



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 46/20-21

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2020/21

Date Published: 2 March 2021

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance and Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Governance and Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision, please refer to:

– Claire Johnson (ext.1154)

Phone 020 8132 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 2 March 2021

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision came/ comes into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/46/20-21	Tony Theodoulou (Executive Director People)	Wednesday 10 March 2021	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Extension to the Integrated Sexual Health Community Services Contract	KD 5195	All	Yes Tuesday 9 March 2021	1-2
2/46 20-21	Cllr Guney Dogan (Cabinet Member for Environment and Sustainability)	Wednesday 10 March 2021	Part 1	Farm Road Yellow Lines and Bus Route 456	Non Key	Winchmore Hill, Palmers Green, Bush Hill Park	Yes Tuesday 9 March 2021	3-4

DECISIONS

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8132 1154), Governance and Scrutiny Team.

LIST REFERENCE: 1/46/20-21

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT:							
EXTENSION TO THE INTEGRATED SEXUAL HEALTH COMMUNITY SERVICES CONTRACT							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	All	Tony Theodoulou (Executive Director People)	Wednesday 10 March 2021	None	KD 5195	Fulya Yahioğlu, Senior Public Health Service Manager. Fulya.yahioğlu@enfield.gov.uk	Yes Tuesday 9 March 2021
DECISION							
<p>AGREED subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is recommended that the Executive Director Approves the final extension to the Integrated Sexual Health Community Services Contract for a further 2 years under the current block payment arrangement of £2.32 million up until 31st March 2023. This will ensure continuity of service provision and stability for North Middlesex University Hospital NHS Trust (NMUH) ensuring that residents of Enfield have access to sexual health testing, treatment and contraceptive provision whilst continuing to respond and recover from the COVID-19 pandemic. Acknowledgement that NMUH, as a priority one service, has continued to provide uninterrupted sexual health provision in Enfield throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. See restricted appendix for further details. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Retender the Service- Not recommended The impact of COVID-19 and the reconfiguration of the service by NMUH to maintain service continuity to residents has been the priority for Enfield. To undertake a competitive tender for such a complex clinical provision during COVID-19 would have been difficult as the priority for most NHS Trusts have been to support service provision for the most vulnerable in the community. Retendering at the time of COVID-19 would have destabilised the service, who have been working under unprecedented circumstances and would lead to patient disengagement and an increase in staff turnover due to the change and uncertainty this process brings. During normal times this can be mitigated against but due to the current pandemic and national lockdown the continuation of care, treatment and well established pathways are crucial. Cease to Deliver the Service - Not Recommended Enfield Council could take the decision to no longer provide sexual health provision in the borough however this would go against the Public Health Grant where contraception, STI testing and treatment are mandated. Furthermore, evidence shows that every £1 invested in sexual health services result in £11 of wider savings across health and social care due to the prevention of disease and unintended pregnancies. 							

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Extending this contract by a further 2 years, as part of the final extension, will ensure continuity of care to patients accessing sexual health service provision in Enfield, ensuring that effective referral pathways and partnership working are maintained as well as the stability of the service during this challenging time of COVID-19.
2. The extension will also provide an opportunity to conduct a full independent review of the service, which will commence at the end of Feb 2021, and will include:
 - Review of existing staffing, management and operational arrangements and costs;
 - Review of service deliver costs as well as benchmarking costs with other comparator services/areas, taking account of national/Pan-London tariff guide prices and local factors such as population data, demand and market forces;
 - Analysis of patient trends including clinic attendance and flows; review of first appointment to follow-up ratios and activity including failed appointments and repeat attendances;
 - Review of the current service delivery model and existing care pathways to identify potential opportunities to move Family Planning, HIV screening and long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) activity to primary care and community services, where appropriate, as well as utilising a channel shift to on-line testing for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) for asymptomatic and mild symptomatic conditions

Following completion of the service review, commissioners working in partnership with the Provider will have developed the service specification and model to ensure that it achieves improved outcomes for patients and offers value for money, responsive to channel shift and population changes.

BACKGROUND

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available on the Council's democracy pages. Part 2 documents will not be available to the press and public.

LIST REFERENCE: 2/46/20-21

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT:							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1	Winchmore Hill, Palmers Green, Bush Hill Park	Cllr Guney Dogan (Cabinet Member for Environment and Sustainability)	Wednesday 10 March 2021	None	Non Key	Jonathan Goodson, Principal Engineer jonathan.goodson@enfield.gov.uk 0208 132 0988	Yes Tuesday 9 March 2021
DECISION							
<p>AGREED subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.To make the traffic management order pursuant to Section 6 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to implement the double yellow lines shown at Appendix B and also to implement the bus stops. 2.To make a traffic management order pursuant to Section 9 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to implement the single yellow lines shown at Appendix B on an experimental basis. 3. To invite comments on the single yellow lines during their trial period and, within 18 months, to prepare a subsequent report to determine whether these controls should be made permanent in the light of operational experience and feedback. 4. To fund the estimated £6,000 cost of implementing all the measures from the 2020/21 Bus Priority Programme allocation. 							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED							
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The option of a continuous double yellow line on the northern side of Farm Road was proposed initially. The revised proposal for shorter sections of double yellow line mitigates concerns from the community and is made upon the reflection that a sequence of passing places may have a similar beneficial effect on the ability for buses to pass opposing traffic with adequate ease in most circumstances. 2 . Appendix C considers the alternative options put forward by the residents of Farm Road – any of which would then make the Farm Road proposals void - but concludes that it is the alignment upon which TfL consulted, via Farm Road, that offers the greatest benefits. 							

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1. In 2019 Transport for London (TfL) undertook a consultation on a proposed new bus service: route 456. The proposed route is to extend the existing W10 service that links Crews Hill to Enfield Town; with buses continuing from the town to North Middlesex Hospital via Highlands, Winchmore Hill and Firs Lane. A TfL leaflet was delivered to relevant homes in the area in 2019 seeking comments on the route proposal and stating that supplementary proposals for yellow lines (in Farm Road) and bus stops (Farm Road and others) would follow from Enfield Council if the route were to go ahead.
2. Enfield Council submitted comments in support of the routing proposal, seeing benefits for residents and the environment in improving bus links to the hospital and in bringing bus services to certain areas of the borough, notably Church Hill, Station Road and Firs Lane, that have hitherto gone unserved.
3. TfL released its consultation report in April 2020 summarising that most responses to the proposal were positive and indicating its decision to proceed with the route. The department has since drawn up the proposals for yellow lines in Farm Road to contribute to the process set out and to ensure buses in Farm Road are not unduly delayed by opposing traffic due to a lack of passing space. The department has similarly drawn up proposals for fixed bus stops in various roads to ensure the new service benefits from good levels of accessibility for those with impaired mobility.
4. Enfield Council published draft Traffic Management Orders and undertook a statutory consultation in September 2020 on the Farm Road proposals seen at Appendix A.
5. The narrow section of Farm Road near the bridge is already treated with double yellow lines. Elsewhere Farm Road is wide enough, even at its narrower sections, to accommodate parking both sides and allow a bus to pass. However, parking patterns are sufficiently dense to raise the concern that, in busier periods, the bus would be unduly delayed by opposing traffic. This would affect bus journey times, contribute to local peak period congestion and undermine the appeal of the bus service to prospective passengers. Double yellow lines along the northern side of Farm Road were proposed to avoid these drawbacks arising.
6. The department had also identified locations for a fixed bus stop in each direction on Farm Road that it felt were suitable in terms of catchment and spacing and that benefit from a reasonable degree of natural surveillance without being directly outside any home. By September 2020 TfL had been able to confirm that funding was available to match the aspiration stated in its consultation documents of providing fixed stops along the route, and hence the bus stop proposals were included in the plan sent to residents of Farm Road.
7. Responses indicated that most of the circa 50 households in Farm Road were in opposition to the proposals. Notable was a petition signed by 40 Farm Road households, plus one Firs Lane address falling within the same section of street. The ten-page document appended to the petition encapsulates the points of opposition. The concerns of residents were reiterated in correspondence from elected representatives serving the area, including its ward councillors and MP.
8. The petition documents cover the following:
 - (A) opposition to the double yellow lines

- (B) opposition to the bus stops
- (C) opposition to the use of Farm Road for the bus route
- (D) counter proposals recommending 6 alternative alignments for the service.

9. At Appendix B is a revised layout of measures, drawn up to mitigate the key concerns raised. The retained sections of double yellow line fall under the coverage of the original proposals and their draft Traffic Management Order, as advertised. See section 3 above. The single yellow line is proposed under experimental powers and can be amended or withdrawn in due course if found to offer little benefit.
10. Appendix C is a discussion of the concerns raised by the residents and a justification for the Council's position that the revised measures should be introduced for the wider benefit of the travelling public. More general concerns about the introduction of the route arising thereafter, notably from residents of Firs Lane, are also covered in Appendix C. It should be noted, however, that this document does not constitute a decision on the alignment of the route. That decision has already been made, the body to whom the decision-making responsibility fell being TfL.
11. Appendix C also sets out the Council's position that it remains committed to introducing fixed bus stops within the newly served streets in Winchmore Hill, subject to suitable locations being identified. Aside from those in Farm Road, decisions on these new bus stops will be documented separately hereafter.
12. The Winchmore Hill Residents Association was also consulted on the proposed bus route, initially by TfL, and latterly on the proposed bus stop positions by this department, offering further community oversight on the proposals. Despite some adverse comment about certain bus stops the association appears supportive of the route, and of the favoured alignment, and of the concept of providing fixed bus stops.

BACKGROUND

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available on the Council's democracy pages.

SECTION 2: Notice of forthcoming Key Decisions for which it has not been possible to provide notice

This section lists the Key Decisions that are proposed to be taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The decisions listed are those for which it has not been possible to provide 28 days' notice and need to comply with the Council's urgency procedure. There are two routes that can be followed in this instance to enable a decision to be taken.

1. Rule 15 – General Exceptions

This procedure is used in cases when:

- it is not possible to provide the required 28 days' notice of a key decision; but
- it is possible to provide a minimum of 5 working days' notice prior to the decision being taken;

2. Rule 16 – Special Urgency

This procedure should only be used in exceptional circumstances where it is not possible to:

- provide the required 28 days' notice prior of a key decision; and
- provide a minimum of 5 working days' notice prior to the decision needing to be taken;

These decisions will not be eligible for call-in.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision please refer to: Claire Johnson 020 8379 4239

Part 1/2* and reason	Date Decision Planned	Decision of	Proposed Key Decision	Ward/s affected by the decision	Contact Name and Number
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	26/02/21	Joanne Drew (Director of Housing and Regeneration)	<p>Enfield Council have been successful in the bidding application for Phase 1B of the Local Authority Delivery scheme and received confirmation from BEIS on the 2nd February 2021 that the application has successfully met the assessment criteria for funding to provide energy efficiency upgrades to low-income homes which amounts to £305,000 worth of funding for 61 properties and the work is for the installation of External Wall Insulation.</p> <p>However, BEIS have stipulated that we need to complete, sign and return certain documentation by the 26th February 2021 to secure the funding.</p> <p>The documentation includes the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).</p> <p>In order for the money to be transferred to Enfield this financial year, BEIS request that all signed documentation is returned by 19th February 2021 and at the latest by the 26th February 2021. If documentation is returned after this date BEIS cannot guarantee grants will be processed and we may have to retract our offer. Ultimately, if all signed documentation is not returned by 26th February 2021, the grant offer will be retracted.</p>	Southbury, Ponders End, Edmonton Green, Bush Hill Park and Cockfosters.	Amanda Grosse 020 8148 4374

Reasons for Urgency:

Even with the later date of the 26th February 2021 there is still insufficient time to follow the usual key decision processes necessary for the decision to be taken. In this instance GENERAL EXCEPTION – RULE 16 applies and will need to be followed.

We request that a key decision is implemented and authorised as there is a real risk that the council will lose out on the funding available as BEIS have stipulated in the confirmation of grant email that all documentation requested including the Memorandum of Understanding needs to be returned within the specified deadline or the grant offer will be retracted.

Background Papers:

No.	Description of Background Papers	Name and Telephone No. of Document Holder	Location/e-mail address
1	DAR Memorandum of Understanding – signing up to the Memorandum of Understanding for release of grant funding from Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) for Phase 1B of the Local Authority Delivery Scheme.	Amanda Grosse 02081484374	Amanda.grosse@enfield.gov.uk