will be required in the future.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. The proposed allocations for the items of work shown in table 2 of the report will allow the Council to continue with is programme of improving the condition of its carriageways, footways and associated highway assets.
- 2. Delays in proceeding with this programme will lead to the deterioration of these assets, which could jeopardize the Council in defending personal injury and accident claims. It will also lead to an increased level of public dissatisfaction and potentially more costly maintenance in future years.
- 3. Appendices 1, 2 and 3 of the report identify specific schemes associated with the main highway assets that have been prioritized for treatment. These schemes have been identified as having the highest urgency for treatment or where it is considered that intervention this year will prevent further and most costly deterioration in future years.

BACKGROUND:

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages.

Publication of Decision List 2/71/15-16 –19th April 2016

LIST REFERENCE: 3/71/15-16

			I REFERENCE:	: 3//1/15-16			
	TLE OF THE R						
		ORKS (FORMER CO-OP DAIRY	SITE)				
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	Turkey Street	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson)	Wednesday 27 April 2016	None	Non Key	Andrew Ruffell 0208-379 3632	Yes Tuesday 26 April 2016
DECISION							
to the o 3. To dele Manag	consideration o egate authority ement Order p	nt statutory notices and make the f any objections received. to the Assistant Director – Planni rocess.	C C		Ū		
		nsidered can be found in Append	lix B of the report				
	OR RECOMME		in D of the report.				
 The ex Hertfor Part of have th The red 	isting footway and d Road. the proposal is the benefit of im duction of the f	adjacent to the Sun and Woolpac s to widen this footway to allow re proving provision for residents wi ootway width adjacent to Turkey ween Nos 1 – 11 Gilbert Street a	sidents of Gilbert S th visual and mobil Brook enables the	treet to be able ity impairments provision of 'no	e to walk to the He s. ose in' parking. Th	ertford Road safely.	This will also
BACKGROU	ND:						
Please note t	that a copy of th	he Part 1 report is available via th	e decision list link o	on the Council'	s democracy page	es.	
		•			,		