



## **PUBLICATION OF DECISION LIST NUMBER 19/21-22**

### **MUNICIPAL YEAR 2021/22**

Date Published: 20 August 2021

This document lists the Decisions that have been taken by the Council, which require publication in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000. The list covers Key, Non-Key, Council and Urgent Decisions. The list specifies those decisions, which are eligible for call-in and the date by which they must be called-in.

A valid request for call-in is one which is submitted (on the form provided) to the Governance and Scrutiny Team in writing within 5 working days of the date of publication of the decision by at least 7 Members of the Council.

Additional copies of the call-in request form are available from the Governance and Scrutiny Team.

If you have any queries or wish to obtain further report information or information on a decision, please refer to Claire Johnson on 020 8132 1154

**INDEX OF PUBLISHED DECISIONS – 20 August 2021**

<b>List Ref</b>	<b>Decision Made by</b>	<b>Date Decision came/ comes into effect</b>	<b>Part 1 or 2</b>	<b>Subject/Title of Report</b>	<b>Category of Decision</b>	<b>Affected Wards</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-In &amp; Date Decision must be called in by (If Applicable)</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
1/19/21-22	Executive Director, Place	Tuesday 31 August 2021	Part 1 & 2	Award of Two Stage Design and Build Contract	Key Decision 5370	Chase	Yes Friday 27 August 2021	1-2

**DECISIONS**

For additional copies or further details please contact Claire Johnson (020 8132 1154), Governance and Scrutiny Team.

**LIST REFERENCE: 1/19/21-22**

<b>SUBJECT TITLE OF THE REPORT:</b>											
Award of Two Stage Design and Build Contract											
<b>Part 1 or 2 (relevant exempt Paragraph)</b>	<b>Wards affected by decision</b>	<b>Decision taken by</b>	<b>Date Decision comes into effect</b>	<b>Interest declared in respect of the Decision</b>	<b>Category of decision (i.e. Key, Non-Key, Council, Urgent)</b>	<b>Contact Details</b>	<b>Eligible for Call-in &amp; Date to be called in by</b>				
Part 1 & 2 (Para 3)	Chase	Executive Director, Place	Tuesday 31 August 2021	None	Key Decision 5370	Nnenna Urum-Eke Head of Development Email: <a href="mailto:nnenna.urumeke@enfield.gov.uk">nnenna.urumeke@enfield.gov.uk</a>	Yes Friday 27 August 2021				
<b>DECISION</b>											
<p>AGREED subject to not being called in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To approve the award of full design and construction services to Bidder B as Turnkey contractors through a competitive tendering using the LHC frameworks. Details of competition are included in Appendix A. This award includes the full PL 21/ 015 design services, surveys, planning application, off site manufacture, site assemble of the development at 263 Bullsmoor Lane and the contractors overhead and profit. The manufacture and site assembly is dependent on achieving planning permission for the scheme.</li> </ol>											
<b>OPTIONS CONSIDERED</b>											
<p><b>Do nothing</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Council will not be able to place the Turnkey contract for this scheme.</li> <li>It is vital that these homes are delivered to meet the GLA BCHL programme by September 2022.</li> <li>The loss of this opportunity would otherwise not provide much needed council homes and reduce pressures on the council house waiting list and temporary accommodation budgets.</li> </ol>											
<b>REASONS FOR PROPOSAL</b>											
<p>The Council worked with the London Housing Consortium (LHC) using the Turnkey Contractor Lot of the framework to approach 6 contractors. An Expression of Interest (EOI) was issued to all 6 contractors to which 3 confirmed interest. The EOI included quality criteria which the contractors were requested to complete. Following this stage, the three contractors were invited for a second round of quality criteria clarification and interview. When invited to interview only two contractors responded, resulting in two tenderers being invited forward to the second stage of the tender process.</p> <p>The first stage of the tender process focused on the qualitative assessment of the two tenderers. These two tenderers were invited for interview and to present their proposals to the Council.</p> <p>The Quality section of this tender has been allocated a 55% weighting. The table below details the score that was awarded to each of the tenderers.</p> <p>Quality score</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Bidder A</td> <td>48.75%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bidder B</td> <td>55%</td> </tr> </table>								Bidder A	48.75%	Bidder B	55%
Bidder A	48.75%										
Bidder B	55%										

The second stage ITT was issued on 28 April 2021. The second stage focused on establishing the Pricing and Social Value scores of each tenderer. Social value has been allocated 10% and pricing allocated 35%

Following tender review and clarifications Bidder B was the lowest tender therefore scoring 35% on price. Bidder A scored 25.68% as the second lowest bidder.

Price score

Bidder A	25.68%
Bidder B	35%

The tenderers were also requested to use the Social Value Portal to detail the proposed added social value within their submissions. The Social Value section of this tender has been allocated a 10% weighting to the overall score. The Social Value submissions from both providers was reviewed in accordance with the published instructions for submission and marking model. Bidder A scored 0% as the Social Value Delivery plan was not submitted and the social value offer is not contract related and does not provide benefits to the community within the definition of 'local'. Bidder B's Social Value response was contract related, therefore it was scored as "Good" and 75% weightings were given in accordance with the scoring matrix, which then got converted to 8.75% out of 10% allocated for Social Value"

The table below details the scores awarded to each contractor.

Social value score %	
Bidder A	0%
Bidder B	8.75%

Based on the above, the value of the award is competitive against market rates and provides good value for money

The winning bidder had evidence of the design that achieved SAP A rating

The bid also had evidence of design which achieved net zero and met emerging standards.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Please note that a copy of the Part 1 report is available on the Council's democracy pages. As the part 2 appendix contains exempt information it will not be available to press and public.