



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 46/17-18

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2017/2018

23 January 2018

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance & Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision, please refer to:
– Claire Johnson (ext.4239)

Phone 020 8379 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 23/01/2018

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision comes into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page Number
1/46/17-18	Executive Director of Regeneration & Environment (Gary Barnes)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	Part 1	Access to LB Ealing's Framework Contract for the Provision of Highways and Transport Services	Key Decision: KD 4458	All	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018	1
2/46/17-18	Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration & Business Development (Cllr Alan Sitkin)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	Part 1	Warm Homes Fund	Key Decision: KD 4629	All	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018	2
3/46/17-18	Executive Director of Regeneration & Environment (Gary Barnes)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	Part 1 & Part 2 (Para 3)	Appointment of architect led, multidisciplinary team to support meanwhile at Meridian Water	Key Decision: KD 4598	Upper Edmonton	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018	3
4/46/17-18	Executive Director of Finance, Resources & Customer Services Executive (James Rolfe)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	Part 1 & Part 2 (Para 3)	Award of a Call-Off Contract – End User Computing Programme	Key Decision: KD 4637	All	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018	4
5/46/17-18	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Daniel Anderson)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	Part 1	Approval of Proposals for Quietway link 01 – Sydney and Essex Road	Non-Key	Grange	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018	5

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8379 4239), Governance Team.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/46/17-18

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
ACCESS TO LB EALING'S FRAMEWORK CONTRACT FOR THE PROVISION OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT SERVICES							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	All	Executive Director of Regeneration & Environment (Gary Barnes)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	None	Key Decision: KD 4458	David B. Taylor Tel: 020 8379 3576 david.b.taylor@enfield.gov.uk	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018
<p>AGREED: that the following decision will come into effect on 31 January 2018, subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the Council enters into an access agreement with Ealing Council to use their framework contract for engineering consultancy services as set out in this report. 2. That the Council calls-off from Ealing's framework contract for the provision of highways and transport services under lot 3 as required to support the delivery of its programme of traffic and transport schemes, up to a value of no more than £300,000 per year until the contract expires in October 2020. 3. That, if necessary, the Council also calls-off from Ealing's framework contract for the provision of highways and transport services under Lot 1 (bridge inspections), Lot 2 (condition surveys) and Lot 4 (Flood Management), up to a maximum value of £60,000 for each lot. 4. That authority to enter into individual call-off contracts is delegated to the Head of Traffic and Transportation and Head of Highway Services. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Traffic and Transportation Services and Highway Services together provide the Council with a strong in-house civil engineering resource capable of providing the majority of the specialist services covered within the Ealing framework. Many of the in-house services are managed on a commercial basis, where staff recover their fees on a project by project basis. External consultants will generally only be used where the in-house teams do not have the required specialist ability or resource capacity, or where there is a business case to commission this work externally. 2. The Council can commission consultancy services from Ringway Jacobs via the London Highway Alliance Contract (LoHAC). LoHAC is already being used to help with the delivery of the Cycle Enfield project. However, there is some concern about becoming dependent on a single contractor and this option is not recommended. 3. TfL's Engineering and Project Management Framework (EPMF) is available for boroughs to use to commission a range of consultancy support. However, there are typically 10-12 consultants on each lot and the Framework requires that each be invited to bid. Whilst TfL's EPMF may be suitable for major projects, it is not an efficient way to procure the type of smaller scale commissions needed to support the delivery of routine traffic and transport schemes. 4. The Council could also consider letting its own framework contract. However, this is not recommended due to significant costs associated with tendering, particularly given that an alternative framework is already available. 5. Consultancy support can also be procured using the London Tenders Portal on an individual basis. Whilst this option may still be used from time to time, a framework will normally provide a quicker means of procurement. The competitive rates within the framework also help to ensure value for money. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
Use of Ealing's framework contract will provide another option for the Council in the commissioning of consultancy services to help deliver its programme of works. This will avoid a dependency on LoHAC and ensure that consultants can be appointed both efficiently and cost effectively.							
BACKGROUND							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.							

LIST REFERENCE: 2/46/17-18

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
WARM HOMES FUND							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	All	Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration & Business Development (Cllr Alan Sitkin)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	None	Key Decision: KD 4629	Leman Barmaki Tel: 020 8379 5640 leman.barmaki@enfi.eld.gov.uk	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018
<p>AGREED: that the following decision will come into effect on 31 January 2018, subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Executive Director of Regeneration and Environment and the Sustainability Service should progress with this fuel poverty alleviation project, which leverages up to £750k of external funding to benefit up to 100 eligible households in fuel poverty. 2. The project management of the WHF Project is delegated to the GLA's RE: NEW team, who are part funded by the GLA, clienting the project through the Sustainability Service within the Regeneration and Environment Department. 3. The Executive Director of Regeneration and Environment enters into a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with Islington council to meet project outcomes and invoice Islington for Enfield's share of the funding periodically over the next 2 years. 4. The Executive Director of Regeneration and Environment signs the Statement of Intent (Sol) to participate in ECO2(t) to enable officers to engage energy companies through flexible eligibility and attract further funding for use as match funding. 							
<p>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do nothing approach – Allow energy companies and local businesses to use Energy Company Obligations funding to help residents in fuel poverty. Fluctuations in the ECO market have seen up to 80% variance in the amount of funding available which means that there is often a substantial contribution required from the resident, which is inconceivable for the most financially vulnerable households, who should be our priority. 2. Voluntary sector delivery – Rely on other forms of funding from Citizens Advice and Comic Relief to provide income maximisation services, which only address part of the problem and does not provide long-term solutions. 3. Public Health funding – Continue to lobby the NHS to fund the energy retrofit homes of those with the most severe cases of respiratory and circulatory illness. Although both the Public Health Team and Clinical Commissioning Group are supportive of this type of intervention, stretched budgets mean that it is unlikely that such a project would go ahead without external funding, such as that supplied by the National Grid for this project. 							
<p>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The project will help 100 households achieve better levels of thermal comfort in their homes while spending less on their heating bills. This means that they will have more disposable income to spend on other necessities, and are thus less likely to fall into debt. Furthermore, it will reduce the anxiety and stress associated with high energy prices. 2. Providing new and more efficient central heating systems or Air Source Heat Pumps will increase the energy efficiency of the participating properties, which will help reduce the carbon footprint of both the households and the borough, in line with 'Sustainable Enfield'. 3. Being able to heat their homes to an adequate level will improve the residents' health and well-being outcomes. It is expected that by helping the households keep warm for less, we will prevent further escalation of their existing illnesses, especially those exacerbated by cold weather. This will improve their ability to self-manage their health problems and reduce the need to interact with GP and emergency health provisions on such a frequent basis, in turn relieving some pressure on local NHS resources. A similar pilot scheme in Sunderland, 'Boiler on Prescription', saw a fall of 60% in GP 							

appointments and a reduction of 30% in accident and emergency attendances among participants with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.

BACKGROUND

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.

Publication of Decision List 2/46/17-18

LIST REFERENCE: 3/46/17-18

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
APPOINTMENT OF ARCHITECT LED, MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM TO SUPPORT MEANWHILE AT MERIDIAN WATER							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Upper Edmonton	Executive Director of Regeneration & Environment (Gary Barnes)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	None	Key Decision: KD 4598	Jasper Keech Tel: 020 8379 3625 jasper.keech@enfiel d.gov.uk	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018
AGREED: that the following decision will come into effect on 31 January 2018, subject to not being called in:							
1. Approves the appointment of Bidder A as set out in this report							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:							
1. Do nothing – This option would leave LBE’s meanwhile ambitions and objectives for Meridian Water at risk. 2. Appoint another supplier – All suppliers were evaluated by a panel, scores were discussed and agreed upon. The recommended supplier scored the highest and is therefore the most economically advantageous option. Therefore, appointing another supplier is not recommended. 3. Re tender – The responses were of high quality, retendering would delay the project. The team does not believe that retendering would lead to better responses than those received.							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:							
Bidder A scored the highest on the evaluation. The procurement used the GLA’s ADUP framework to ensure quality and value for money.							
BACKGROUND							
Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council’s Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information, it will not be made available to the press or public.							

LIST REFERENCE: 4/46/17-18

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
AWARD OF A CALL-OFF CONTRACT – END USER COMPUTING PROGRAMME							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Executive Director of Finance, Resources & Customer Services Executive (James Rolfe)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	None	Key Decision: KD 4637	Michael Johnson Tel: 0208 379 5447 michael.johnson@enfield.gov.uk	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018
<p>AGREED: that the following decision will come into effect on 31 January 2018, subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Council's current PC, laptop and mobile technology estate is end of life and needs replacing/reducing and there is limited end of life stock to service new requests/starters. It is therefore recommended these devices be replaced using the process as noted in the executive summary of the report. 2. The Council needs increased flexibility and mobility from its End User Computing delivery to enable officers and members to work in ways that support the property rationalisation programme and wider organisational transformation, including greater partnership working. It is therefore recommended that the delivery spend is approved in order to ensure the programme is adequately resourced. 3. That a Purchase Order be issued for the purchase of 3,000 laptops/tablets plus warranty, onsite service arrangements and accessories based on the prior Call Off Contract pursuant to the mini competition under CCS Framework RM1034 National Further Competition 39 EA16 initiated by a consortium of local authorities lead by London Borough of Merton. 4. That additional deployment services, accidental damage cover and extended four-year warranties be purchased for all devices. 							
<p>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do nothing stay on with the existing Laptop Hardware. Considered not viable due to Gartner recommendations on retaining hardware for no longer than 4 years (last full hardware refresh was performed in 2013). ICT has limited stock that are end of life and outside of the recommended retention periods. These are of low reliability, not suitably powered enough to be compatible with a move to Windows 10 or support many of the features that Office 365 can offer. Windows 7 will also become unsupported by Microsoft in 2020, therefore greatly risking security for unsupported operating system that makes up 90% of devices. 2. Purchase up to 75% of devices to cover partial Laptop Hardware. Considered not viable because ICT support costs would increase to maintain a mixed estate of devices and would not cover the full breadth of staff who require a device to perform their roles. Do nothing stay on the existing network solution. Considered not viable due to the reliability and security issues. 							
<p>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A staff consultation was carried out to inform device choices; a range of device options was offered to staff, and the two chosen as most suitable were then market tested following agreement from senior management. 2. As a result of the market testing it is proposed to purchase further devices and services via the CCS framework RM1034 National Further Competition 39 EA16 as this demonstrated best economic value. 3. As a result of learning from the past four years, accidental damage cover, four year full replacement warranties and stocks of spares for certain items were included in the specification. 							
<p>BACKGROUND</p> <p>Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages. As the Part 2 report contains exempt information, it will not be made available to the press or public.</p>							

LIST REFERENCE: 5/46/17-18

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT							
APPROVAL OF PROPOSALS FOR QUIETWAY LINK 01 – SYDNEY AND ESSEX ROAD							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes in to effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	Grange	Cabinet Member for Environment (Cllr Daniel Anderson)	Wednesday - 31 January 2018	None	Non - Key	Matthew Davies Tel: 020 8379 1462 Matthew.davies@enf.ield.gov.uk	Yes – Tuesday 30 January 2018
<p>AGREED: that the following decision will come into effect on 31 January 2018, subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is recommended that the Cabinet Member of Environment notes the issues raised in response to the statutory consultation and the officer responses set out in Section 6 of this report. 2. Approves expenditure of £70,000 to enable the scheme illustrated on drawings QWL01-2017-062-100-01 to 03 to be implemented, including making the necessary traffic management orders without modification and the conversion of the footway on the eastern side of Sydney Road (between Cecil Road and the car park entrance) into a shared pedestrian and cycle link. 							
<p>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not implementing scheme - This is not recommended as, in the short-term, it would result in there being no suitable continuation of the A105 route into the town centre. This option would therefore reduce the anticipated benefits resulting from the new infrastructure. 2. Implementing scheme with speed tables etc. - The originally proposed speed table in Sydney Road is not recommended in view of the consultation feedback and the relatively low existing speeds on this section of Sydney Road. The need for such a feature can be reviewed in the light of operational experience and installed at a later date if deemed necessary. 3. Implementing scheme without orcas or Wandorcass - Relying solely on the mandatory cycle lane marking would provide less protection when cycling in the opposite direction to other traffic. There is also a greater risk of the lane being blocked by parked vehicles. 							
<p>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:</p> <p>The recommendations have been made to enable the scheme to be implemented so that a number of benefits can be realised, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensuring a safe and convenient continuation of the A105 major cycling network into Enfield Town until a more comprehensive scheme for the town centre comes forward in future years; 2. Enabling increased levels of physical activity by providing the infrastructure to encourage more people to make short journeys by bike instead of by car. 							
<p>BACKGROUND</p> <p>Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available via the Decision list link on the Council's Democracy pages.</p>							