

Appendix 1: The results of the Public Consultation

Respondents

- In total, 137 responses were submitted via the questionnaire. Of these, 124 were from residents, including 45 from those living in the east of borough (that is, residents in EN3, N9 and N18)
- Representatives from the following organisations and businesses responded to the questionnaire:
 - Metropolitan Police
 - The Friends of Enfield Playing Fields
 - Friends of Bury Lodge Park
 - Weir Hall and District Residents Association
 - Enfield Town Residents Association
 - BaxterStorey
 - Choppy Cut Academy
 - Peppermint
 - A teacher (from an unnamed school)
 - SJ Wright & Sons Ltd
 - Giles and St John Pre-school
 - Moonchild Education
- In addition to the questionnaire responses, the Council received three emails from residents. These emails detailed suggestions on behaviours they wanted to be subject to a PSPO (e.g. littering). However, none of these are appropriate for a PSPO. Each email was responded to.
- It was felt that participation rates could have been higher if the online questionnaire could have been supplemented by face-to-face engagement. This approach was followed in similar years. However, this was not possible due to the pandemic

Key findings

Respondents were asked to what extent they agree or disagree with the eighteen proposed PSPOs. A breakdown of responses is available below.

In total, 16 proposed PSPOs had an approval rating (those who *agree*) of 84.6% or more. Only two proposed PSPOs had an approval rating lower than this:

- Dogs are to be excluded at all times in Schedule 1 parks (75.9% *agree*, 14.6% *disagree*)
- Dogs to be kept on leads at all times in the 15 parks listed in Schedule 2 (74.9% *agree*, 18.2% *disagree*)

Few comments were added by those who disagree with the proposal to exclude dogs in Schedule 1 parks. Those who did felt it was unfair.

In relation to the proposed PSPO for dogs to be kept on leads at all times in the 15 parks listed in Schedule 2, just four comments were made by those who disagree. Comments expressed that dogs need exercise and freedom, and that they can be fitted with a muzzle.

The two Friends of the Parks groups who shared their views agree with all of the proposed PSPOs relating to dogs in parks.

It should be noted that in their response, the Metropolitan Police agreed with each of the eighteen PSPOs with no responses to the open-ended questions.

A key theme that emerged from the questions which captured comments on the proposed PSPOs, was that a number of respondents question whether or not the Council will be able to enforce the PSPOs. Primarily due to a perceived lack of resources.

Literal responses

Respondents were asked for comments in relation to each PSPO. The comments collated from these questions were reviewed and summarised in [Appendix X](#).

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning the use of alcohol and fireworks to apply to the whole borough....?
Prohibition of drinking alcohol (other than in a premise licensed for the sale of alcohol or at a venue where a Temporary Event Notice is in force) after having been requested to stop by an authorised person (whole borough).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		76%
Tend to agree		16%
Neither agree nor disagree		4%
Tend to disagree		2%
Strongly disagree		2%
Don't know		0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		92.0%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		4.4%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning the use of alcohol and fireworks to apply to the whole borough....?
Persons required to immediately hand over any alcohol when requested to do so by an authorised person, who can then dispose of the alcohol (whole borough).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		76%
Tend to agree		11%
Neither agree nor disagree		5%
Tend to disagree		5%
Strongly disagree		3%
Don't know		0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		86.8%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		8.0%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning the use of alcohol and fireworks to apply to the whole borough....?
No person shall hold fireworks so as to intimidate others or cause nuisance, alarm or distress, or throw fireworks (whole borough).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		93%
Tend to agree		5%
Neither agree nor disagree		1%
Tend to disagree		1%
Strongly disagree		0
Don't know		0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		98.5%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		0.7%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning the use of vehicles causing anti-social behaviour to apply at the specified locations....?

Vehicle cruising (on the A10 and A406 within the borough boundaries, Enfield Retail Park EN1, Ravenside Retail Park N18, Tesco carpark at Glover Drive N18, Millmarsh Lane EN3, Riverwalk Road Business Park EN3, Colosseum Retail Park EN1)

Base: All		
Strongly agree		86%
Tend to agree		10%
Neither agree nor disagree		3%
Tend to disagree		1%
Strongly disagree		0
Don't know		0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		96.3%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		0.7%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning the use of vehicles causing anti-social behaviour to apply at the specified locations....?

No person shall without reasonable excuse leave a motorcycle, motor vehicle, trailer or caravan or similar for an unreasonable period of time without express prior written consent of the Council (on Council land or land adjoining the highway).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		83%
Tend to agree		13%
Neither agree nor disagree		3%
Tend to disagree		1%
Strongly disagree		1%
Don't know		0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		95.6%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		1.4%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning the use of vehicles causing anti-social behaviour to apply at the specified locations....?

No persons shall ride a moped in such a manner as to cause, or likely to cause, alarm, distress, or annoyance to the public or cause criminal damage by their us (whole borough)

Base: All	
Strongly agree	90%
Tend to agree	7%
Neither agree nor disagree	2%
Tend to disagree	1%
Strongly disagree	1%
Don't know	0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree	96.4%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree	1.4%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning dogs at the specified locations....?

Persons in charge of dogs must remove and appropriately dispose of dog mess if their dog defecates. With exemption for registered blind persons and persons with a mobility disability (whole borough).

Base: All	
Strongly agree	95%
Tend to agree	3%
Neither agree nor disagree	0
Tend to disagree	1%
Strongly disagree	2%
Don't know	0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree	97.8%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree	2.2%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning dogs at the specified locations....?

Persons in control of dogs should carry suitable receptacles (e.g. bags) for picking up dog mess (whole borough).

Base: All	
Strongly agree	88%
Tend to agree	7%
Neither agree nor disagree	2%
Tend to disagree	0
Strongly disagree	2%
Don't know	1%
Strongly agree / Tend to agree	95.6%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree	1.5%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning dogs at the specified locations....?

Dogs to be kept on leads at all times (in the 15 parks listed in schedule 2).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		58%
Tend to agree		17%
Neither agree nor disagree		6%
Tend to disagree		11%
Strongly disagree		7%
Don't know		2%
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		74.5%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		18.2%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning dogs at the specified locations....?

Dogs to be kept on leads when directed by an authorised officer (in the parks listed in schedule 3. The majority of parks).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		70%
Tend to agree		20%
Neither agree nor disagree		5%
Tend to disagree		3%
Strongly disagree		2%
Don't know		1%
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		89.8%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		4.4%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning dogs at the specified locations....?

Dogs are to be excluded at all times (in the parks listed in schedule 1. Mostly sports courts, playgrounds, splash pools, bowling greens).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		63%
Tend to agree		13%
Neither agree nor disagree		8%
Tend to disagree		7%
Strongly disagree		7%
Don't know		2%
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		75.9%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		14.6%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning dogs at the specified locations....?

The maximum number of dogs in a person's charge is 4, unless in possession of a valid licence issued by the Council permitting up to six dogs (in all parks).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		66%
Tend to agree		18%
Neither agree nor disagree		7%
Tend to disagree		4%
Strongly disagree		2%
Don't know		2%
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		84.6%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		5.9%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning antisocial behaviour by persons at the specified locations....?

Prohibition of loitering by persons in Council housing blocks and estates causing nuisance, intimidation, harassment, alarm or distress, or using or dealing drugs, directly or indirectly causing damage or other anti-social behaviour.

Base: All		
Strongly agree		84%
Tend to agree		11%
Neither agree nor disagree		4%
Tend to disagree		0
Strongly disagree		1%
Don't know		1%
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		94.8%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		0.7%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning antisocial behaviour by persons at the specified locations....?

Prohibition on persons begging in a manner reasonably perceived to be intimidating, aggressive or causing nuisance or posing a risk to their safety or the safety of others unless there is reasonable excuse or the person in control of land has consented to their presence (whole borough).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		89%
Tend to agree		6%
Neither agree nor disagree		2%
Tend to disagree		1%
Strongly disagree		2%
Don't know		0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		94.9%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		2.9%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning antisocial behaviour by persons at the specified locations....?

Prohibition of loitering of persons causing, or reasonably perceived to be causing, intimidation, harassment, alarm or distress and/or using or dealing drugs (Ponders End Recreation Ground EN3, Enfield Retail Park EN1).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		85%
Tend to agree		9%
Neither agree nor disagree		4%
Tend to disagree		2%
Strongly disagree		1%
Don't know		0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		94.2%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		2.2%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning antisocial behaviour by persons at the specified locations....?

Prohibit persons selling goods or offering services when a vehicle becomes temporarily stationary (to apply to the A10 and the A406 and within 150 metres of all junctions with these roads).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		83%
Tend to agree		10%
Neither agree nor disagree		2%
Tend to disagree		3%
Strongly disagree		2%
Don't know		0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		93.4%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		4.4%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning antisocial behaviour by persons at the specified locations....?

Prohibition of persons to loiter, solicit or engage in the provision of sexual services, or engage, loiter or solicit with a view to engaging in obtaining sexual services. This covers kerb crawling and prostitution (whole borough)

Base: All		
Strongly agree		85%
Tend to agree		8%
Neither agree nor disagree		4%
Tend to disagree		1%
Strongly disagree		2%
Don't know		0
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		93.4%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		2.2%

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposed PSPOs concerning antisocial behaviour by persons at the specified locations....?

Prohibition of smoking tobacco, tobacco related products, smokeless tobacco products (including, electronic cigarettes), herbal cigarettes, or any illegal substances, within the boundaries of an area designated as a children's playground (in all , enclosed playgrounds in parks and Council housing estates).

Base: All		
Strongly agree		78%
Tend to agree		12%
Neither agree nor disagree		7%
Tend to disagree		2%
Strongly disagree		1%
Don't know		1%
Strongly agree / Tend to agree		90.5%
Strongly disagree / Tend to disagree		2.2%