



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 4/16-17

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2016/2017

Date Published: Tuesday 31st May 2016

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:
– Claire Johnson (ext.4239)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 31st May 2016

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision to come into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/4 16-17	Director of Regeneration and Environment and Chief Education Officer	Wed 8 th June 2016	Part 1	To award the contract of a management services to support the delivery, transformation and leadership management of passenger transport	Key Decision KD 4284	All	Yes 7th June 2016	1
2/4 16-17	Director of Regeneration and Environment	Wed 8 th June 2016	Part 1	Social Supermarket	Key Decision KD 4275	Upper Edmonton	Yes 7 th June 2016	2

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8379 4239), Governance Team.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/4/16-17

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
TO AWARD THE CONTRACT OF A MANAGEMENT SERVICES TO SUPPORT THE DELIVERY, TRANSFORMATION AND LEADERSHIP MANAGEMENT OF PASSENGER TRANSPORT							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	All	Director of Regeneration and Environment and Chief Education Officer	Wed 8th June 2016	None	Key Decision KD4284	Martin Rattigan 0208 379 5403	Yes Tuesday 7th June 2016
DECISION							
Subject to no Call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Wednesday 8th June 2016:							
1. To agree that we proceed to award the contract to CPC Project Services LLP as a delivery partner to implement the identified changes, achieve the projected savings and take the People Transport Service (PT Service) through transformation.							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
1. Termination of the service is not an option as we have a statutory obligation to provide free transport to school for children, of compulsory school age (5-16) who live over 2 miles from their nearest suitable school if age 8 or under and those who live over 3 miles if aged over 8. This is irrespective of whether a child has special educational needs. For those children with SEN then the local authority must consider free transport to those children who cannot transport themselves to school because of a mobility or health issue.							
2. An outsource option is unlikely to return the full financial opportunities to the Council, the review recommended that we engage a delivery partner with the expertise to lead the delivery of the changes that are necessary.							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
1. The capacity and expertise to deliver the required changes is not currently available in the service or easily identified in the wider council. It is now critical for this capacity and expertise to be secured.							
2. To ensure best value for money from a corporate prospective the delivery partner will transform the PT service to become an all-encompassing transport service for the council. There are other passenger transport activities taking place across the council which would be better integrated in the PT team.							
3. The delivery partner will be required to embed learning and resilience in the team, so that the Head of Service will have the capacity to be influential across the council, to drive innovative transport strategies for changing demand, influence procurement to ensure best value and understand best value procurement and influence commissioners.							
4. The current external procured services for vehicles and drivers are inflexible and the contractual restrictions are onerous on the council. The partner will be required to procure a more flexible external provider(s) to demonstrate best value and with considerable cost savings.							
BACKGROUND							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages.							

LIST REFERENCE: 2/4/16-17

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
SOCIAL SUPERMARKET							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	Upper Edmonton	Director of Regeneration and Environment	Wed 8th June 2016	None	Key Decision KD4275	Joanna Stronach- Lenz 0208 379 5378	Yes Tuesday 7th June 2016
DECISION							
<p>Subject to no Call-in being received, the following decisions will come into effect on Wednesday 8th June 2016:</p> <p>That Enfield accepts the offer of a Social Supermarket (SSM) which would mean converting part of Fore Street Library into a social supermarket, working with “Community Shop” (who would run the project at their own financial risk) and using match funding made available by the Business Economic Development Service from Council reserves in 2011. The allocation made available to the SSM programme will be £95,000. Additionally, the Council will contribute up to £50K to capital costs should this be needed beyond the capital funding offered by the GLA.</p>							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<p>This funding could be refused which would represent a lost opportunity in the borough to address food poverty, health and income inequalities and to bring people closer to the job market.</p>							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
<p>The Enfield bid was jointly headed by the Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration & Business Development (Cllr Sitkin) and the Cabinet Member for Public Health and Sport (Councillor Keazor). Ward Councillors for the Upper Edmonton ward were also consulted prior to the submission of the bid application.</p> <p>Enfield is committed to increasing employment, reducing social isolation, reducing poverty and child poverty. This project will work with some 1200 people a year on these issues.</p>							
BACKGROUND							
<p>Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the decision list link on the Council's democracy pages.</p>							