



**PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 44/17-18**

**MUNICIPAL YEAR 2017/2018**

9<sup>th</sup> January 2018

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance & Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision, please refer to:  
– Claire Johnson (ext.4239)

*Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated*

## INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 09/01/2018

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision comes into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page Number
1/44/17-18	Cabinet Member for Environment and Cabinet Member for Community Safety & Public Health	17 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	Part 1	Investment in Tennis	Key Decision 4614	Enfield Highway; Edmonton Green; Southgate Green; Bush Hill Park; Grange; Jubilee & Southbury	Yes – Tuesday 16 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	1
2/44/17-18	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection	17 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	Part 1	Funding Arrangements for Schools and Academies 2018/19	Non-Key	All	Yes – Tuesday 16 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	2
3/44/17-18	Executive Director of Health, Housing & Adult Social Care in consultation with Executive Director of Finance, Resources & Customer Services	17 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	Part 1 & Part 2 (Para 3)	Housing Systems Programme (incl. Civica Housing Cx) Urgent Funding Request	Key Decision 4587	All	Yes – Tuesday 16 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	3/4

### DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8379 4239), Governance Team.

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
Investment in Tennis							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1	Enfield Highway; Edmonton Grn; S'gate Grn; Bush Hill Park; Grange; Jubilee & Southbury	Cabinet Member for Environment and Cabinet Member for Community Safety & Public Health	Wednesday 17 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	None	Key Decision 4614	Matthew Watts 0208 379 5430 matthew.watts@enfield.gov.uk	Yes – Tuesday 16 <sup>th</sup> January 2018
<p><b>AGREED:</b> that the following decision will come into effect on 17 January 2018, subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To direct the £500,000 earmarked for tennis investment by the Cabinet Decision (Report No. 52 Key Decision 4558) to Firs Farm, Albany Park, Craig Park, Arnos Park, and Town Park, and potentially the reserve sites outlined within the report.</li> <li>To retain free off-peak usage and nominal charging for peak time bookings at the sites where the investment is delivered.</li> </ol>							
<p><b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Council could invest in different sites, but the other sites with tennis courts have been discounted because of either the advice received from the Lawn Tennis Association (LTA), the cost of works necessary, or their location in relation to other sites.</li> <li>Not deliver the tennis investment outlined within Report No. 52 Key Decision 4558. However, this approach would result in planning objections from Sport England and the potential failure of expanding Edmonton Cemetery.</li> <li>To deliver the tennis investment programme, but to retain free to play tennis on non-bookable tennis courts. This approach was discounted because it would not address the aforementioned barriers to participation or deliver the benefits of bookable tennis.</li> </ol>							
<p><b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investment in tennis was a key commitment that the Council made when approving the expansion of Edmonton Cemetery. The sites identified within the report represent the best opportunities to grow tennis participation within the budget allocated from the cemetery expansion.</li> <li>The LTA has identified the inability for players to pre-book good quality facilities as one of the barriers to growing tennis participation. The proposed adoption of a tennis court booking system with a mixture of both free and good value paid peak sessions would help to increase participation and deliver further upgrades across the Borough.</li> </ol>							
<p><b>BACKGROUND</b></p> <p>Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.</p>							

**LIST REFERENCE: 2/44/17-18**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
Funding Arrangements for Schools and Academies 2018/19							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1	All	Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection	Wednesday 17 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	None	Non Key	Sangeeta Brown 0208 379 3109 sangeeta.brown@enfield.gov.uk	Yes – Tuesday 16 <sup>th</sup> January 2018
<p><b>AGREED:</b> that the following decision will come into effect on 17<sup>th</sup> January 2018, subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To approve, subject to sufficient resources being available, the changes to the funding formula for pupils in mainstream schools and academies as detailed in the report.</li> <li>To note and endorse the services supported through the Central Services Schools block and de-delegation, as detailed in the report.</li> </ol>							
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b>							
The reasons for reviewing the funding arrangements were to meet statutory requirements and these were detailed in the consultation documents. The statutory requirements limited local flexibility as to how the changes could be implemented and as far as possible various options and models considered for each proposal were detailed in the consultation document.							
<b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b>							
The recommendations in the report have been developed and discussed during the year with the Commissioning Group and have been endorsed by the Schools Forum.							
<b>BACKGROUND</b>							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.							

**LIST REFERENCE: 3/44/17-18**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT</b>							
Housing Systems Programme (incl. Civica Housing Cx) Urgent Funding Request							
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes in to effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Executive Director of Health, Housing & Adult Social Care in consultation with Executive Director of Finance, Resources & Customer Services	Wednesday 17 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	None	Key Decision 4587	Katherine Drake 07932 196192 katherine.drake@enfield.gov.uk	Yes – Tuesday 16 <sup>th</sup> January 2018
<p><b>AGREED:</b> that the following decision will come into effect on 17<sup>th</sup> January 2018, subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To approve the expanded scope (see Appendix 1 of the report) and procurement of urgent items:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.1 the Repair Diagnostic Tool (Active Housing) procurement and implementation;</li> <li>1.2 a fee to Civica, the lead supplier, for additional consultancy days for Cx Re-Discovery Workshops with the new Business Champions, and expenses;</li> <li>1.3 recruitment of external specialist resources to fulfil the urgent resourcing requirements.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>							
<p><b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Negotiating an exit strategy with existing supplier and remaining with current housing system; we would still need to carry out most of the work to meet statutory and regulatory requirements (Homelessness Reduction Act and GDPR).</li> <li>2. Continuing without a repair diagnostic tool and increasing the numbers of Repairs Customer Services staff – this would be much more costly and not provide the necessary improvements to the Repairs Service to customers.</li> <li>3. Extending the Programme timeline and completing with existing resources – likely to incur far greater costs and mean LB Enfield fails to achieve compliance with its statutory and regulatory obligations.</li> </ol>							
<p><b>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A Repair Diagnostic Tool is required to reduce the volume of repairs calls to the overloaded customer services centre, and as an enabler of the Repairs Service, that is both compatible with the new housing system, Cx, and the customer platform. The suggested system, Active Housing, meets these requirements and represents value for money.</li> <li>2. Since approval was granted to proceed a number of significant corporate and statutory changes have taken place. This has</li> </ol>							

made it necessary to reassess the scope of work required for the successful implementation of the new housing system. This has revealed that, in addition to the secondary systems mentioned in the original DAR, there are other systems that must be delivered and activities that need to be carried out in a coordinated, integrated manner. We also require additional resources to respond to these changes.

3. There is a significant skills gap that needs to be filled to ensure the successful delivery of this programme in a timely manner. Due to the scale of change and the risks of this programme it is essential that we resource it sufficiently and appropriately.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 Report contains exempt information, it will not be made available to the press or public.