



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 42/20-21

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2020/21

Date Published: 9 February 2021

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance and Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Governance and Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision, please refer to:

– Claire Johnson (ext.1154)

Phone 020 8132 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 9 February 2021

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision came/ comes into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/42/20-21	Executive Director Place	Wednesday 17 February 2021	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Award of Works Contract – Bliss and Purcell Houses, Building Safety and M&E infrastructure improvement works	KD 5129	Chase	Yes Tuesday 16 February 2021	1-2
DECISIONS								
For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8132 1154), Governance and Scrutiny Team.								

LIST REFERENCE: 1/40/20-21

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT:							
AWARD OF WORKS CONTRACT- BLISS & PURCELL HOUSES, BUILDING SAFETY AND M & E INFRASTRUCURE IMPROVEMENT WORKS							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Chase	Executive Director Place	Wednesday 17 February 2021	None	KD 5129	Sarah Stevenson-Jones Sarah.Stevenson-Jones@enfield.gov.uk	Yes Tuesday 16 February 2021
DECISION							
<p>AGREED subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the contract to complete Building safety and M&E infrastructure works at Bliss and Purcell Houses be awarded to Contractor 1. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consideration was given to the procurement of the works through a competitive tender process. 2. In terms of procurement options there were two main routes for consideration: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of a suitable consortia framework agreement, with appointment via direct award or mini tender • Unilateral tendering of a bespoke contract utilising either the open or restricted process 3. Using a framework can save time and money, while still delivering a service specified to local requirements. Contractors are assessed for suitability prior to joining the framework and have signed up to pre-agreed terms and conditions. Standard documentation is also provided as well as support from the framework itself. 4. Tendering a contract allows clients to create bespoke documentation designed to fit its requirements. This approach also opens up the opportunity to tender to a wider group of bidders. 							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Better Council Homes programme includes targeted investment in Council housing. The agreed programme includes building safety improvement measures, sustainability improvements and the replacement of aged and failing water infrastructure which combined are having a detrimental impact of residents' quality of life. 							

2. The procurement plan for the 20/21 capital programme was approved at the Place Procurement Board on Tuesday 24th September 2019 noting that all such procurement activity would be carried out in accordance with the Councils' procurement rules, this to ensure that the programme delivers both value for money and attains the quality standards expected by residents.
3. A complaint tender exercise was undertaken using the SEC procurement framework which was evaluated on 60/40 cost and quality basis in line with the frameworks agreed weighting criteria. Contractor 1 submitted the second lowest price for the works and scored the highest quality marks therefore achieving the highest score overall. It is therefore demonstrated that "contractor 1" has submitted the most economically advantageous tender with relevant commercial detail being provided in Part 2.

BACKGROUND

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available on the Council's democracy pages. Part 2 documents will not be available to the press and public.