

## London Borough of Enfield

### Overview & Scrutiny Committee

Meeting Date 21 July 2021

---

**Subject:** Call in -Bowes Primary Area Quieter Neighbourhood

**Cabinet Member:** N/A

**Key Decision:** N/A

---

### Purpose of Report

1. This report details a call-in submitted in relation to the following decision:

Cabinet decision (taken on 18 June 2021). This has been "Called In" by 7 members of the Council; Councillors Maria Alexandrou, Joanne Laban, Andrew Thorp, Glynis Vince, Edward Smith, Michael Rye and Lindsay Rawlings.

Details of this decision were included on Publication of Decision List No.6/21-22 (Ref. 2/6/21-22 – issued on 18 June 2021)

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, Overview and Scrutiny Committee is asked to consider the decision that has been called-in for review.

### Proposal(s)

2. That Overview and Scrutiny Committee considers the called-in decision and either:
  - (a) Refers the decision back to the decision-making person or body for reconsideration setting out in writing the nature of its concerns. The decision-making person or body then has 14 working days in which to reconsider the decision; or
  - (b) Refer the matter to full Council; or
  - (c) Confirm the original decision.

Once the Committee has considered the called-in decision and makes one of the recommendations listed at (a), (b) or (c) above, the call-in process is completed. A decision cannot be called in more than once.

If a decision is referred back to the decision-making person or body; the implementation of that decision shall be suspended until such time as the decision making person or body reconsiders and either amends or confirms the decision, but the outcome on the decision should be reached within 14 working days of the reference back. The Committee will subsequently be informed of the outcome of any such decision

## **Relevance to the Council's Plan**

3. The council's values are upheld through open and transparent decision making and holding decision makers to account.

## **Background**

4. The request (22 June 2021) to "call-in" the Cabinet decision of 18 June 2021 was submitted under rule 18 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules. It was considered by the Monitoring Officer.

The Call-in request fulfilled the required criteria and the decision is referred to the Overview & Scrutiny Committee in order to consider the actions stated under 2 in the report.

Implementation of the Portfolio decision related to this report will be suspended whilst the "Call-in" is considered.

## **Reasons and alternative course of action proposed for the "Call in"**

5. The Call-in request submitted by (7) Members of the Council gives the following reasons for Call-In:
  - Failure to consult residents- previously only actioned a perception survey, online consultation discriminated against certain groups
  - Lack of community engagement- community groups disappointed with the sparse contact from the council and don't feel listened to
  - Conflicts with the climate change strategy for improving air quality- at the Bowes primary school, nitrogen dioxide levels increased 20% in 8 months since the implementation of LTNs (londonair.org) and council negligently creating pollution with camera car enforcement vehicles engine idling for hours per day sometimes outside a nursery school
  - Failure to address inequalities impact on residents- rights of disabled not considered yet disability is a protected characteristic under the Equality Act
  - Lack of clear information on funding- funding was to create a safe environment for walking and cycling- this has not happened as no extra cycle lanes were added and pavements were not widened to improve safety for pedestrians
  - Lack of transparency- no heat maps indicating positive and negative responses
  - Admits traffic displacement onto boundary roads – this shows the scheme has not achieved its objective of reducing the volume of traffic
  - Not achieve 3 objectives:
    1. Streets not safer
    2. has not reduced traffic volume but increased it
    3. No obvious uptake in walking and cycling
  - The proposal is to allow the Bowes Primary Quieter Neighbourhood trial to continue, to allow an opportunity to collect traffic data that is more representative of 'normal' conditions. However, the NO2 has increased

since implementation despite there being restrictions throughout due to the working from order reducing commuter traffic and lockdowns proving that even with lower traffic levels pre-COVID the scheme is not improving air quality.

- The report fails to mention the impact of the scheme on residents who live just outside the zone. The report does not state whether there has been an increase in traffic on main roads either that are adjacent to the scheme.
- The appendix shows 83% of respondents owned a car who were the bulk of the respondents and the majority of those are against the scheme. There was a strong trend of respondents with disabilities showing negative perceptions of the project (75 respondents (equivalent to 76% of respondents who said they have a disability) rated the scheme's impact of 'very negative' or 'somewhat negative'. However, the report is seeking to continue with the scheme. The report is negative towards car owners but if they are the ones that have submitted responses they need to be considered. The report proposes to consult and consult to get the result it wants rather than to take into account the negative responses it has already received.

### **Consideration of the “Call in”**

6. Having met the “Call-in” request criteria, the matter is referred to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee in order to determine the “Call-in” and decide which action listed under section 2 that they will take.

The following procedure is to be followed for consideration of the “Call-in”:

- The Chair explains the purpose of the meeting and the decisions which the Committee is able to take.
- The Call-in lead presents their case, outlining the reasons for call in.
- The Cabinet Member/ Decision maker and officers respond to the points made.
- General debate during which Committee members may ask questions of both parties with a view to helping them make up their mind.
- The Call in Lead sums up their case.
- The Chair identifies the key issues arising out of the debate and calls for a vote after which the call in is concluded. If there are equal numbers of votes for and against, the Chair will have a second or casting vote.
- It is open to the Committee to either;
  - take no further action and therefore confirm the original decision
  - to refer the matter back to Cabinet -with issues (to be detailed in the minute) for Cabinet to consider before taking its final decision.
  - to refer the matter to full Council for a wider debate (NB: full Council may decide either to take no further action or to refer the matter back to Cabinet with specific recommendations for them to consider prior to decision taking)

## **Main Considerations for the Council**

7. To comply with the requirements of the Council's Constitution, scrutiny is essential to good governance, and enables the voice and concerns of residents and communities to be heard and provides positive challenge and accountability.

## **Safeguarding Implications**

8. There are no safeguarding implications.

## **Public Health Implications**

9. There are no public health implications.

## **Equalities Impact of the Proposal**

10. There are no equality implications.

## **Environmental and Climate Change Considerations**

11. There are no environmental and climate change considerations.

## **Risks that may arise if the proposed decision and related work is not taken**

12. There are no key risks associated with this report.

## **Risks that may arise if the proposed decision is taken and actions that will be taken to manage these risks**

13. There are no key risks associated with this report.

## **Financial Implications**

14. There are no financial implications

## **Legal Implications**

15. S 21, S 21A-21C Local Government Act 2000, s.19 Police and Justice Act 2006 and regulations made under s.21E Local Government Act 2000 define the functions of the Overview and Scrutiny committee. The functions of the committee include the ability to consider, under the call-in process, decisions of Cabinet, Cabinet Sub-Committees, individual Cabinet Members or of officers under delegated authority.

Part 4, Section 18 of the Council's Constitution sets out the procedure for call-in. Overview and Scrutiny Committee, having considered the decision may: refer it back to the decision-making person or body for reconsideration; refer to full Council or confirm the original decision.

The Constitution also sets out at section 18.2, decisions that are exceptions to the call-in process.

## **Workforce Implications**

16. There are no workforce implications

## **Property Implications**

17. There are no property implications

## **Other Implications**

18. There are no other implications

## **Options Considered**

19. Under the terms of the call-in procedure within the Council's Constitution, Overview & Scrutiny Committee is required to consider any eligible decision called-in for review. The alternative options available to Overview & Scrutiny Committee under the Council's Constitution, when considering any call-in, have been detailed in section 2 above

## **Conclusions**

20. The Committee following debate at the meeting will resolve to take one of the actions listed under section 2 and the item will then be concluded.

---

Report Author: Claire Johnson  
Head of Governance & Scrutiny  
Email: Claire.johnson@enfield.gov.uk  
Tel No. 020 8132 1154

Date of report 13 July 2021

## **Appendices**

Cabinet Report including annexes and appendices  
Response to Call in reasons

## **Background Papers**

The following documents have been relied on in the preparation of this report:  
None