



PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 57/20-21

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2020/21

Date Published: 16 April 2021

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance and Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Governance and Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision, please refer to:

– Claire Johnson (ext.1154)

Phone 020 8132 then extension number indicated

INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 16 April 2021

List Ref	Decision Made by	Date Decision came/ comes into effect	Part 1 or 2	Subject/Title of Report	Category of Decision	Affected Wards	Eligible for Call-In & Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)	Page No.
1/57/20-21	Sarah Cary (Executive Director Place)	Monday April 26 2021	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Award of Lead Designer Consultancy Services – Walbrook House	KD: 5129	Lower Edmonton	Yes Friday 23 April 2021	1
2/57/20-21	Sarah Cary (Executive Director Place)	Monday April 26 2021	Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Carriageway Recycling and Resurfacing Contract G/MD 445	KD: 5192	All	Yes Friday 23 April 2021	2
DECISIONS								
For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8132 1154), Governance and Scrutiny Team.								

LIST REFERENCE: 1/57/20-21

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT:

AWARD OF LEAD DESIGNER CONSULTANCY SERVICES – WALBROOK HOUSE

Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (para 3)	Lower Edmonton	Sarah Cary (Executive Director Place)	Monday April 26 2021	None	KD: 5129	Sarah Stevenson-Jones Resident Safety Director Sarah.stevenson-jones@enfield.gov.uk 0208 132 1848	Yes Friday 23 April 2021

DECISION

AGREED subject to not being called in:
 1. That a contract be awarded to Consultant 1 for Lead Designer services, following a compliant tender process utilising the Fusion 21 procurement framework.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED CONTINUED

1. Competitive tender via the OJEU procurement route under an open or restrictive process.
2. Utilising a suitable and OJEU compliant framework via direct award or mini competition.
3. Using a framework can save time and money, while still delivering a service specified to local requirements. Consultants are assessed for suitability prior to joining the framework and have signed up to pre-agreed terms and conditions. Standard documentation is also provided as well as support from the framework itself.
4. Undertaking a competitive tender or mini competition allows clients to create bespoke documentation designed to fit its requirements and opens the opportunity to a wider group of bidders.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Better Council Homes programme includes targeted investment in Council housing. The agreed programme at Walbrook House includes cladding remediation, general internal building safety and decency improvement measures; the installation of a new heating system to support sustainability improvements and the replacement of aged infrastructure which combined are having a detrimental impact of residents' quality of life.
2. The procurement plan for the 20/21 capital programme was approved at the Place Procurement Board on Tuesday 24th September 2019 noting that all such procurement activity would be carried out in accordance with the Councils' procurement rules, to ensure that the programme delivers both value for money and attains the quality standards expected by residents.
3. A compliant tender exercise was undertaken using the Fusion 21 procurement framework via the Councils E-Tendering Portal. The tenders were evaluated on a 70/30 quality and cost split, in line with the Councils agreed weighting criteria. Consultant 1 submitted the second lowest price for the works and scored the highest quality marks therefore achieving the highest score overall. It is therefore demonstrated that "Consultant 1" has submitted the most economically advantageous tender with detail being provided in Appendix 1- Part 2.

BACKGROUND

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available on the Council's democracy pages. As the part 2 appendix contains exempt information it will not be available to press and public.

LIST REFERENCE: 2/57/20-21

SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT:							
CARRIAGEWAY RECYCLING AND RESURFACING CONTRACT G/MD 445							
Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)	Wards affected by decision	Decision taken by	Date Decision comes into effect	Interest declared in respect of the Decision	Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)	Contact Details	Eligible for Call-in & Date to be called in by
Part 1 & 2 (para 3)	All	Sarah Cary (Executive Director Place)	Monday April 26 2021	None	KD: 5192	Darren Bryant Principal Engineer Darren.bryant@enfield.gov.uk 0208 132 0736	Yes Friday 23 April 2021
DECISION							
<p>AGREED subject to not being called in:</p> <p>1. That the Executive Director - Place notes and approves the award of the Enfield Council Carriageway Recycling and Resurfacing Contract G MD 445, to the contractor listed in Part 2 of this report to commence on 19th April 2021 for a two-year term and the details of the evaluation exercise contained in part 2 of this report.</p>							
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED CONTINUED							
<p>1. Other options considered include commissioning this work through existing term contracts, however, rates would need to be provided under variation orders outside of a competitive environment and would therefore be unlikely to provide such good value. Alternatively, the option to invite quotes for specific schemes, or for packages of schemes, would be more time consuming and less efficient than entering into a term contract.</p>							
REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS							
<p>1. Approval of the award will allow the Council to continue with planned maintenance of its carriageways and associated highway assets through resurfacing and recycling techniques.</p>							
BACKGROUND							
<p>Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available on the Council's democracy pages. As the part 2 appendix contains exempt information it will not be available to press and public.</p>							