



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 65/16-17

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2016/2017

Date Published: Friday 7 April 2017

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision, please refer to:
– Claire Johnson (ext.4252)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – Friday 7 April 2017

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision came into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page Number
1/65/16-17	Council	Thursday 6 April 2017	1	Opposition Business – “The Council’s Labour Administration Isn’t Listening’	Non-Key	All	No	1
2/65/16-17	Council	Thursday 6 April 2017	Part 11	Changes to the Council Constitution	Non-Key	All	No	2
3/65/16-17	Council	Thursday 6 April 2017	Part 1	Motions	Non-Key	All	No	3
4/65/16-17	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson) & Cabinet Member for Finance & Efficiency (Cllr Lemonides)	Monday 17 April 2017	Part 1	*Capital Programme – Public Realm Improvements, Bridges & Highway Structures and Flood Prevention	Key Decision KD 4454	All	Yes – April 14 2017	5

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8379 4239), Governance Team.

Council Withdrawn Items:

Please note that Item 8 on the Appointment of Chief Executive

*Please note List Reference 4/65/16-17 replaces List Reference 1/64/16-17 from the Publication of Decisions List published on Tuesday 4 April 2017.

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
Opposition Business – “The Council’s Labour Administration Isn’t Listening’							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision taken	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	All	Council	Thursday 6 April 2018	None	Non-Key	Claire Johnson: Claire.johnson@enfield.gov.uk	No
DECISION							
The recommendations in the Opposition Business Paper were not agreed.							
BACKGROUND:							
Please refer to Item 7 on the Council Agenda for Thursday 6 March 2017 for further information.							

LIST REFERENCE: 2/65/16-17

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
Changes to the Council Constitution							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision taken	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	All	Council	Thursday 6 April 2018	None	Non-Key	Asmat Hussain: Asmat.hussain@enfield.gov.uk Claire Johnson: Claire.johnson@enfield.gov.uk	No
DECISION							
<p>The Council AGREED to formally approve the following recommendations, referred from the Members & Democratic Services Group (MDSG):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the term 'Big Decisions' is removed from the text of the Purpose and functions of Full Council, as set out below, but the detailed breakdown of the functions remains the same as detailed in Chapter 2.4 section 4.3. 2. That authority is delegated to the Monitoring Officer to make minor and/or necessary changes to the Constitution, including administrative changes and those required by law. This authority shall be subject to clearance of the proposed changes, either at a meeting or by correspondence, by the MDSG. 3. If any such amendment to the constitution is not agreed by MDSG (as recommended in 2.3 above), the amendment will be referred to Full Council for consideration as set out in Chapter 2.12 of the Constitution. 							
BACKGROUND:							
Please refer to Item 9 on the Council Agenda for Thursday 6 March 2017 for further information.							

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT

Motions

Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision taken	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	All	Council	Thursday 6 April 2018	None	Non-Key	Claire Johnson: Claire.johnson@enfield.gov.uk	No

DECISION

AGREED the following motions:

10.4 In the name of Councillor Ahmet Oykenen:

The White Paper helpfully returns to an emphasis on affordable housing of all tenures, both rented and affordable homeownership. The government does now accept that the housing market is “broken” and so in this regard we are disappointed that the Paper did not go further. Whilst the Paper recognises the great role that Local Authorities can play in meeting the serious housing demand challenge it could and should have gone further. In particular we continue to ask for the government to allow councils to borrow against our assets which is the one measure which will truly enable a renaissance in housing building. There are different ways to do this so what I propose is we work together, meet the Minister, and agree a new deal which is right for the people of Enfield.

10.7 In the name of Councillor Joanne Laban

The terrorist attack in Westminster on 22nd March 2017 was an attack on British democracy and our values. Enfield Council gives its upmost praise to our partners London's Emergency Services for their professionalism on the day and continued work to keep London safe. Enfield Council stands united with the Prime Minister and Opposition Party leaders in defiance against terrorism and those who seek to destroy our way of life.

10.10 In the name of Councillor Ayfer Orhan:

The Government has embarked on a schools funding formulae which if implemented will mean a real terms funding cut of £3 billion a year by 2020. In Enfield, schools will lose £28,839,090 by 2020 coming on the back of £3 million already deleted for school's improvements and government cuts to SEND funding.

The Government should recognise the strength of public feeling as parents, local Authorities and MPs up and down the country have expressed their concerns about the consequences of the funding gap in their schools.

Given the loss in funding to schools across the country, and specifically in Enfield, this Council calls upon the Government to listen to the demands of Enfield's schools, teachers and parents, to rethink its position and provide fair funding for all schools in the borough.

Motions 10.1, 10.2,10.3, 10.5, 10.6, 10.8 and 10.9 lapsed under the guillotine arrangements.

BACKGROUND:

Please refer to Item 10 on the [Council Agenda](#) for Thursday 6 March 2017 for further information.

Publication of Decision List 3/65/16-17 – Friday 7 April 2017

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
Capital Programme – Public Realm Improvements, Bridges & Highway Structures and Flood Prevention							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	All	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Anderson) & Cabinet Member for Finance & Efficiency (Cllr Lemonides)	Monday 17 April 2017	None	Key Decision KD 4454	Trevor King 020 8379 33456	Yes – 14 April 2017
AGREED: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To recommend to Council that the indicative capital budget noted by Council on 28th Feb 17 for the public realm improvements, bridge and highway structures and flood prevention be confirmed as an approved budget. 2. That subject to inclusion of the budget on the approved capital programme, as a result of 2.1 above, approval be given to: 3. Items of work and breakdown of funding, as shown in table 2 of this report and explained in paragraphs 4.1 to 4.8; 4. Schedules of carriageway schemes contained in Appendix 1 of this report; 5. Schedules of footway schemes contained in Appendix 2 of this report. 6. Schedules of structures and watercourses schemes contained in Appendix 3 of this report, 7. Placing of orders through any existing relevant term contract, procure alternative term contracts for minor works, or to invite and evaluate tenders/quotations and, where suitable tenders/ quotations are received, to award contracts for the works as appropriate. 8. That authority be given to the Executive Director for Regeneration & Environment to amend the programme of works and funding allocations within the approved budgets as necessary for operational reasons. 9. That authority be given to the Head of Highway Services to adjust the approved estimated costs of individual schemes as a result of progressing the detailed designs, subject to costs being contained within the overall agreed programme allocations and to reporting of revised costs to the Executive Director for Regeneration & Environment on a quarterly basis. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED: <p>As set out in paragraphs 3.2 and 3.3, a sustained level of capital funding is essential to maintain the condition of the highway network. Any alternative to sustained levels of capital funding would go against prevention and proper asset management principles, resulting in greater reactive maintenance and continued deterioration of the highway network, for which even greater capital funding will be required in the future.</p>							

LIST REFERENCE: 4/65/16-17

Continued - Capital Programme – Public Realm Improvements, Bridges & Highway Structures and Flood Prevention -
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Confirmation of the capital budget, allocated to the items of work shown in table 2 will allow the Council to continue with its programme of maintaining the condition of its carriageways, footways and associated highway assets.2. Delays in proceeding with this programme will lead to the deterioration of these assets, which could jeopardise the Council in defending personal injury and accident claims. It will also lead to an increased level of public dissatisfaction and potentially more costly maintenance in future years.3. Appendices 1, 2 and 3 identify specific schemes associated with the main highway assets that have been prioritised for treatment. These schemes have been identified as having the highest urgency for treatment or where it is considered that intervention this year will prevent further and more costly deterioration in future years.
BACKGROUND
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.