



## **PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 26/16-17**

### **MUNICIPAL YEAR 2016/2017**

Date Published: Friday 9 September 2016

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to:  
– Claire Johnson (ext.4329)

*Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated*

**INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – Friday 9 September 2016**

<b>List Ref</b>	<b>Decision Made by</b>	<b>Date Decision came into effect</b>	<b>Part 1 or 2</b>	<b>Subject/Title of Report</b>	<b>Category of Decision</b>	<b>Affected Wards</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-In &amp; Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)</b>	<b>Page Number</b>
1/26/16-17	Director of Regeneration & Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 September 2016	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Waterproofing and Re-design Works to balcony floors and rainwater outlets to five blocks located at Joyce Avenue Estate	Key Decision KD 4287	Upper Edmonton	Friday 16 September 2016	1
2/26/16-17	Director of Regeneration & Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 September 2016	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Direct Appointment of Cognition Land & Water via Exemption	Key Decision KD 3973	Upper Edmonton	Friday 16 September 2016	2
3/26/16-17	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson)	Monday 19 September 2016	Part 1	Fords Grove Car Park – Introduction of Parking Controls	Non-Key	Winchmore Hill, Bush Hill Park	Friday 16 September 2016	3

**DECISIONS**

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8379 4239), Governance Team.

**CALL – IN UPDATE –**

Please note no decisions have been called in for review from previously published lists.

**LIST REFERENCE: 1/26/16-17**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
WATERPROOFING AND RE-DESIGN WORKS TO BALCONY FLOORS AND RAINWATER OUTLETS TO FIVE BLOCKS LOCATED AT JOYCE AVENUE ESTATE							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Upper Edmonton	Director of Regeneration and Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 September 2016	None	Key Decision KD 4287	Maria Hitches 020 8375 8226	Friday 16 September 2016
<b>DECISION:</b>							
<p><b>AGREED:</b> Subject to no Call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 19 September 2016: That the Director of Regeneration and Environment:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To approve the competitive tender submitted by the recommended contractor as it demonstrates value for money and was tendered in accordance with the guidelines stipulated under the Council's contract procedure rules.</li> <li>2. To place the order for the commencement of the works within the 2016/17 HRA Planned Maintenance Programme.</li> <li>3. To undertake waterproofing works to the private balconies of five no: blocks on the Joyce Avenue Estate including some re-design of the balcony outlets and rainwater drainage runs.</li> </ol>							
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b>							
The only alternative would be to manage these issues as we are now on a repairs case by case basis rather than via a scheme. It is considered that it would be more economical and efficient to address the issues on a scheme basis as the problem will only get worse and will both put pressure on the repairs budget and resources and will incur more dissatisfied residents.							
<b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b>							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The current drainage and asphalt covering in place compromises the wellbeing of residents due to matters of water ingress causing damage to property and goods and ponding water reducing the enjoyment of the private balcony area.</li> <li>2. The works allow for the improvement of drainage and waterproofing of the structural elements within the balcony, thus helping to extend the life of this element of the building.</li> <li>3. To limit the instances of water ingress within the individual flats and to reduce levels of complaints and further repairs claims amongst residents.</li> </ol>							
<b>BACKGROUND</b>							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.							

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
DIRECT APPOINTMENT OF COGNITION LAND & WATER VIA EXEMPTION							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Upper Edmonton	Director of Regeneration and Environment (Ian Davis)	Monday 19 September 2016	None	Key Decision KD 3973	Peter George 020 8379 3318	Friday 16 September 2016
<b>DECISION:</b>							
<p><b>AGREED:</b> Subject to no Call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 19 September 2016:</p> <p>That the Director of Regeneration and Environment:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That based on the remedial objectives, the options appraisal concluded that the NET System is the preferred remediation option for Non Aqueous Phase Liquid (NAPL) recovery on the Willoughby Lane and Meridian Way sites.</li> <li>2. To appoint Cognition to undertake the work as the only company in the UK that has the authorisation by the Environmental International Corporation (EIC) who own the NET System. The initial contract shall be up to 31/12/17. In advance of this date, a review of requirements for additional works (if any) will be undertaken and options for procurements (eg extension of proposed contract, further market testing) put forward for consideration.</li> <li>3. This NET System is recommended because it has the advantages of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effective recovery of both light phase (LNAPL) and dense phase (DNAPL) hydrocarbons;</li> <li>• Ability to match the rate of recovery to the rate of NAPL inflow into a well, which will result in optimum remediation achievement;</li> <li>• Low running costs; portability and limited disruption during soil remediation and development because it can operate on a standalone basis.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b>							
An alternative NAPL recovery system using Total Fluid Abstraction (TFA) has been considered as detailed in the report. A case has been made for the adoption of the NET System by LBE's technical consultants.							
<b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b>							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recovery of NAPL from the Kempton Park Gravels is a requirement of the EA approved remediation strategy for both the Willoughby Lane and Meridian Way sites. It needs to be completed prior to development of the sites so is a critical path item. Adoption of the NET System is recommended as the best technical option and comparatively is the lower cost solution.</li> <li>2. The NET System is only operated in the UK under licence to Cognition.</li> </ol>							
<b>BACKGROUND</b>							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information it will not be available to the press or public.							

**LIST REFERENCE: 3/26/16-17**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
FORDS GROVE CAR PARK – INTRODUCTION OF PARKING CONTROLS							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1	Winchmore Hill, Bush Hill Park	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson)	Monday 19 September 2016	None	Non-Key	David B. Taylor 020 8379 3576	Friday 16 September 2016
<b>DECISION:</b>							
<p><b>AGREED:</b> Subject to no Call-in being received, the following decision will come into effect on Monday 19 September 2016: That the Portfolio Member for Environment and the Director of Regeneration and Environment agreed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To make the traffic management order as advertised so the following can be implemented as soon as practicable: (a) the parking controls for Fords Grove car park set out in paragraph 4.1 of the report and indicated in Appendix 1; (b) the additional on-street restrictions set out in paragraph 4.2 of the report and indicated in Appendix 2.</li> <li>2. To carry out further consultation with residents and businesses in the area to identify whether there is support for additional on-street parking controls.</li> </ol>							
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b>							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Do nothing – this would maintain the status quo but would mean that the car park continues to be used predominantly by commuters and does little to support the town centre economy.</li> <li>2. Defer implementation of charges in Fords Grove car park whilst further consultation is carried out – this would allow further consultation with residents on possible mitigation measures but a protracted consultation could significantly delay the introduction of measures intended to create a short-stay shopper car park that supports the town centre economy.</li> <li>3. Implement the charges in Fords Grove car park but not the proposed mitigation measures in Fords Grove and Farm Road – this would result in the displacement of the parking into the surrounding streets and adversely affect traffic flow.</li> </ol>							
<b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b>							
The recommendations meet the objectives set out in paragraph 3.5 of the report. However, further consultation with local residents is proposed to identify whether there is an alternative scheme for managing on-street parking that is supported by the local community.							
<b>BACKGROUND</b>							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.							