

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE
HELD ON TUESDAY, 23 FEBRUARY 2021**

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT Maria Alexandrou, Kate Anolue, Mahym Bedekova, Sinan Boztas, Elif Erbil, Ahmet Hasan, Michael Rye OBE, Jim Steven, Hass Yusuf, Doug Taylor, Daniel Anderson and Ergin Erbil

ABSENT Susan Erbil

OFFICERS: Andy Higham (Head of Development Management), Claire Williams (Planning Decisions Manager), James Clark (Principal Planning Officer), Dominic Millen (Group Leader Transportation) and John Hood (Legal Services) Jane Creer (Secretary) and Metin Halil (Secretary)

Also Attending: Members of the public, applicant and agent representatives were able to observe the meeting live online.

**1
WELCOME AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

NOTED

1. Councillor Boztas (Chair) welcomed all attendees to the meeting, which was being broadcast live online. Committee members confirmed their presence and that they were able to hear and see the proceedings.
2. Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Susan Erbil. She was substituted by Councillor Ergin Erbil.

**2
DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

NOTED there were no declarations of interest.

**3
MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY 19
JANUARY 2021**

NOTED

The minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on Tuesday 19 January 2021 were agreed.

**4
REPORT OF THE HEAD OF PLANNING**

RECEIVED the report of the Head of Planning.

5

20/04084/RE4 - 14 SWEET BRIAR GROVE, LONDON, N9 9NQ

NOTED

1. The introduction by Claire Williams, Planning Decisions Manager, clarifying the proposals.
2. The proposed plans and elevations, omitted from the original report, had been circulated to Members.
3. The unanimous support of the committee for the officers' recommendation.

AGREED that in accordance with Regulation 3 of the Town and Country Planning General Regulations 1992, planning permission be deemed to be granted, subject to the conditions set out in the report.

6

20/03003/FUL - 50 SLADES HILL, ENFIELD, EN2 7EE

NOTED

1. The introduction by James Clark, Principal Planning Officer, clarifying the proposal and the differences between the previously refused application and this proposal, and confirming the receipt of 9 letters of objection.
2. If the Committee was minded to approve the application, additional conditions were recommended regarding wheelchair accessibility and parking layout.
3. Members' debate and questions responded to by officers.
4. The majority of the committee did not support the officers' recommendation: 6 votes against and 6 abstentions.
5. Members' discussion of appropriate reasons for refusal of planning permission.
6. A motion proposed by Councillor Rye, seconded by Councillor Alexandrou, that planning permission be refused for a reason relating to scale, bulk and massing and out of keeping with the properties on either side was supported by majority of the committee, 10 votes for, 1 vote against and 1 abstention.

AGREED that had the power to determine the planning application remained with the local planning authority, planning permission would have been refused, for the reason below:

The proposal, by virtue of its excessive scale, inappropriate design and overall appearance, would result in an out of keeping development in the street scene and prevailing character in the location. As such, the development is contrary to the high quality design aspirations of the National Planning Policy Framework (2019), policies 7.4 and 7.6 of The London Plan (2016), Policy

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 23.2.2021

CP30 of the Enfield Plan Core Strategy (2010), Policies DMD 6, DMD 8 and DMD37 of the Development Management Document (2014).

7

20/03211/RE4 - DURANTS PARK, HERTFORD ROAD, ENFIELD, EN3 7ED

NOTED

1. The introduction by James Clark, Principal Planning Officer, clarifying the proposals.
2. Members' debate and questions responded to by officers, including a request for confirmation of the neighbouring roads included in the consultation, which was not immediately available.
3. Members' request for inclusion of a condition regarding removal of Japanese knotweed from within the application site.
4. Councillor Bedekova had not heard the full item due to technical issues, and therefore did not take part in the vote.
5. The support of the majority of the committee for the officers' recommendation: 10 votes for and 1 against (in the absence of full confirmation regarding the roads consulted).

AGREED that in accordance with Regulation 3 of the Town and Country Planning General Regulations 1992, planning permission be deemed to be granted, subject to conditions.

8

FUTURE MEETING DATES

NOTED the proposed additional meeting date for the Committee of Thursday 11 March 2021.