1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1. Ponders End is identified in the Core Strategy as a key regeneration area in North East Enfield and as a strategic location in the Upper Lee Valley Opportunity Area.

1.2. This report takes forward the Ponders End High Street Regeneration Scheme, known as the Electric Quarter, as set out in previous Cabinet Reports of April and July 2012, April 2013 and June 2014.

1.3. Since June 2014 work has focussed on securing land and property interests required for the Electric Quarter, the appointment of Lovell Partnerships Limited as the Council’s delivery partner who is currently preparing a detailed planning application for submission in July/August 2015.

1.4. In order to be able to progress the development it will be necessary to ensure that title and possession of all the land and property required for delivery of the scheme can be obtained and it is now considered appropriate to further support the regeneration of Ponders End High Street by the making of a Planning Compulsory Purchase Order. Negotiations to acquire by agreement will continue in parallel with the compulsory purchase process.
1.5 The purpose of this report is to recommend that Cabinet resolve to make the London Borough of Enfield (Ponders End 'Electric Quarter') Planning Compulsory Purchase Order 2015, to assemble the necessary land for the delivery of the Ponders End High Street regeneration scheme and its associated benefits.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that Cabinet:

2.1 Resolves to make a Compulsory Purchase Order under section 226(1)(a) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 ("the 1990 Act") (as amended) for the acquisition of land and new rights within the area described in the report and shown edged red on the plan attached at Annex A of this report. The Council being of the view that the proposed acquisition of the Order Land will:

(a) Facilitate the carrying out of the development/redevelopment or improvement on or in relation to the Order Land; and

(b) Will contribute to the promotion or improvement of the economic, social and environmental well-being of the Borough.

2.2 Delegates authority to the Director Regeneration and Environment, acting in consultation with the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services, to:

(a) Take all necessary steps to make the order, including the finalisation of the Statement of Reasons and the draft order and carry out all subsequent work following submission to the Secretary of State (public inquiry (if called), through to confirmation and implementation of the Planning Compulsory Purchase Order (PCPO).

(b) Acquire all necessary interests in land within the area subject to the PCPO either by agreement or compulsorily, (supported by Urban Vision Partnership Limited as necessary and appropriate).

(c) Complete agreements with landowners and others having an interest in the area to be the subject of the PCPO including where appropriate seeking agreements affecting the delivery of any part of the development and making arrangements for the relocation of occupiers.

(d) Following confirmation of the PCPO to pay compensation and statutory interest entitlement to former landowners.
3. BACKGROUND

3.1 In April 2012 Cabinet endorsed a comprehensive approach to the redevelopment of Ponders End High Street, which is in economic decline and in need of investment. The Electric Quarter is a housing-led regeneration scheme designed to deliver modern retail units to the High Street, much needed housing and therefore footfall to the High Street and an improved library facility. Cabinet approved a delivery strategy to progress the scheme and authorised work to progress a land assembly and a relocation strategy, including background work for a Planning Compulsory Purchase Order (PCPO).

3.2 In October 2012 an outline planning application for the Electric Quarter was submitted by the Council and outline planning consent was granted in March 2013. The outline planning consent included the provision of 408 homes, modern retail, employment space and a new Library with High Street frontage.

3.3 In June 2014 it was reported to Cabinet that the approved scheme could not be delivered as the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government had acquired the freehold interest in the former Middlesex University Site for a free school providing secondary education. As the Council’s Planning Compulsory Purchase Powers do not extend to Crown Land, the Council was unable to make the Order. However Heads of Terms had been exchanged with the Secretary of State to acquire land not required for the school and the revised development boundary was approved by Cabinet.

3.4 Since June 2014 the Council has entered into an Agreement for Lease with Lovell Partnerships Limited, the Council’s delivery partner, who is tasked with securing a detailed planning application which is anticipated for submission in July/August 2015 and the Council is tasked with securing the land and property interests required for the scheme.

3.5 To assemble the development site this report recommends that the Council exercises its Planning Compulsory Purchase Powers to progress the regeneration of Ponders End High Street.
**The Order Land**

3.6 The land that will be acquired from the Secretary of State, combined with the High Street frontage properties forms the revised development site boundary. The new site boundary is entirely within the boundary of the original Order Land reported to Cabinet in April 2013, but is reduced in proportion to accommodate the Secretary of State’s land holding.

3.7 The revised Order Land comprises an area of land of approximately 2.3 hectares. The eastern boundary is formed by Ponders End High Street (A1010). Part of the northern boundary comprises a series of two/three storey buildings that house a variety of small-scale office and warehouse functions associated with Queensway. The western boundary comprises the proposed Heron Hall Academy free school. To the south of the revised Order Land there is a mix of detached, semi-detached and flatted properties.

3.8 The Council already owns some of the proposed Order Land and since the last report to Cabinet has voluntarily agreed the acquisition of the freehold interest of the Secretary of State land not required for the free school, with exchange and completion scheduled in June 2015. Negotiations with the remaining owners will continue with a view to achieving the voluntary acquisition of all the interests in the revised Order Land which are needed to facilitate the comprehensive regeneration of Ponders End High Street.

3.9 An update on property acquisitions, required for the Electric Quarter, is detailed in Part 2 of this report.

**3.10 Planning Policy Framework**

**National Planning Policy Framework (“NPPF”) March 2012**

3.10.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (March 2012) sets out the Government’s policies on planning and how these are expected to be applied. The NPPF makes it clear that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development and that there are three dimensions to sustainable development: economic, social and environmental. With regard to the proposed Order Scheme, the approach taken by the Council to promoting the regeneration of this area already embodies the thinking regarding sustainable development in the NPPF in terms of the emphasis on urban regeneration and the efficient re-use of previously developed land in order to create sustainable development in line with economic, social and environmental objectives to improve people’s quality of life. The emphasis on community involvement; creating a sustainable community in a high quality, safe and healthy local environment where people want to live; contributing to the promotion of urban renaissance and improvement of quality of life and access to jobs; building a socially inclusive community with a mix of housing; and improving the link between land use and transport are all in line with these proposals.
Regional Planning Policy and Guidance – The London Plan 2015

3.10.2 The Mayor’s London Plan was formally adopted in March 2015, consolidated with alterations since 2011 and provides the spatial development strategy for London. The Plan sets out a number of objectives to optimise the potential of development sites; make the most sustainable and efficient use of land, particularly in areas of good public transport; improve the quality of life; deliver high quality new homes; mitigate and adapt to climate change and secure a more attractive, well designed green city.

3.10.3 Policy 2.3 of the London Plan refers to “growth areas” that have been identified on the basis that they are capable of accommodating substantial numbers of new homes and employment and seeks to ensure the area’s potential is optimised.

3.10.4 The Upper Lee Valley is identified in London Plan Policy 2.13 supported by London Plan Annex One, as an opportunity area. The adopted Upper Lee Valley Opportunity Area Planning Framework (July 2013) identifies Ponders End as a “growth area” and an opportunity for new job creation and new homes. The framework identifies the Ponders End area as contributing up to 1100 new homes and 700 new jobs by 2031. The Ponders End Central development site is seen as providing the catalyst to providing a “continuous vibrant high street”.

Local Policy – Core Strategy 2010

3.10.5 The adopted Core Strategy sets out the spatial planning framework for the long term development of the borough for the next 15-20 years. Paragraph 9.35 states that Ponders End is seen as an area with considerable potential, “…given its location so close to the Lea Valley Regional Park, strong transport routes including Southbury and Ponders End railway stations and Picket Lock to the south”.

3.10.6 Ponders End is identified as a regeneration ‘priority area’. Its regeneration and transformation is seen as a key element of the Core Strategy spatial vision and it is acknowledged that considerable investment and improvement will be needed to ensure that the area fulfils its potential as a “desirable and settled community”.

3.10.7 Core Strategy Policy 41 (page 163) deals specifically with the regeneration of Ponders End and identifies three areas of future development opportunity: Ponders End Central, Ponders End South Street Campus and Ponders End Waterfront. In particular the policy identifies a series of development objectives for Ponders End Central which includes:

- The creation of up to 1000 homes by 2026 with a range of sizes and tenures, including affordable homes. The Middlesex University site is specifically identified as a site that could accommodate housing as part of a mixed use development;
The provision of an attractive public realm, designed to promote community safety;

High quality new development that complements the heritage assets and historic environment of Ponders End; and

A holistic development at Ponders End Central incorporating the former Middlesex University Campus, Queensway employment area, better use of land around Tesco and a vibrant, good quality local shopping centre and community hub, with vacant sites along Ponders End high street redeveloped to complement the local offer.

Proposed Submission North East Enfield Area Action Plan April 2014

3.10.8 This document sets out the Council’s approach towards regeneration in North East Enfield. The spatial strategy contained within the document is underpinned by a number of key objectives, including to plan for growth and change; to create sustainable neighbourhoods; to increase the capacity of existing employment land and improve access; to protect, enhance and improve the natural environment; to ensure everyone has access to high quality health, leisure and community facilities and to improve overall accessibility and connectivity.

3.10.9 Policy 10.1 Ponders End High Street identifies Ponders End as a large local centre and land that includes the Order Land is identified as a key development site (Policy 10.2 Ponders End Central). A number of key priorities are identified for the area and development site, as follows:

- The Council’s intention to take a comprehensive area based approach to enable the transformation of the surplus land from the redevelopment of the former Middlesex University and the clusters of sites on the high street as a focus of social life and activity, with new and improved shopping, civic and employment uses as well as providing a choice of new homes;

- The creation of a vibrant High Street, with high quality public realm, active frontages and enhanced public realm in order to create a safe, harmonious and attractive centre, which can be enjoyed by all;

- A holistic approach to the redevelopment addressing opportunities for improvements to the High Street and its facilities;

- The creation of a more coherent active frontage onto Hertford Road, the High Street and Queensway in order to overcome historic voids in the built form which detract from the overall streetscape of the local centre; and

- The creation of new linkages from Ponders End Central site to both Hertford Road and Queensway and the integration of any new development into the surrounding community.
The North East Enfield Area Action Plan (Policies 10.1 and 10.2) replace some guidance within the Planning Brief, as such should be referred to alongside the SPD, which brings together all the ideas for Ponders End Central, raised by many residents, community groups and businesses. It identifies how the former Queensway Campus might look in the future, how it might be integrated into the local centre and how regeneration and change might be delivered in line with the Core Strategy’s objectives.

The vision that underpins the SPD brief is to deliver a “more prosperous, inclusive, clean, green and stable place with a mix of architecturally excellent homes of different tenures and sizes, supported by local services and community facilities for all ages and mobilities” (page 46 of the SPD).

The vision statement also promotes a transformation of land and buildings that include the Order Land to provide “a low carbon, walkable neighbourhood comprising a balanced range of affordable sustainable new homes, jobs and amenities that are well connected into the wider neighbourhood”.

Site specific proposals within the Brief include:

- The provision of a total of 490-560 units of predominantly family housing ‘taking into account viability’;
- 60% market and 40% affordable housing, and within that 40%, a 70% social rented and 30% intermediate housing split, subject to viability and wider place shaping aspirations;
- Public pedestrian, cycle and vehicular connections into the High Street, Queensway and Derby Road towards Southbury station;
- Sustainable design from the outset, including an approach to integrated water management, quality materials, waste treatment, recycled materials, biodiversity and community growing space;
- An aim to produce Sustainable Code Level 4 or BREEAM Excellent;
- Predominantly dual aspect homes with generous internal space standards and 50% amenity space for houses and 50% amenity space for flats, with between 50-75% car parking;
- 10% wheelchair accessible units, Lifetime Home standards and Secured by Design principles;
- Employment uses to the north of Queensway Campus site and/or workshops located to the south of the listing building;
• The retention and enhancement of the listed building; and
• Accessible public amenity space and communal play space.

3.11 Scheme Benefits

3.11.1 The regeneration of the Order Land as envisaged by the proposed Order Scheme would provide a major opportunity for delivering transformational change in this deprived part of the Borough of Enfield. The proposed Order Scheme comprises the following key elements:

• The replacement library accommodation to replace the College Court library (Class D1) lost as part of the development;
• The construction of up to 18,782 sq m (GIA) of new residential floor space to provide 171 new, mixed tenure dwellings;
• The construction of up to 544 sq m (GIA) of new mixed retail (Class A1-A4);
• The construction of up to 234 sq m (GIA) of new community (Class D1)) floor space;
• Surface car parking on street and within College Court car park;
• The introduction of new high quality public open space and public realm and private amenity space.

3.11.1 In summary the socio-economic benefits of the proposed redevelopment of the Order Land will include the:

• Provision of 38 full-time jobs;
• Provision of up to 474 temporary construction jobs ranging from one to five years;
• Ready access to the new High Street retail and community space for 13,700 people within a 20 minute walk;
• The new High Street offer will benefit the 500,000 visitors to Southbury and Ponders End stations;
• New housing units will provide decanting for residents affected by the Alma Estate redevelopment, which aims to provide 1,000 new homes for local people;
• Space for the popular and overcrowded Mosque to expand in order to meet the needs of its congregation; and
• A valuable contribution to building the 11,000 homes required in Enfield by 2026 (as set out in Enfield’s extant Core Strategy).

3.12 Land Assembly and the need for Compulsory Purchase

3.12.1 A proportion of the Ponders End High Street Regeneration area is in third party ownership and/or control. Although various strategic acquisitions have been completed or are in the process of being completed it is now clear that the acquisition by agreement of all the land required to facilitate the redevelopment proposals will not be possible within a realistic timeframe or even possibly at all. Further information is provided in Part 2 of this Report.

3.12.2 As part of the compulsory purchase process it will be necessary for the Council to be able to justify its proposals for the compulsory acquisition of the land and to be able to defend such proposals at a public inquiry. In the first instance this justification will be contained within the ‘Statement of Reasons’, a draft copy of which is attached Annex B.

3.13 Compulsory Purchase Powers

3.13.1 By virtue of Section 226(1)(a) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) the Council, as the acquiring authority, on being authorised to do so, is able to acquire land compulsorily if it thinks that it will facilitate the carrying out of development, redevelopment or improvement on or in relation to the land. The Council cannot exercise its power Under section 226(1)(a) unless and in accordance with section 226(1)(a) it thinks that the development, redevelopment or improvements will achieve any one or more of the following objects:

• The promotion or improvement of the economic well-being of the area; and/or

• The promotion or improvement of the social well-being of the area; and/or

• The promotion or improvement of the environmental well-being of the area.

3.13.2 The recommendations in this report accord with the Council’s powers.

3.14 Delivery and Funding

3.14.1 The proposed redevelopment of the Order Land will be secured through the development agreement with Lovell Partnerships Limited. The development agreement is based on the Homes and Communities Agency’s (HCA) Delivery Partner Panel documentation. Under the terms of the development agreement the Council is required to deliver vacant possession of the site to Lovell and Lovell is required to satisfy a number of conditions, including obtaining detailed planning consent within a prescribed timetable. Once the conditions have been satisfied a lease/ or lease(s) will be granted to Lovell to
enable the Developer to construct the development (that comprises the Order Scheme) on a phased basis.

3.14.2 Lovell Partnerships Limited will be responsible for procuring the funding to construct the Order Scheme and the agreement requires Lovell to provide sufficient information to the Council every six months to demonstrate that it has in place sufficient funding to meet all of its obligations under the development agreement and lease. This evidence takes the form of a letter from Morgan Sindall PLC who wholly own Lovell Partnerships Limited and the funding letter is presented in Annex C of this report.

3.14.3 The Council considers that the potential funding and delivery of the proposed Order Scheme are in place with the appointment of Lovell Partnerships Limited as the Council’s delivery partner for the Electric Quarter.

3.15 Human Rights and the Case for Compulsory Acquisition

3.15.1 The Human Rights Act 1998 places direct obligations on public bodies such as the Council to demonstrate that the use of compulsory purchase powers is in the public interest and that the use of such powers is proportionate to the ends being pursued.

3.15.2 The Council must be sure that the purpose for which it is making the Order sufficiently justifies interfering with the human rights of those with an interest in the land affected. It is acknowledged that the compulsory acquisition of the Order Land will amount to an interference with the human rights of those with an interest in the Order Land. These include rights under Article 1 of the First Protocol of the European Convention on Human Rights (“ECHR”) (which provides that every natural or legal person is entitled to peaceful enjoyment of his possessions) and Article 8 of the ECHR (which provides that everyone has the right to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence).

3.15.3 There must be a balancing of the public interest and the individual’s rights and any interference with these rights must be necessary and proportionate. “Proportionate” in this context means that the interference must be no more than is necessary to achieve the identified legitimate aim. In this instance officers are of the view that there is a compelling case in the public interest for the compulsory acquisition of the Order Land which outweighs the interference with the rights of those affected. Further, it is the officers’ view that it will not be possible to acquire the land and interests needed to deliver the development by agreement within a reasonable timeframe, or possibly at all.

3.15.4 As has been stated above and set out in the draft Statement of Reasons (see Annex B), the proposed Order Scheme delivers key objectives of Government Policy and complies with planning policy. The clear benefits to the Council’s area that will result from the realisation of the Order Scheme are referred to in this report and the draft Statement of Reasons. Without the use of the Council’s powers of compulsory purchase, the much needed regeneration and redevelopment of Ponders End High Street will not be achievable, as there is
no realistic possibility that all of the land necessary to deliver the development will be acquired by agreement.

3.16 Cost of Compulsory Purchase Action

3.16.1 The Council has set aside the funds as agreed by Cabinet on 18th July 2012 (Key Decision: 3525) necessary to acquire all interests in the Order Land and will as appropriate be drawing down funding from the following sources:

- Growth Area Funds;
- Mayor’s Outer London Fund (Round 2);
- Neighbourhood Regeneration Capital Programme; and
- Prudential borrowing.

3.16.2 Further information is contained in Part 2 of this Report.

3.17 Timetable for Making the Compulsory Purchase Order

3.17.1 Should Cabinet approve the Planning Compulsory Purchase Order resolution, then the following timetable will be followed:

- Statutory Requisition Letters issued – 10th July 2015
- Requisitions Returned – 30th July 2015
- CPO Map and Schedule finalised – 14th August 2015
- Council signs and seals CPO Map and Schedule 21st August 2015
- First Public Notice Appears in Press by 28th August 2015
- Public CPO Notices Erected – 28th August 2015
- CPO Documents served – 28th August 2015
- Second Public Notice appears in press 5th September 2015

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

4.1 Do Nothing – this would not deliver the Council’s planning objectives as detailed in the Core Strategy.

4.2 Restrict regeneration activity to Council owned land only – this would result in piecemeal development which would not deliver a comprehensive regeneration scheme that can be achieved by tying the former Middlesex University Site into the High Street to create a dynamic urban quarter that can contribute to the economic sustainability of the High Street.

4.3 Acquire all land and property interests on a voluntary basis - negotiations to acquire land and property interests have been pursued and will continue to be pursued alongside any compulsory purchase process.

5. REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 The use of Compulsory Purchase powers is a vital tool in delivering large, mixed use regeneration schemes. The making of a Compulsory Purchase Order to assemble the proposed development site was envisaged when
Cabinet recommended undertaking the background work for a Compulsory Purchase Order in April 2012.

5.2 Lovell Partnerships Limited has been appointed as the Council’s Delivery Partner for the Electric Quarter and are progressing a detailed planning application with anticipated submission in July/August 2015. Lovell has demonstrated that finance is in place to deliver the scheme so it is now considered appropriate to progress the making of a Planning Compulsory Purchase Order to support the delivery process.

6. **COMMENTS OF THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE, RESOURCES AND CUSTOMER SERVICES AND OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

6.1 **Financial Implications**

6.1.1 The Council has identified funds to enable the PCPO to be completed. The key risks to this project are set out in section 7, below. As the project develops there will be further progress reports and financial appraisal of the risks, where appropriate.

6.2 **Legal Implications**

6.2.1 Under section 226 (1) (a) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 a local authority has a power to make a compulsory purchase order for the acquisition of any land in their area in order to facilitate the carrying out of development, redevelopment or improvement in relation to land.

6.2.2 In order to exercise the s.226 powers the local authority must demonstrate that the proposed development/improvement is likely to contribute towards any of the following objects, namely the promotion or improvement of the economic or social or environmental well-being of their area.

6.3 **Property Implications**

6.3.1 The proposed Scheme accords with the Council’s property and planning objectives and processes. Property Services support the seeking of compulsory powers as being necessary to deliver the scheme as reliance on purchase by agreement with all the owners cannot be guaranteed.

6.3.2 Any acquisition of property by the Council or any transfer of Council property to the Delivery Partner must be in accordance with the Council’s Property Procedure Rules and transfers must be at “best consideration” to include any additional compensation payments made by the Council on property already acquired for the Scheme.

6.3.3 If there is any transfer of Housing Revenue Account land it should be appropriated to planning purposes. This should include reimbursement of capital value unless this is offset by any transfer of property in the Scheme back to the Council for housing purposes.
7. **KEY RISKS**

7.1 **Do Nothing** – the Council will be unable to deliver the Electric Quarter and therefore regenerate Ponders End High Street.

7.2 **Unsuccessful Compulsory Purchase** – there are no guarantees that any PCPO will be successful. The Council has been working on the Regeneration of Ponders End High Street for several years including securing an outline planning permission that encompassed all of the Order Land. The Statement of Reasons for the PCPO is annexed to this report and has been subject to expert legal and planning input. If Cabinet resolve to make the PCPO then further work will be undertaken to finalise the Statement of Case and prepare Proofs of Evidence as required by procedure, with a view to achieving the Confirmation of the Order.

8. **IMPACT ON COUNCIL PRIORITIES**

8.1 **Fairness for All**

The Regeneration of Ponders End High Street will promote fairness for all members of the local community through consulting the community on the proposals and by the planned provision of new commercial, community and residential development that is appropriately accessible to the local community. The Community Benefits Toolkit has already informed Tender Documentation, and accordance with its requirements was an evaluation criterion.

8.2 **Growth and Sustainability**

Growth and sustainability are central to the proposals for Ponders End High Street. The proposed development will provide growth in terms of increasing the supply of quality housing in the area; improving the quality and quantity of commercial space; and by including one or more community uses that will facilitate appropriate community activities.

8.3 **Strong Communities**

The proposals for Ponders End High Street aim to increase home ownership levels in the area which will create a more mixed community and support greater footfall along the High Street. The proposed redevelopment will also provide a range of unit sizes to accommodate a diversity of community and commercial uses, and improving the public realm will facilitate the free flow of people between the High Street, Park, and the former Middlesex University site. The scheme will also provide sufficient space to accommodate the expansion of the local Mosque, which is very popular and at capacity.

9. **EQUALITIES IMPACT IMPLICATIONS**

9.1 In accordance with the Contract Procedure Rules Version 6, the Regeneration of Ponders End High Street has been subject to a Predictive Equality Impact Assessment in March 2012 and an Equalities Impact Assessment in October
2012 as part of the outline planning application. A revised Equalities Impact Assessment will be submitted with the new planning application.

9.2 Overall the Equalities Impact Assessment finds the proposed development will respond positively to securing a development that promotes equality.

10. PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS
The Regeneration of Ponders End High Street contributes towards the achievement of:

- Core Policy 41 of the Core Strategy
- Shaping Enfield’s Future
- North East Enfield Preferred Options Report
- Ponders End Central Planning Brief (approved for adoption)
- 5a of the Sustainable Community Strategy 2007-2017
- 2.10 “Improve the Quality of life for residents through the regeneration of the priority regeneration areas” of the Enfield Council Business Plan.

11. HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

11.1 In relation to the possible purchase of land, it will be necessary, through the process of due diligence, to establish the extent of contaminated land and to ensure that appropriate measures are taken to mitigate risks and to ensure its likely suitability for projected end uses.

11.2 The Council would also need to ensure that any acquired land was properly managed in order to provide a satisfactory level of amenity, safety and security.

12. PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

11.1 The Health Impact Assessment prepared for the Outline Planning Application concluded that the development will have an overall beneficial effect on several determinants for health, in particular on employment and education (in terms of job training), which have been identified as priorities in the local area. The Health Impact Assessment will be revised and refreshed in light of the new site boundaries as part of the submission of the new planning application.

11.2 Furthermore, the development has the potential to benefit several vulnerable groups which have been identified in the area. These groups include the unemployed, young people and children in poverty, mainly through the education and training opportunities, but also through the re-provision of a more modern and attractive library.

Background Papers

None.