



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 53/16-17

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2016/2017

Date Published: Friday 3rd February 2017

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:
– Claire Johnson (ext.4239)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – Friday 3rd February 2017

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision comes into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page Number
1/53/16-17	Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration & Business Development (Cllr Sitkin)	Monday 13 February 2017	Part 1 & 2	Appointment and Instruction of Soils Remediation Contractor Hydrock – Remediation Framework	Key Decision KD 4438	Upper Edmonton and Edmonton Green	Yes – Friday 10 th February 2017	1
2/53/16-17	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services and Protection (Cllr Orhan)	Monday 13 February 2017	Part 1 & 2	West Lea School – Commission and Resourcing of Professional Services	Key Decision KD 4384	Haselbury	Yes – Friday 10 th February 2017	2
3/53/16-17	Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care (Cllr A Cazimoglu)	Monday 13 February 2017	Part 1 & 2	Procurement of Independent Health Complaints Advocacy Service	Key Decision KD 4442	All	Yes – Friday 10 th February 2017	3

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8379 4239), Governance Team.

*NOTE: CALL-IN RESTRICTIONS

Any decisions listed that are for noting only, will not be subject to the Council's call-in procedures. Such items are not deemed to be decisions but matters of information. For further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8379 4239), Governance Team.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/53/16-17

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
APPOINTMENT AND INSTRUCTION OF SOILS REMEDIATION CONTRACTOR HYDROCK – REMEDIATION FRAMEWORK							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2	Upper Edmonton & Edmonton Green	Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration & Business Development	Monday 13 February 2017	None	Key Decision KD 4438	Dave Rutherford 020 8379 4740	Yes – Friday 10 February 2017
AGREED: Subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect Monday 13 February 2017: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To authorise the placing of an order and award of contract to Hydrock, in accordance with the Council's Remediation Framework, for the remediation of Willoughby Lane and Meridian Way sites. To approve the recommendations highlighted in Part 2 of the Report. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Of the four framework contractors, three returned tenders. Detailed assessment of the three tenderer's bids were undertaken. The winning contractor has been assessed against the other contractor's tenders and deemed to offer the most economical advantageous bid for the Council. Doing nothing would result in key planning conditions not being able to be discharged, which would result in significant programme delay with regards to building homes. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Following a tender process, Hydrock was deemed to be the most economical advantageous bid for the Council. Remediation of the sites will enable key planning conditions to be discharged and ultimately homes to be delivered to the programmed dates. 							
BACKGROUND							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be made available to the press or public.							

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
WEST LEA SCHOOL – COMMISSION AND RESOURCING OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call- in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2	Haselbury	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services and Protection	Monday 13 February 2017	None	Key Decision KD 4384	Keith Rowley 020 8379 2459	Yes – Friday 10 February 2017
<p>AGREED: Subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect Monday 13 February 2017:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To agree and approve the proposed commissioning of 'Professional Services' for the West Lea School expansion project by utilising the already existing contract between the Council and Matrix SCM, from the following companies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DHP (UK) LLP Architects Multi-disciplinary Service – Architect / Principal Designer / Mechanical & Electrical Services Engineering / Structural & Civils Engineering Stace LLP – Quantity Surveying To agree that the Council's Corporate Maintenance & Construction Team shall be responsible for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Managing the above resources on a day-to-day basis and; Progress reporting/delivery outputs to the Council's Schools and Children's Service department To agree and approve that other specialist consultants and surveyors may be sources via Matrix SCM, as necessary. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The professional services required cannot be provided in-house as there are no longer the skills or capacity within the Council staff teams. The professional services required could be procured via frameworks or through competitive tendering, using either a pre-vetted list of consultants, but neither of these options enable direct call off. Conducting either mini-competition through a framework or a tender exercise would add time and potentially delay the early engagement required within the construction works framework. The works could be procured via frameworks available to the Council; these were last reviewed in November 2015 and none are considered to provide an advantage over the recommended procurement strategy. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Council currently has a contract in place with Matrix SCM (a neutral vendor service) for the provision of temporary agency staff (amongst other things). Matrix SCM allows construction consultants to act as supply agents and provide placements for technical staff working on specific capital projects. The hourly rates have been benchmarked and the target hours have been agreed based on benchmarked consultants' fees. DHP (UK) LLP Architects ('DHP') will be a new Matrix SCM placement and has been selected to provide Architect / Principal Designer / Structural & Civil Engineering – Mechanical & Electrical Services. Quantity surveying services are to be provided by Stace LLP who has an existing placement with Matrix SCM. Other specialist consultants and surveys will be procured as necessary throughout the duration of the project, in accordance with the Council's Constitution and the terms and conditions of contract already in place with Matrix SCM. 							
BACKGROUND							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be made available to the press or public.							

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
PROCUREMENT OF INDEPENDENT HEALTH COMPLAINTS ADVOCACY SERVICE							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non- Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call- in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2	All	Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care (Cllr A Cazimoglu)	Monday 13 February 2017	None	Key Decision KD 4443	Mekonnen Gutta 020 8379 3969	Yes – Friday 10 February 2017
AGREED: Subject to no call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect Monday 13 February 2017: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To participate in the collaborative procurement of the Independent Health Complaints Advocacy Service with the Councils listed in the attached Appendix I-A of this Part 1 Report and sign a Participation Agreement with Southwark Council, the Lead Authority. To pay the London Borough of Southwark a contribution towards the cost of the procurement exercise and contract management administration as proposed in Appendix II-A of Part 2 Report. To sign a call-off contract (Service Agreement) with the winning bidder following the completion of the tender exercise and procure the Independent Health Complaints Advocacy Service via the call-off contract commencing from 1st April 2017, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Framework Agreement and subject to availability of funding. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Health Service Complaints Advocacy Service has to be independent pendent of the local authorities. This will rule of the possibility of providing this service in-house. With regard to procuring the service from an external provider, the following alternative options were considered prior to this proposal: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A single borough and single service contract: this option is not cost-effect for the Council and does not encourage potential suppliers due to lack of economies of scale. A single borough and multiple service contract: it was looked at whether Enfield can wrap up its advocacy services, i.e this (independent health service complaints advocacy), the independent mental capacity advocacy/deprivation of liberty safeguards, the independent mental health advocacy and the care and support (Care Act) advocacy services and procure them alone. This option could not be considered as Barnet, Enfield and Haringey's three-borough joint contract is currently in place for delivery of the independent mental capacity/deprivation of liberty safeguards and the independent mental health advocacy services. The three boroughs have agreed to extend this contract until 2018 (the first extension out of two one year consecutive extensions). Barnet and Haringey are also part of the Pan-London consortium and no change to the tri-borough collaborative arrangements is envisaged in the near future given the three boroughs share mental health trust/services and patients move across the boroughs. In addition, this would not have been cost effective as well as the budget that is currently available for all of these services is not sufficient to fund all of the services under a single borough contract. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This recommendation is made because this service is a statutory requirement and other options have been explored and rejected. Reference should be made to Section 4 of the report. 							
BACKGROUND							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be made available to the press or public.							