



## **PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 20/16-17**

### **MUNICIPAL YEAR 2016/2017**

Date Published: Thursday 18<sup>th</sup> August 2016

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers key, non-key, Council and urgent decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:

– Claire Johnson (ext.4239)

*Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated*

### INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 18<sup>th</sup> August 2016

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision came into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/20/16-17	Cabinet	Friday 26 August 2016	Part 1	Education Services: A New Model of Service Delivery	Key Decision KD 4339	All	Thursday 25 August 2016	1
2/20/16-17	Cabinet	Friday 26 August 2016	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Remediation Framework	Key Decision KD 4351	All	Thursday 25 August 2016	2
3/20/16-17	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services and Protection	Friday 26 August 2016	Part 1	Enfield Youth Offer	Key Decision KD 3258	All	Thursday 25 August 2016	3 - 4
4/20/16-17	Cabinet Member for Environment	Friday 26 August 2016	Part 1	Approval of Cycle Enfield Proposals for the A105	Key Decision KD 4342	Grange, Bush Hill Park, Winchmore Hill and Palmers Green	Thursday 25 August 2016	5 - 6

#### DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8379 4239), Governance Team.

#### CALL – IN UPDATE

Please note no decisions have been called in for review from previously published lists.

#### CABINET AGENDA – REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS AND ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Please refer to the Cabinet agenda for 16<sup>th</sup> August 2016, along with the relevant reports, which summarise the reasons for recommendations and alternative actions considered in relation to each decision.

**LIST REFERENCE: 1/20/16-17**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
EDUCATION SERVICES: A NEW MODEL OF SERVICE DELIVERY							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date decision to come into effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1	All	Cabinet	Friday 26 August 2016	None	Key Decision KD4339	Jenny Tosh 0208 379 3250	Thursday 25 August 2016

**DECISION**

The Cabinet agreed that the Chief Education Officer:

- 1) Set up a shadow board, to be called Ensen, as part of the Portfolio in Education Services for 2016/17, maintaining the existing budgetary and employment arrangements for 2016/17, therefore enabling the Education Services to fulfil the current Service Level Agreements with schools;
- 2) Develop a full business plan to be operational from 2017/18 to address the future financial viability of the company. This will also include a marketing strategy within and outside Enfield so that schools and settings are clear about traded offer from Ensen from April 2017;
- 3) Ensure that Ensen, as a key part of Education Services, contributes to delivering the council savings agreed in the Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP)
- 4) In consultation with the Director of Children’s Services and Cabinet Member for Education, Children’s Services and Protection, to:
  - Investigate the range of possible legal structures and propose the most appropriate model
  - Propose the structure and operating principles of Ensen
  - Consult with schools and council partners and other stakeholders regarding the proposal
- 5) Produce a follow up report to Cabinet setting out options for the formation and formal establishment of a company, called Ensen, with a distinct legal entity based on the most advantageous model and structure. This will also include a business plan.

**BACKGROUND:**

Please refer to Item 6 on the Cabinet Agenda dated 16<sup>th</sup> August 2016.

**LIST REFERENCE: 2/20/16-17**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
<b>REMEDIATION FRAMEWORK</b>							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date decision to come into effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Cabinet	Friday 26 August 2016	Cllr Achilleas Georgiou	Key Decision KD 4351	Peter George 0208 379 3318  Patricia Salami 0208 379 2987	Thursday 25 August 2016

**DECISION**

Cabinet agreed:

- that all five contractors be appointed to the framework
- that any organisation that would like to access the framework be directed to the Enfield procurement and commissioning hub who will administer the framework on behalf of the London Borough of Enfield (LBE).
- that the five contractors appointed to the framework be asked to resubmit a tender for the works at Willoughby Lane under the new brief and delegates the finalisation of the call-off contract (and all ancillary documentation) to the Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration and Business Development and Cabinet Member for Finance and Efficiency in conjunction with the Director – Regeneration and Environment and the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services.
- The recommendations detailed in the part two report containing exempt information.

**BACKGROUND:**

Please refer to Items 7 and 14 on the Cabinet Agenda dated 16<sup>th</sup> August 2016. As the part two report contains exempt information, it will not be made available to the press or public.

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
ENFIELD YOUTH OFFER							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1	All	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services and Protection (Cllr Orhan)	Friday 26 August 2016	None	Key Decision KD 3258	Paul Sutton 020 8379 5840	Thursday 25 August 2016

**DECISION:**

Agreed: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Friday 26 August:

## 1. That the following posts be deleted:

- ED0821 1 x Ft Head of Service [1 x Vacant]
- ED0821 5 x Ft P3 Youth Workers [3 vacant 2 occupied]
- ED0821 9 x Ft and 1 x PT P2 Youth Workers [10 posts 6 occupied 4 vacant]
- ED0821 1 x PT P1 Youth Worker [1 x Vacant].
- ED0821 1 x Ft and 12 Pt A2 Youth Workers [4 occupied 9 vacant]
- ED0821 20 Pt A1 Youth Workers [9 occupied 11 vacant]
- ED0821 1 x MM1 Manager of ETE Team [Occupied]
- ED0821 8 x SO2 ETE Team IAG workers [5 occupied and 3 vacant].
- ED0801 2 x Ft Sc 3 NEET Tracking Assistant Posts. [1 vacant 1 occupied]

## 2. That the following codes have budget removed:

- ED0802
- ED0803
- ED0807
- ED0809
- ED0815
- ED0821
- ED8022
- ED8030

3. That the following codes will have parts of their budget removed:

- ED0801
- SS0069

That the following posts be created:

- 1 x MM1 Enfield Youth Work Development Manager
- 1 x FT P3 Senior Youth Work Development Workers
- 2 x PT [12 hrs] A2 Youth Worker Posts.
- 1 x FT P2 Looked After Children Advice and Advocacy Youth Worker [Paid from £71K LAC contract].
- 1 x S02 LAC Education Training Employment Information Advice and Guidance worker

That Budget for ED0806 be retained.

#### **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:**

Due to the Council's reducing budget coupled with the increasing pressure on statutory children's services the only option is to reduce the Council's spending on discretionary services and focus on new ways of delivery giving a greater role to the voluntary sector and doing more to develop community resilience and create sustainability through community co-production.

#### **REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The reason for the recommendation is to maintain a youth offer in Enfield that is more sustainable. A mapping exercise has clearly shown that Enfield has a great selection of positive youth activities on offer in the borough provided by the council and various voluntary sector and other providers. This is advised in Youth Enfield.

Whilst the budget for the YDSU will be reduced Enfield will still have a good Youth Offer: There are no plans to close any youth centres, good financial management means that these are starting to become self-sustainable; We have a vibrant voluntary youth offer in Enfield and the Council is committed to supporting its continued development and growth through helping with bids for funding; A charity is being developed to ensure that further options regarding a youth offer are developed and volunteers are being recruited to help the delivery of the Youth Offer.

In the future youth workers directly employed by the council will be targeted at developing, coordination and supporting a partnership youth offer and providing targeted support to those young people most in need of support e.g. Looked After young people; Those at risk of sexual exploitation and Homeless young people etc.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.

**LIST REFERENCE: 4/20/16-17**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
APPROVAL OF CYCLE ENFIELD PROPOSALS FOR THE A105							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1	Grange, Bush Hill Park, Winchmore Hill and Palmers Green	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson)	Friday 26 August 2016	None	Key Decision KD 4342	Paul Rodgers 020 8379 3304	Thursday 25 August 2016

**DECISION:**

Agreed: subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Friday 26 August 2016:

1. To approve the final design of the proposals for the A105 shown on the plans in Appendix A (Appendix A is available on request) and to take all necessary steps to implement the scheme including:
  - a) Making the traffic management orders specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix C as advertised without modification
  - b) Making the traffic management order specified in Schedule 2 of Appendix C with modification to remove the time restriction on bays in residential areas.
  - c) Implementing the raised entry treatments, flat top speed tables and raised junctions specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix C
  - d) Implementing the Zebra crossings and associated zig-zag markings specified in Schedule 4 of Appendix C
  - e) Implementing the 'Tiger crossings' and associated zig-zag marking specified in Schedule 5 of Appendix C
  - f) Introducing designated disabled persons parking places at least in the general locations specified Schedule 6 of Appendix C and all waiting and loading restrictions using the experimental powers provided by S9 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

#### **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:**

1. The Council could decline the Mini Holland funding. However, this would mean forgoing £8.7 million of investment in the borough on this scheme, £33.7 million of investment on other Mini Holland schemes and the associated economic, health and transport benefits.
2. At preliminary design stage, we investigated an alternative alignment along the banks of the New River. However, this was ruled out for the following reasons:
  - Feeling of safety reduced for users as the route is not overlooked
  - Poor access to the area users wish to get to e.g. shops, banks, libraries, schools, post offices, doctors' surgeries, churches and police stations etc.
  - Numerous break points require expensive works to create safe crossing environment for pedestrians and people using bikes
  - Longer journey times due to the number of road crossings that would be required
  - Little scope to expand the infrastructure for future growth cycling levels
  - Insufficient width at several locations to create a two-way cycle facility
  - Potential impacts to local wildlife by constructing next to the river
  - Lack of connectivity with other transport modes e.g. trains
  - Would not address road safety for people on Green Lanes

#### **REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

1. To make places cycle-friendly and provide better streets and places for everyone;
2. To make cycling a safe and enjoyable choice for local travel;
3. To create better, healthier communities;
4. To provide better travel choices for the 34% of Enfield households who have no access to a car and an alternative travel choice for the 66% that do;
5. To transform cycling in Enfield;
6. To encourage more people to cycle;
7. To enable people to make short journeys by bike instead of by car;
8. To increase physical activity and therefore the health of cyclists
9. To reduce overcrowding on public transport
10. To help improve our town centres

#### **BACKGROUND**

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.