



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 15/20-21

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2020/21

Date Published: 25 September 2020

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance and Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Governance and Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision, please refer to:

– Claire Johnson (ext.1154)

Phone 020 8132 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 25 September 2020

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision came/ comes into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affect ed Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/15/20-21	Director of Housing and Regeneration - Place	Monday 5 October 2020	Part 1 & 2	Appointment of HTA Architects to undertake a Hybrid Planning Application at Joyce Avenue and Snell's Park	KD 5146	Upper Edmon ton	Yes Friday 2 October 2020	1

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8132 1154), Governance and Scrutiny Team.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/15/20-21

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT:							
APPOINTMENT OF HTA ARCHITECTS TO UNDERTAKE A HYBRID PLANNING APPLICATION AT JOYCE AVENUE AND SNELL'S PARK							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3) Confidential Appendix	Upper Edmonton	Director of Housing and Regeneration – Place	Monday 5 October 2020	None	KD 5146	Nick Weston nick.weston@enfield.gov.uk 020 8132 0706	Yes Friday 2 October 2020
DECISION							
<p>AGREED subject to no call in being received:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That HTA are appointed to undertake the remaining work on the master plan planning application at a fee not exceeding £1,905,000 and in accordance with the agreed brief. 2. That a break clause is operational in the appointment in the event that the residents' ballot is unsuccessful or that the Council wish to pause work on the scheme for any reason. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED							
This appointment would be a continuation of the current workstream. The only other significant options would be to procure another Lead Designer, however the risks of doing this would outweigh the benefits through delays to the scheme and a loss of a sense of continuity with residents.							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As detailed elsewhere in the report, HTA have worked on the project since inception and have not only gained a detailed understanding of the drivers behind the regeneration but also understand in detail the opportunities and constraints the project presents. 2. In their work undertaken to date, HTA have made excellent progress in evolving the master plan design in partnership with the Council and in consultation with the Local Planning Authority. They have also taken part in various engagement events and have got to know many of the existing residents through personal conversations and interactions. 3. HTA have the requisite experience to undertake a project of this size and nature and are resourced accordingly as an organisation. They have previously undertaken large-scale regeneration projects as noted in Appendix 1 and are willing to enter into collaborative working partnerships with other practices as noted in Section 9 of the report. 4. Notwithstanding the requirement for a break clause should the ballot be unsuccessful, or the Council wish to pause work, appointing HTA would 							

bring an important level of continuity to the project taking advantage of their accumulated knowledge and understanding of the site and the Council's aspirations.

5. The base appointment document contains a fee schedule for the RIBA design stages to control costs and ensure value for money. HTA have submitted a fee that falls below the fees set out in the schedule and is again a reflection of the accumulated knowledge and continuity they have had with the scheme.

BACKGROUND

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available on the Council's democracy pages. As Appendix 2 contains exempt information, this will not be available to press or public.